

Food and You 2: Wave 4 Technical report

Maes o ddiwedd ymchwil: [Food and You 2](#)

Cwblhau arfaethedig: 1 Awst 2022

Statws y prosiect: Wedi'i gwblhau

Cod prosiect: FS430662

Awduron: Kathryn Gallop, Patten Smith, Kelly Ward, Sally Horton, David Candy, Hannah Hossein-Ali, Charlie Peto and Christy Lai (Ipsos)

Cynhaliwyd gan: Ipsos and the Food Standards Agency

Dyddiad cyhoeddi: 10 Awst 2022

Food and You 2 Wave 4: Technical report introduction

Results available: Results available

Maes o ddiwedd ymchwil: [Food and You 2](#)

Research topics: [Social science](#)

Cod prosiect: FS430662

Awduron: Kathryn Gallop, Patten Smith, Kelly Ward, Sally Horton, David Candy, Hannah Hossein-Ali, Charlie Peto and Christy Lai (Ipsos)

Cynhaliwyd gan: Ipsos and the Food Standards Agency

DOI: <https://doi.org/>

Cwblhau arfaethedig: 1 Awst 2022

Statws y prosiect: Wedi'i gwblhau

Dyddiad cyhoeddi: 10 Awst 2022

Download a PDF version of the report:

PDF

[Gweld Food and You 2 Wave 4 technical report as PDF\(Open in a new window\)](#) (457 KB)

Survey background

The Food and You 2 Survey was commissioned by the Food Standards Agency (FSA) in September 2019. The first wave of data collection (detailed in the Wave 1 Technical Report) took place between July and October 2020, the second wave (detailed in the Wave 2 Technical Report) took place between November 2020 and January 2021 and the third wave (detailed in the Wave 3 Technical Report) took place between April 2021 and June 2021. This report provides detailed results for Wave 4, which was conducted between 18th October 2021 and 10th January 2022 among a cross-section of 5,796 adults (aged 16 years or over) living in households in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. Adults invited to take part in the survey were selected from a sample of the Royal Mail's Postcode Address File (PAF) using a random probability sampling methodology. The survey was conducted using a push-to-web methodology. This is a quantitative data collection method in which participants are contacted using an offline means of contact and asked to complete an online survey. In this survey, participants were contacted by letter, with those who chose not to complete the online survey, after the initial reminder, subsequently sent a postal version. The survey explored participants' food-related knowledge,

behaviours and attitudes.

About the Food Standards Agency

[The Food Standards Agency \(FSA\)](#) is an independent Government department working to protect public health and consumers' wider interests in relation to food in England, Wales, and Northern Ireland. The FSA's overarching mission is [food you can trust](#), which means the Agency strives towards a food system in which food is safe, food is what it says it is and food is healthier and more sustainable. As such, understanding consumers' attitudes, knowledge and behaviour in relation to food is of vital importance to the FSA.

Food and You 2 is the FSA's principal source of methodologically robust and representative evidence regarding consumers' attitudes, knowledge and behaviour in relation to food. This survey has an important role in measuring the FSA's progress towards its strategic objectives, providing evidence to support its communication campaigns and other activities, and identifying topics for further research or action.

History of Food and You

Since its inception in 2000, the FSA has commissioned surveys to collect quantitative data on the public's reported behaviour, attitudes and knowledge relating to food. Between 2000 and 2007 the FSA conducted an annual Consumer Attitudes Survey (CAS). In 2010, this was replaced by the more rigorous 'Food and You', a biennial survey conducted face-to-face. Food and You became the FSA's flagship social survey. In addition, the FSA conducted regular tracking surveys including the biannual Public Attitudes Tracker and annual Food Hygiene Rating Scheme (FHRS) Consumer Attitudes Tracker. The [FHRS](#) is a scheme that helps consumers choose where to eat out or shop for food by giving clear information about the businesses' hygiene standards. The scheme is run in partnership with local authorities in England, Wales and Northern Ireland.

In 2018, the FSA's [Advisory Committee for Social Science \(ACSS\)](#) recommended that Food and You and the Public Attitudes Tracker be replaced with a new 'push-to-web' survey. Food and You 2 was commissioned in 2019 with data collection for Wave 1 commencing in July 2020.

Due to differences in the survey methodologies, comparisons cannot be made between Food and You or the Public Attitudes Tracker and Food and You 2, therefore Wave 1 of Food and You 2 in 2020 represented the start of a new data time series. Data are collected through Food and You 2 on a biannual basis.

Summary of the survey

Design

The research for Wave 4 was conducted using a push-to-web methodology with households selected to take part in the survey receiving a letter that invited them to complete the Food and You 2 survey online. Up to two adults in each household could take part. Fieldwork was conducted from 18th October 2021 to 10th January 2022. It is important to note that some restrictions were in place, during the fieldwork period due to the Covid-19 pandemic. In Northern Ireland and Wales, during the later period of fieldwork, restrictions were in place limiting the number of people that were allowed to sit together in indoor social gatherings, including inside food businesses. Face coverings were compulsory in hospitality settings in Wales and recommended based on the Northern Ireland and England government guidelines. There were also some other restrictions in place during the fieldwork periods for waves 1, 2 and 3. Restrictions may have impacted some participants' behaviours relating to food, and in turn may have impacted how participants answered certain questions and how many people responded to

the survey.

In this study, the fieldwork was structured around four mailings:

- Mailing 1: Initial invitation letter inviting up to two individuals per household to complete the Food and You 2 survey online
- Mailing 2: Reminder letter
- Mailing 3: Second reminder, which included up to two versions of a postal questionnaire
- Mailing 4: Final reminder letter

Mailings 2, 3 and 4 were sent only to those who had not completed the survey since the previous mailing, and households where there was a known second participant who was eligible to take part but had not yet completed the questionnaire. There was a question which asked for the number of adults in a household. If one person responded in a household and they stated that there was only one adult in their household, they would not be sent a reminder letter. If they stated that more than one adult was present in their household then that household would be sent a reminder, unless both adults had completed the survey.

Questionnaire

The survey included an online version of the questionnaire and two postal versions. On both versions there were slight differences between the questionnaires in England, Wales and Northern Ireland, reflecting the different regional government bodies, their roles and responsibilities. For participants in Wales, both the online and postal surveys were offered in Welsh and English. Participants could take part in Food and You 2 via the online survey or using a postal survey.

The online questionnaire was structured as a series of modules covering key areas of interest to the FSA. Most questions were behavioural, asking participants to state their usual activities or to recall recent actions. A smaller number of questions were attitudinal, asking participants to state their opinions on various subjects, or knowledge-based, for example asking participants what they think the temperature inside their fridge should be. The questionnaire included demographic questions to allow the FSA to conduct subgroup analysis on the data. When analysing data from Food and You 2: Wave 4 it is important to note that behaviours are self-reported and therefore may not reflect actual observable behaviour. Measures were taken to minimise the impact of social desirability (for instance, stating that results are reported anonymously) and to increase accuracy (including time frames), but there is likely to be some difference in self-reported and actual observable measures.

Due to the length and complexity of the online questionnaire it was not possible to include all questions in the postal version of the questionnaire. The postal version of the questionnaire needed to be shorter and less complex to encourage a high response rate, so two versions were produced. Key modules (e.g. About You) were asked in both versions of the postal surveys, while one postal questionnaire included questions from the Eating at Home module and the other included questions from the Eating Out module. Details of which modules were included in each postal version are outlined below:

- Introductory Questions
- Food Hypersensitivities (Core)
- Eating Out ('Eating Out' postal version only)
- Eating at Home (Core) ('Eating at Home' postal version only)
- Emerging Issues
- Food Concerns
- Food We Can Trust
- Household Food Security

- Defra Questions ('Eating at Home' postal version only)
- About You and Your Household

Whilst steps were taken to make the online and postal questionnaires as comparable as possible, there were minor differences in the order questions were asked, question wording and the way routing was applied. The online and postal versions of the survey can be found in appendices linked to this report.

Further information on the questions asked in each module and questionnaire development can be found in the 'Questionnaire development and cognitive testing' section.

Sampling

A random sample of addresses was drawn from the Royal Mail's Postcode Address File (PAF), a database of all known addresses in the UK. The sample was drawn from the address list for England, Wales and Northern Ireland. The size of the sample from each region aimed to provide an estimated minimum of 1,000 responses in each of Wales and Northern Ireland, and 2,000 from England. Wales and Northern Ireland were therefore over-represented in the sample. The samples were drawn in this way to enable effective subgroup analysis on the data.

The sample was further stratified by local authority to ensure even geographical spread across the three countries. Within each local authority the sample was stratified by degree of deprivation to ensure a broadly representative sample in terms of income level. More details on this can be found in the 'Sampling' section.

In each selected household, up to two adults (aged 16 years or over) were invited to participate in the survey. In the interests of maximising the response rate, no selection criteria (other than being aged 16 years or over) were imposed regarding the selection of individuals within each household.

The sampling strategy for this survey is described in greater detail in the 'Sampling' section.

Weighting

Weighting is a process by which survey estimates are adjusted both to compensate for unequal selection probabilities and to reduce demographic discrepancies between the sample who completed a survey and the desired survey population - in this instance, the populations of England, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Following data collection, two kinds of weight were applied to the data. First, selection weights were calculated to equalise selection probabilities for individuals across all sampled households. Second, these weights were adjusted to ensure achieved sample estimates aligned with ONS country population totals for selected variables. Following this, additional weights were created for use in combined-country analyses by scaling the country sample sizes to be proportional to their corresponding country population values.

Finally, a 'Wales & Welsh-England' weight was calculated to permit comparisons to be made between England (excluding London) and Wales after controlling for differences in age, gender, ethnic group, household size, and urban-rural mix.

The weighting process is described in greater detail in the 'Weighting' section.

Questionnaire development and cognitive testing

Questionnaire design

Food and You 2 uses a sequential mixed-mode approach involving an initial online stage, with non-respondents then followed up using a postal questionnaire. Therefore, the questionnaire was designed in such a way that it could be presented online and on paper. Like many other push-to-web surveys, the online version of the questionnaire is too long and complex to translate into an equivalent self-completion questionnaire suitable for postal administration. This meant there were some differences between the online and postal questionnaires. To help address this limitation, two versions of the postal questionnaire were developed, thereby enabling more questions to be asked across the sample as a whole. However, even with two versions of the postal questionnaire, there was insufficient space to include some of the online questions.

Given the wide range of topic areas that the FSA and external stakeholders were interested in investigating, the issue of questionnaire length was considered throughout the questionnaire development period. Ipsos recommended that, in the interest of reducing drop-out rates, the online questionnaire should not take longer than 30 minutes for the average participant to complete and the postal questionnaires should not be more than 20 pages in length. This time limit for the online survey and page limit for the postal survey were recommended to minimise the risk of participants not completing the survey, and to minimise the risk of straight-lining (for example, selecting the same answer consistently) when going through the survey.

A modular approach was required for Food and You 2 to keep the length of the survey to a maximum of 30 minutes, and to minimise the likelihood of participants starting but not completing the survey. It also maximised coverage of topics and allows for new modules or questions to be added on emerging topic areas. When developing the Food and You 2 Wave 1 questionnaire, the topic areas the FSA were interested in were grouped into broad 'modules' (such as food shopping, food concerns or food we can trust). These modules were then assessed for frequency of fielding (6 months, 12 months or 24 months). For instance, attitudinal questions that are used to measure the FSA's performance (e.g. trust in the FSA) or where fluctuations over time are more likely (for example, concerns with food) were considered to be 'core' and therefore collected every 6 months. Whereas behavioural questions (for example, on food practices in the home) that were relatively stable over time in previous studies were deemed to be appropriate for fielding less frequently. The modules selected for inclusion in the Wave 4 questionnaire reflected this approach.

Questionnaire development for Wave 4 drew upon the work done for Waves 1, 2 and 3. The development for Wave 1 involved questionnaire development workshops, cognitive testing, usability testing and a pilot (covered in more detail in the Wave 1 Technical Report). For Waves 2, 3 and 4 a shorter period of development was conducted, during which a phase of cognitive testing was held to test newly developed questions.

Design of questions

The content and nature of the questions was informed by previous research conducted by the FSA, the FSA and stakeholders' research priorities, and by Ipsos' prior experience in survey research.

Much of the content for the questionnaires had already been completed during Waves 1, 2 and 3 questionnaire development periods. To determine content for the Wave 4 questionnaire, meetings were held between Ipsos, the FSA and key stakeholders to discuss research priorities and to decide which questions from the online questionnaire should be included in the postal questionnaires. Two modules were repeated in Wave 4, from Wave 2: Eating Out and Eating at

Home; and a new Emerging Issues module was introduced.

To enable comparability of the data between waves, questions carried over from Waves 1, 2 and 3 were kept consistent in wording and format.

Cognitive testing

In social and market research, cognitive testing refers to a form of qualitative data collection in which participants are asked by an interviewer to examine a set of materials and explain their understanding of them. In questionnaire development, cognitive testing interviews are used to evaluate how participants approach a questionnaire so that any issues regarding participant comprehension may be highlighted.

Following the completion of the first questionnaire draft, a series of cognitive testing interviews were arranged to test a sub-set of questions from the questionnaire, specifically those new to Wave 4. The cognitive testing was intended to aid the development of the questionnaire by allowing Ipsos and the FSA to identify questions requiring further development. A total of 20 interviews were conducted with members of the public. Five of the interviews were conducted in the Welsh language. During recruitment participants were screened on age, gender, ethnicity, geographical region, employment status, whether or not they receive any benefits, diet type, and whether or not they have ever eaten meat alternatives. This ensured we spoke to people with relevant food behaviours and habits, which was important for assessing the questions.

Key aims of the cognitive testing included:

- to gauge the simplicity of questions and participant comprehension of key terms
- to note any room for ambiguity in the interpretation of the questions
- to identify any questions that may not produce meaningful data.

The Welsh language interviews also aimed to evaluate the accuracy and clarity of the translations.

Each cognitive interview was undertaken with a single participant, lasted approximately one hour, and was conducted by a moderator using online video conferencing software. During each interview, the moderator recorded the participant's answers and noted further observations regarding how the participant interpreted the questionnaire, with attention paid to any problems encountered. The English language interviews were conducted by moderators from Ipsos, while the Welsh language interviews were conducted by a trusted external qualitative researcher. Some of the interviews were conducted in the (virtual) presence of an observer from the FSA. Following completion of the interviews, Ipsos submitted a written report to the FSA detailing the findings. An extended meeting was subsequently held to discuss the findings and agree on further edits to the questionnaire.

Survey mailings

The survey was conducted in England, Wales and Northern Ireland using a push-to-web methodology, continuing the approach used in Waves 1, 2 and 3. As noted, push-to-web is a quantitative data collection method in which offline contact modes are used to encourage sample members to go online and complete an online questionnaire.

The push-to-web methodology used in this survey mirrored a tried-and-tested methodology used by Ipsos in previous studies; a sequential mixed-mode approach in which participants are at first asked to complete an online survey, with non-respondents then followed up using a postal questionnaire at the third mailing. The rationale behind this methodology is that it brings the benefits of encouraging online survey completion while avoiding the exclusion of those who do

not have access to the internet and/or have low levels of digital literacy.

In this study, the methodology consisted of a series of four mailings sent to selected households. The second, third and fourth mailings were only sent to households who had not responded to the survey since the previous mailing. Further details regarding the sampling approach are provided in the 'Sampling' section. The schedule of mailings is outlined below:

- Mailing 1: Initial invitation letter
- Mailing 2: First reminder letter
- Mailing 3: Postal questionnaire and second reminder letter
- Mailing 4: Final reminder

The first mailing invited recipients to complete the survey online. The letter invited two adults from each household to participate. Each participant was provided with a unique passcode allowing them to complete the questionnaire on the survey website. Those who did not complete the survey following receipt of the initial invitation letter were sent a reminder letter a few weeks following the mailout of the invitation.

The second mailing took the form of a reminder letter, again inviting participants to complete the online survey. In the third mailing, copies of the postal version of the questionnaire were sent alongside a letter instructing recipients how to complete and send back the postal questionnaire. Lastly, a final reminder letter was sent. Each mailing was separated by an interval of a few weeks.

Postal questionnaire design and modular approach

The postal questionnaires consisted of a selection of questions from the online survey. The full questionnaire was not included in the postal versions due to concerns regarding questionnaire length.

Questions were selected for inclusion in the postal questionnaires based on a number of factors. For instance questions that were a key strategic measure for the FSA (e.g. trust in the FSA) were included to provide the FSA with robust data. Questions were also included to maximise the base sizes for specific groups of interest (e.g participants with food allergies). Finally, questions where the mode of delivery and sample profile may have impacted on the data collected, for example questions on food security. It was important to include the majority of the demographic questions in the postal survey to enable subgroup analysis.

As with the online questionnaire, there were minor differences between England, Wales and Northern Ireland in the wording of a small number of questions. Participants in Wales were sent copies of the questionnaires in English and in Welsh.

As noted, the survey was conducted using a modular approach. Certain 'core' modules were included in each biannual survey wave, while others were rotated every 12 or 24 months. The content of the survey for this wave is detailed in the section below.

Overview of survey content

Introductory questions (core module)

In the online survey, this module began with a question asking for confirmation of age (as those under 16 years were not eligible to participate). This was followed by a small number of questions asking participants for some basic information about themselves and their household, such as their gender identity, and the number and age of any other household members. The module also

asked participants whether they had a food allergy, food intolerance or Coeliac disease so that the questionnaire could be tailored to individuals. This module was included in Wave 1 and is kept unchanged between waves to enable comparability of subgroup trend data.

Food hypersensitivities

This module began with a question asking participants whether there were any foods which caused them unpleasant physical reactions or which they avoided because of unpleasant physical reactions which the foods might cause. Those participants who answered 'yes' to this question were then asked a series of questions regarding the nature of the hypersensitivity and how they found out that they had the hypersensitivity. They were then asked details regarding any recent experiences of consuming the foods in question. Certain questions in subsequent modules were routed to those who stated in the Food Hypersensitivities module that they had a hypersensitivity.

Eating out

In this module, participants were asked how often they eat out or buy food to take away and the factors they consider when choosing where to eat. Participants were also asked about their awareness and use of the Food Hygiene Rating Scheme (FHRS).

Eating at home (core questions)

This module included a sub set of questions asked in the 'full' Eating at Home module included in Wave 1. It was intended to gauge participant knowledge of and adherence to the key FSA food safety and hygiene guidelines. Participants were asked about the ways in which they store, prepare, and consume food in the home.

Emerging issues

A new module was introduced in Wave 4 focusing on emerging issues of interest to the FSA and its stakeholders. More specifically, the questions focused on sustainable shopping and dietary behaviours, meat alternatives and awareness of Genetically Modified (GM) and Genetically Edited (GE) food.

Food concerns (core module)

In this core module, participants were asked whether they had any concerns with the food they ate, followed by a spontaneous question asking them to give details on these. This was followed by questions which listed specific food concerns, prompting participants on the food concerns they may have.

Food You Can Trust (core module)

This core module gauged participant confidence in the food supply chain (including in farmers, food manufacturers, and shops) and asked participants questions relating to the FSA, and trust in its ability to fulfil its key responsibilities.

Household food security (core module)

This module incorporated the USDA 10-item US Adult Food Security module, a standardised measure that uses indicator questions to assess different levels of food security experienced by participants and their households. It asked a series of questions regarding participants' ability to

afford food over the previous 12 months. It also asked about changes participants had made to their eating habits in the last 12 months, and the reason for these changes (for example, financial reasons, health reasons). The USDA has published the [most up to date guidance](#), including how to calculate food security scores. For more detailed information please visit the [guidebook](#).

Due to the sensitive nature of the topic area, all questions in this section were optional and included a 'Prefer not to say' option, in addition to 'Don't know' or 'Not stated' options. Any questions that had any of these three responses, or that were left blank, were treated as 'missing', with no data imputed. In total 212 respondents had missing responses to the first three questions and so their overall food security status was set to missing (at Waves 1, 2 and 3 there were 313, 187, and 191 such respondents respectively).

This module was also included in the Wave 1 survey. It was decided to repeat this module in Waves 2, 3 and 4 so that food security could be monitored during the Covid-19 pandemic.

Defra questions (repeated from Wave 1)

This module included questions requested by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) (who made a small financial contribution to the study).

It asked questions about participants' food-buying activities. This included questions relating to environmental concerns, provenance and what influences purchasing choices.

About you and your household (core module)

This final module asked participants various questions about their personal circumstances and those of their household, including age, marital status and working status. The inclusion of these questions was primarily intended to enable demographic subgroup analysis of the data.

Sampling

Sample design

The sample for Food and You 2 was selected from the postcode address file (PAF) in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. The sample of addresses was unclustered within each country.

Households were sampled to achieve interviews in 1,000 households in Wales and Northern Ireland, and 2,000 households in England (Table 1). In other words, a greater proportion of households were sampled in Wales and Northern Ireland compared to England. This was done to improve the precision of estimates for Wales and Northern Ireland.

The size of the issued sample in each country was calculated by dividing the target achieved sample by estimated address yield (proportion of addresses with at least one productive response). Yield estimates were based on actual yields obtained in Waves 2 and 3. An additional reserve sample was drawn to be issued (in whole or in part) if response rates were lower than anticipated (which they were not).

Table 1: Sample sizes and assumptions for each country

Country	Main sample	Assumed address completion rate	Total sampled
England	6,667	30%	10,000
Wales	3,448	29%	5,172
Northern Ireland	4,000	25%	6,000
Total	14,115	28%	21,172

The sample of main and reserve addresses were stratified proportionately by region (with Wales and Northern Ireland being treated as separate regions), and within region (or country) by local authority (district in Northern Ireland) to ensure that the issued sample was spread proportionately across the local authorities. National deprivation scores were used as the final level of stratification within the local authorities (in England the [Index of Multiple Deprivation \(IMD\)](#), in Wales the [Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation \(WIMD\)](#) and in Northern Ireland, the [Northern Ireland Multiple Deprivation Measure \(NIMDM\)](#)). In practice stratification was achieved by ordering the population of PAF addresses by (i) region (country) (ii) local authority (district) within region and (iii) national deprivation score of LSOA (OA on Northern Ireland) within local authority (district), and then selecting addresses by the method of random start and fixed interval. The steps for sampling that were taken were:

1. From the PAF file, exclude all business addresses and private addresses that were selected in previous waves of the Food & You 2 survey
2. Order the address list by region (for England only)
3. Within each English region, Wales and Northern Ireland, order addresses by local authority (district in Northern Ireland)
4. Within local authority / district, order addresses by IMD of LSOA in England, WIMD of LSOA in Wales, and NIMDM of SOA in Northern Ireland
5. Select numbers of addresses shown in Table 1 by method of random start and fixed interval from these ordered lists
6. Divide stratum-ordered selections into successive groups of 3 selections
7. Within each group of three, randomly allocate two cases to the main sample, and one case to the reserve sample.

Household sample design

As stated above, addresses were selected from the Postcode Address File (PAF) systematically using the random start and fixed interval method. At each address, up to two adults were invited to take part in the survey. Two unique login codes for the online survey were provided in the initial invitation letter and up to two were provided in each reminder mailing. Up to two postal questionnaires were provided in the postal questionnaire mailing (Mailing 3). In the reminders, two logins / questionnaires were sent to completely non-responding addresses. At any address where one adult had already completed the questionnaire only one login code and one postal questionnaire were sent. Each adult who completed the questionnaire received a £10 online or paper voucher.

Process for selecting adults within a household

There are many approaches that could have been used for selecting adults within households. For instance, the two adults with the most recent birthdays or the adults with the two next birthdays could be selected. These are commonly referred to as quasi-random approaches, as they are roughly equivalent to a fully random approach. While this would have randomised the selection process to a degree in households where there were more than two adults, in self-administered surveys it adds another barrier to completing the survey and has been shown to be incorrect in about 20% to 25% of cases. Further details are available from TNS BMRB's 2013 [report of web experiments](#) prepared for the Cabinet Office on the Community Life Survey or a journal article from 2014 by Kristen Olson and Jolene D. Smyth focusing on the accuracy of within-household selection in general population web and mail surveys published in *Field Methods* (volume 26, issue 1, pages 56–69).

With this in mind, it was decided to allow any two eligible adults (aged 16 years or over) to participate in the survey. Given the household size distribution in the UK, it was estimated that 93% of the sample selected in this way would also have been selected had we managed to successfully implement a random selection method.

This approach was consistent with that taken for the previous three waves of the Food and You 2 survey.

Fieldwork and response rates

Letters and reminders

Letters and reminder strategy

The mailing approach followed Ipsos' standard push-to-web methodology:

1. An initial invitation letter was issued to all sampled addresses inviting up to two adults to go online and complete the online questionnaire. This letter was mailed on the 18th October 2021 and began to arrive at sampled addresses on the 20th October 2021.
2. The first reminder letter was issued on 29th October 2021 and began to arrive at sampled addresses on 1st November 2021. Reminder invitations were sent to non-responding addresses and addresses where one adult had completed the online questionnaire but not a second adult (the presence of an eligible second adult was determined in the first questionnaire).
3. The second reminder letter was issued on the 23rd November 2021 and began to arrive at sampled addresses on 25th November 2021. This was sent to non-responding addresses and addresses where one adult had completed the online questionnaire but not a second adult. All of these letters were accompanied by one or two postal questionnaires, to allow those who could not access the internet, and those who may have been less comfortable completing online questionnaires, to take part. Those in Wales received one questionnaire in English and one in Welsh. Further detail is provided in the section on the postal questionnaire.
4. A final reminder letter was issued on 7th December 2021 and began to arrive at sampled addresses on 9th December 2021. The survey remained open until 10th January 2022.

Letter design

The principles for designing the invitation and reminder letters, which were kept substantially the same as those used for previous waves, were primarily based on the Tailored Design Method, which was initially developed by Don A Dillman and described in depth in the book by Dillman, DA. Smyth, JD. Christian, LM. titled *Internet, Phone, Mail and Mixed-Mode Surveys: The Tailored Design Method* published in 2014 by Wiley. A host of other literature and best practice based on previous studies (mainly the Active Lives survey and Labour Force Survey) were also used to inform the design. The main aim of the letters was to provide all the relevant information a participant requires to complete the survey, and to answer immediate questions which they may have.

Our guiding principles for designing the letters were:

- use simple and easy to understand language, with no unnecessary complicated text
- to cover key messages that needed to be conveyed in the letters including:

- (a) Importance
- (b) Motivators for taking part
- (c) How to take part
- (d) Your personal data are safe

a) Importance was conveyed in all four letters in the following ways:

- FSA and Defra logos were prominent
- visual clutter which could distract from the logos and the importance of the survey was avoided
- professional letter format with address of recipient and full date
- signed by someone with authority (signified by their job title and organisation details)
- highlighted key messages in the text; using these to break up the text made it easier to read

b) The main motivational statements varied across the four letters, with the aim of increasing the likelihood of converting non-respondents:

- 1st letter: It's easy to take part and why take part
- 2nd letter: Taking part will benefit you and your community
- 3rd letter: We want to hear from as many people as possible
- 4th letter: This is the last chance to have your say
- In addition, all letters placed a degree of emphasis on the financial motivator for taking part – receiving a £10 gift voucher

In addition to this the letters also provided key information about Ipsos and the Food Standards Agency and contact details for Ipsos should the participant have any queries about the survey.

Online questionnaire

The Food and You 2 Wave 4 survey was hosted using Ipsos' global Dimensions platform in Rackspace, a managed hosting facility and Europe's most successful managed hosting company. The security features offered by Rackspace, and Ipsos are listed below:

At Rackspace:

- Rackspace has SAS 70 type II and Safe Harbor certifications
- the servers and network infrastructure are physically located in England

- the servers and network components are fully redundant
- Rackspace guarantees recovery of hardware failures within one hour.

At Ipsos:

All access to Dimensions' questionnaires and data was password protected. Only a small number of online survey experts had access. Survey data and any participants personal information were stored in separate databases.

Survey URL

We used a dedicated URL that specifically included 'food and you' for the Food and You 2 Wave 4 survey. When deciding on the URL we wanted to choose an address that was short enough for participants to remember and one which would not easily be mis-typed. It also needed to give some indication of survey content.

Online questionnaire accessibility

The online questionnaire was made to be as accessible as possible to participants. Key to this was offering the survey in Welsh (as per Welsh government guidelines) so those in Wales could complete the survey in Welsh. While we cannot be certain how many participants completed the survey in Welsh, 241 participants (6.4% of all online participants), viewed at least one page in Welsh. Participants could request to complete the survey in another language by calling the Food and You 2 survey helpline, or by asking someone to complete it on their behalf.

The Food and You 2 survey was designed to be accessed using a range of devices, including desktop computers, laptops, tablets and smart phones. The survey was designed with a 'mobile first' approach to minimise drops offs and improve response rates. A ['mobile first' approach](#) means that the online questionnaire was designed with smart phone users in mind initially, as this is increasingly how participants choose to access online questionnaires. Additionally, the online questionnaire was designed in a way that made it easy for people to adjust colour contrasts and increase font size.

Break offs and questionnaire length

A total of 12% of all participants (4,261) who started the online survey did not complete it. The highest number of breakoffs were observed at two questions, which both asked participants to rank the answers they had selected at the previous question. At the first of these, EATOUT2IMP2, 81 participants discontinued with the survey and at the second, EATOUT3IMP2, a further 26 did so.

The median completion time of those who did complete the online survey was 34 minutes and 15 seconds.

Postal questionnaire

At the second reminder (M3) non-responding households were sent postal questionnaires. Households in England and Northern Ireland where one adult had completed the questionnaire and in which a second adult had been identified were sent one postal questionnaire, otherwise non-responding households were sent two postal questionnaires in these countries. All non-responding households in Wales were sent two postal questionnaires – one in English and one in Welsh.

Each household that received two postal questionnaires received one Eating Out Version and one Eating at Home Version postal questionnaire. Households that were sent one postal questionnaire received only one of these versions.

In total 2,051 participants completed the postal questionnaire with 1,010 completing the Eating Out Version and 1,041 completing the Eating at Home Version. There were 16 participants in Wales who completed the Welsh language postal questionnaire in total, four completed the Eating at Home version and the remaining 12 completed the Eating Out version. The number of returns of the postal questionnaire for each country is detailed in Table 2. The highest number of postal returns were received from participants in England (1,085 returns), followed by 558 in Northern Ireland and 408 in Wales.

Table 2: The number of postal questionnaire returns, by questionnaire version, for each country

Postal questionnaire version	Number returned
Eating out England and Wales questionnaire (English)	686
Eating out version Northern Ireland questionnaire	312
Eating out version Wales questionnaire (Welsh)	12
Eating at home England and Wales questionnaire (English)	791
Eating at home version Northern Ireland	246
Eating at home version Wales questionnaire (Welsh)	4
Total	2,051

Table 3: The number of overall postal questionnaire returns for each country

Postal questionnaire version	Numbers returned
England	1,085
Wales	408
Northern Ireland	558
Total	2,051

Storage of scanned images and survey results

All scanned images and survey data were stored on a secure server, which is isolated from the Ipsos network and has restricted access controls. Our secure file servers are housed in server rooms/data centres with appropriate physical access controls and monitoring procedures. The network is protected by appropriate use of firewalls, DMZ and intrusion detection systems. Public facing servers are also appropriately protected and are based on a secure (minimum) two tier or, our general standard, three-tier architecture. All sub-contractors are subject to appropriate quality checks and second party information security audits by our in-house Data Compliance team. We used AES256 as a minimum standard for encryption.

Vouchers for participants

Participants were offered a £10 gift voucher as a thank you for taking part in the survey.

Participants who completed the survey online who wished to receive a voucher entered their email address at the end of the survey.

They were then emailed a Love2shop e-voucher of the nominal amount which they could redeem online at the Love2Shop website.

Those who completed the postal questionnaire were given the choice of receiving a Love2shop e-voucher or paper Love2shop voucher via post, either of which could be redeemed at a wide range of high street stores. Participants were asked to give their name in order to address the voucher to the correct person, but even without a name a voucher would be sent to that address.

Handling queries

The survey website provided information about the survey and included a list of FAQs which had been developed based on similar studies.

Additionally, a dedicated freephone telephone helpline and email address were set up allowing participants to contact Ipsos if they had any queries about the survey. Telephone queries were first recorded by an answer machine and a member of the research team returned the call when they had identified an appropriate solution. Emails sent to the Food and You 2 survey inbox were first answered with automatic responses, which included the commonly asked questions and answers. Each query was then followed up individually within five working days.

There were around 490 queries, the majority of which were regarding when participants would receive their voucher or to opt out of the survey. Other queries included participants requesting a postal questionnaire or experiencing difficulties accessing the online survey.

Response rates

The overall response rate for Food and You 2 Wave 4 was 28.5% with 1.44 adults participating per household on average. Of the surveys completed, 65% were online and 35% were postal questionnaires. Response rates varied by region. Table 4 shows the variation in response rate by region and country.

Table 4: Individual level response rates by region and country

Region/Country	Issued addresses	Number of returns overall	Proportion of returns that were online (%)
East Midlands	581	231	63.2%
East of England	747	358	63.1%
London	945	307	69.4%
North East	342	172	61.0%
North West	907	386	59.1%
South East	1,080	507	63.5%
South West	702	399	63.4%
West Midlands	692	293	60.8%
Yorkshire and The Humber	671	287	64.1%
Wales	3,448	1,281	68.1%
Northern Ireland	4,000	1,575	64.6%
England	6,667	2,940	63.1%
Total	14,115	5,796	64.6%

Table 5: Household level response rates by region and country

Region/Country	Number of addresses taking part	Address level response rate (%)	Number of returns per participating address
East Midlands	156	26.9%	1.48

Region/Country	Number of addressestaking part	Address level response rate (%)	Number of returnsper participatingaddress
East of England	245	32.8%	1.46
London	217	23%	1.41
North East	110	32.2%	1.56
North West	255	28.1%	1.51
South East	350	32.4%	1.45
South West	261	37.2%	1.53
West Midlands	192	27.7%	1.53
Yorkshire and The Humber	200	29.8%	1.44
Wales	1,004	29.1%	1.28
Northern Ireland	1,036	25.9%	1.52
England	1,986	29.8%	1.48
Total	4,026	28.5%	1.44

Profile of achieved sample

The table below shows the profile of those who completed the survey online and those who completed the postal questionnaires.

Table 6: Demographic profile of survey responders

Gender

Demographic	Percentage of online participants	Percentage of postal participants	Percentage of total participants
-------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Male	42.2%	38.1%	40.8%
Female	56.7%	58.9%	57.5%

Age

This table shows that those who are 54 or younger are more likely to complete the online questionnaire than the postal questionnaire, with the opposite true for those over 55.

Demographic	Percentage of online participants	Percentage of postal participants	Percentage of total participants
16-24	7.1%	2.5%	5.5%
25-34	16.2%	6.1%	12.6%
35-44	18.7%	8.0%	14.9%
45-54	18.4%	13.3%	16.6%
55-64	18.4%	20.6%	19.2%
65-74	15.3%	24.2%	18.5%
75+	6.0%	21.1%	11.3%

Ethnicity

Demographic	Percentage of online participants	Percentage of postal participants	Percentage of total participants
White	90.7%	93.2%	91.6%
Mixed	1.1%	0.8%	1.0%
Asian or Asian British	3.6%	2.6%	3.3%

Demographic	Percentage of online participants	Percentage of postal participants	Percentage of total participants
Black or black British	0.7%	1.1%	0.8%
Other ethnic group	0.5%	0.1%	0.4%
Prefer not to say	3.5%	0.4%	2.4%

Household size

Demographic	Percentage of online participants	Percentage of postal participants	Percentage of total participants
1	11.2%	16.7%	13.1%
2	43.5%	53.7%	47.1%
3	18.3%	10.5%	15.5%
4	16.3%	9.7%	14.0%
5+	6.9%	4.6%	6.1%

Weighting

Overview of weighting

The same weighting approach was taken in Wave 4 as in Waves 1, 2 and 3. Weights were initially calculated separately for each country in two stages:

1. Calculation of selection weights (described in the section on selection weights)
2. Calibration of selection weights to country population totals (described in section on population weights)

Next, weights were created for use in analyses of combined-country data by scaling the weighted country sample sizes to be proportional to the corresponding country population values (for adults aged 16 and over).

Because it was not possible to include all questions in the postal questionnaires (see the section called 'Questionnaire development and cognitive testing'), four separate question-type weights were calculated in each country, and in the combined all-country sample.

These four question-type weights were designed to be used as follows:

1. All-questionnaire weights to be used for questions asked of all sample members in all online and postal questionnaires
2. Online questionnaire weights to be used for questions asked only of online participants (i.e., questions not asked in the postal questionnaires)
3. Online questionnaire plus Eating Out (EO) postal questionnaire weights to be used for questions asked only of online participants and postal questionnaire respondents receiving only the EO version (i.e., questions not asked in the EH postal questionnaires)
4. Online questionnaire plus Eating at Home (EH) postal questionnaire weights to be used for questions asked only of online participants and postal questionnaire respondents receiving only the EH version (i.e., questions not asked in the EO postal questionnaires)

Four additional weights (one for each of these question types) were calculated for the combined all-country sample. Once the main weights were calculated as described above, supplementary 'Wales & Welsh-England' weights were calculated. These were designed to allow comparisons to be made between Wales and England (excluding London) after controlling for country profile differences in age within gender, ethnic group, number of adults per household, and urban-rural mix.

Calculation of selection of weights

Selection weights were created to compensate for (i) variations in within-household individual selection probabilities and response propensities and (ii) the fact that, by design, some questions were not included in all questionnaires. As a maximum of two eligible adults were interviewed per household, adults in larger households are less likely to be included in the survey. So without this weight, individuals living in households in which some eligible adults were not interviewed would be underrepresented relative to individuals living in households in which all eligible adults were interviewed. They were calculated in the following stages:

1. The all-questionnaire selection weight was calculated as: $(\text{number of eligible people aged 16 years or over in the household}) / (\text{number of participants in the household})$.
2. The online questionnaire selection weight was calculated as: $(\text{number of eligible people aged 16 years or over in the household}) / (\text{number of online participants in the household})$.
3. Next the Online questionnaire plus EO questionnaire weight and the online questionnaire plus EH questionnaire weight were calculated by doubling the value of the all-questionnaire selection weight for postal respondents relative to the corresponding value for online respondents (because the relevant questions were only asked in half the postal questionnaires).

Values were capped to the range 1-3 for the all-questionnaire and online selection weights, and to the range 1-6 for the online questionnaire plus EO questionnaire and online questionnaire plus EH questionnaire weights to restrict variance inflation.

Calibration to population values

Next, selection weights were applied to the three individual country samples and each was calibrated to the corresponding country population values for the number of adults aged 16 or over by:

- (i) age band within gender
- (ii) geographic area (defined separately for each country)
- (iii) deprivation quintile (calculated using each country's multiple deprivation index).

These weighting variables are often used as standard in social surveys because they correlate reliably with both response propensity and a wide range of survey variables. We note that in some previous rounds of the face-to-face Food and You survey, working status was used as a weighting variable instead of deprivation quintile. In Waves 1 to 4 of Food and You 2 it was decided not to use this variable for weighting the sample because survey fieldwork took place during the Covid-19 pandemic, during which rates of employment were likely to be unstable.

Deprivation quintile was used as a substitute indicator of general economic prosperity. Weighting targets are shown in the next section, taken from ONS Mid 2020 Population Estimates and NISRA Mid 2020 Population Estimates.

Table 7: Population totals for age within gender in England

Age band	Males	Females
16-24	3,066,029	2,884,608
25-29	1,924,416	1,847,077
30-34	1,916,412	1,908,240
35-39	1,852,969	1,885,240
40-44	1,730,268	1,746,035
45-49	1,803,208	1,835,431
50-54	1,911,318	1,964,033
55-59	1,852,593	1,909,189
60-64	1,568,489	1,628,324
65-69	1,347,714	1,436,586
70+	2,278,001	2,546,119
All	1,165,748	1,689,851

Table 8: Population totals for age within gender in Wales

Age band	Males	Females
16-24	180,657	164,947
25-29	106,877	101,237
30-34	98,579	98,093
35-39	91,729	94,144
40-44	85,290	87,640
45-49	93,969	98,496
50-54	105,438	111,532
55-59	108,081	114,141
60-64	95,915	101,501
65-69	87,314	92,641
70+	149,473	163,436
All	71,981	103,745

Table 9: Population totals for age within gender in Northern Ireland

Age band	Males	Females
16-24	104,333	96,676
25-29	60,377	59,442
30-34	62,883	63,699
35-39	60,758	63,594

Age band	Males	Females
40-44	56,927	61,017
45-49	59,844	63,095
50-54	63,786	66,797
55-59	62,595	64,908
60-64	53,421	55,599
65-69	44,862	45,831
70+	68,762	77,834
All	32,527	50,133

Table 10: Population totals for regions in England

England region code	England region name	Population total
E12000001	North East	2,203,353
E12000002	North West	5,957,266
E12000003	Yorkshire and the Humber	4,474,428
E12000004	East Midlands	3,963,265
E12000005	West Midlands	4,791,343
E12000006	East of England	5,051,203
E12000007	London	7,149,281
E12000008	South East	7,442,850

England region code	England region name	Population total
E12000009	South West	4,664,909
Total	-	45,697,898

Table 11: Population totals for regions in Wales

Wales Region	Population total
North	579,711
Mid	174,082
South West	586,562
South East	1,266,501
Total	2,606,856

Table 12: Population totals for regions in Northern Ireland

Northern Ireland (local government district code)	Northern Ireland (Local government district name)	Population total
N09000001	Antrim and Newtonabbey	113,924
N090000011	Ards and North Down	132,057
N09000002	Armagh City, Banbridge and Craigavon	168,360
N09000003	Belfast	274,369
N09000004	Causeway Coast and Glens	116,337
N09000005	Derry City and Strabane	118,371

Northern Ireland (local government district code)	Northern Ireland (Local government district name)	Population total
N09000006	Fermanagh and Omagh	91,929
N09000007	Lisburn and Castlereagh	116,887
N09000008	Mid and East Antrim	112,616
N09000009	Mid Ulster	114,153
N090000010	Newry, Mourne and Down	140,697
Total	-	1,499,700

Table 13: Population totals for deprivation quintiles in England

England_Quintiles	16+_Pop_2020
1	9,138,329
2	9,140,152
3	9,139,700
4	9,139,337
5	9,140,380
Total	45,697,898

Table 14: Population totals for deprivation quintiles in Wales

England_Quintiles	16+_Pop_2020
1	521,330

England_Quintiles	16+_Pop_2020
2	520,803
3	521,290
4	522,008
5	521,425
Total	2,606,856

Table 15: Population totals for deprivation quintiles in Northern Ireland

England_Quintiles	16+_Pop_2020
1	299,268
2	300,459
3	299,450
4	300,395
5	300,128
Total	1,499,700

Initial calibration was carried out separately in each country for each of the four questionnaire type weights described above. For each questionnaire type weight, calibration adjustment factors were calculated by dividing the individual country weights by the selection weights. These adjustment factors were then capped at the 99th percentile value to limit variance inflation and applied to selection weight to produce final individual country weights.

After calibration and adjustment factor capping, the individual country level weights were scaled to equalise unweighted and weighted sample sizes in each country.

The aim of these within-country calibration procedures was to match the profile of the weighted sample to that of the population aged 16 or over on gender, age band, geographic region, and deprivation quintile. In practice, there will be slight discrepancies between weighted sample totals and population figures as a result of the adjustment factor caps.

Creation of all-country weight

An all-country version of each questionnaire type weight was then constructed by combining the individual country samples and rescaling final individual country weights so that weighted sample country proportions matched the respective country population (aged 16 years or over) proportions.

Wales and Welsh England standardisation weight

This weight was designed to calibrate English sample estimates to Welsh population characteristics for comparative purposes. It was calculated from the England sample as follows:

1. London cases were dropped (London being in many ways unique in the UK)
2. The non-London England sample proportions were calibrated to the weighted Wales sample proportions for four variables: number of adults in the household, ethnic group, urban-rural and age by gender. These four variables were selected when the 'Wales & Welsh-England' weights were first constructed in Wave 1. Weighted estimates for Wales and non-London England were compared across a range of candidate variables and statistically significant differences were found for urban-rural, ethnic group, household size and age within gender.

The final weighing variables were defined as follows:

Age within gender (male and female)

Males	Females
16-24	16-24
25-29	25-29
30-34	30-34
35-39	35-39
40-44	40-44
45-49	45-49
50-54	50-54
55-59	55-59
60-64	60-64

Males	Females
65-69	65-69
70+	70+

Number of adults in household

- 1 adult
- 2 adults
- 3+ adults
- Question not answered

Ethnic group

- White
- Asian
- Black
- Mixed
- Other/not answered

Urban-rural

Urban: OA falls into a built-up area with a population of 10,000 or more

Rural: All other OAs

'Wales & Welsh-England' weights were calculated only for respondents in England outside London and in Wales (where they were the same as the individual country weight for Wales).

Table 16: Summary of list of weights and when to use each one

Weight	When to be used
wt1	Estimates for all-countries: questions asked of all s
wt2	Estimates for all-countries: questions asked only o
wt3	Estimates for all-countries: questions asked of all s completing the EO version of the postal questionna
wt4	Estimates for all-countries: questions asked of all s completing the EH version of the postal questionna
wt5	Individual country estimates for England, Wales and the online and postal questionnaire

Weight	When to be used
wt6	Individual country estimates for England, Wales and Northern Ireland (asked in postal questionnaire)
wt7	Individual country estimates for England, Wales and Northern Ireland from the online questionnaires and those completing the postal questionnaire
wt8	Individual country estimates for England, Wales and Northern Ireland from the online questionnaires and those completing the postal questionnaire
wt9	'Wales and Welsh-England' estimates: questions asked in the postal questionnaire 'Wales and Welsh-England' estimates: questions asked in the online questionnaire
wt10	'Wales and Welsh-England' estimates: questions asked in the postal questionnaire those completing the EO version of the postal questionnaire
wt12	'Wales and Welsh-England' estimates: questions asked in the postal questionnaire those completing the EH version of the postal questionnaire

Data validation and management

Overview

Questionnaire versions

As described in earlier sections, the data have been collected from two sources: an online questionnaire and two postal questionnaires. The online questionnaire includes some built-in routing and checks within it, whereas the postal questionnaires relied on correct navigation by participants and there is no constraint on the answers they can give.

In addition, the online data were available immediately in their raw form, however the postal questionnaire data must be scanned and keyed as part of a separate process. Tick box answers were captured by scanning, and numbers and other verbatim answers were captured by keying, with the data then coded in an ascii text string.

In line with standard procedures on a mixed-mode survey such as this, the online questionnaire was taken as the basis for data processing. Once that was processed then a data map/dictionary was used to match the data from the postal questionnaires with the online data.

A wide range of edits were carried out on the data followed by numerous checks. These have been detailed throughout this section.

Data editing

Postal data-forced edits

The postal data were subject to errors introduced by participants and subsequently edits were required for this data. There are five key principles to editing postal data which were drawn upon for this:

1. Forward editing was applied to all filtered questions. If a participant was eligible to answer a question but had not, they were assigned a code of -99 "Not stated".
2. A small number of back edits were applied to a handful of variables. If a participant had answered a question but had not answered "yes" at the previous filter question a back edit was applied. This was only done on variables specified by the FSA as the forward editing approach handles the majority of the cleaning required.
3. A specification was created by the FSA that set out a number of variables which needed to be edited to directly match the online routing. This was applied as a post field edit to the postal data only.
4. If a question was incorrectly answered as a multi-code question then the responses were set to -99 "Not stated".
5. On a handful of questions that offered a multicode answer but we asked participants to limit their answers to a maximum of three – answers were randomly assigned by running a random selection in SPSS. This was run for participants who answered more than 3 answers and the process ensured no duplicate answer could be selected.

In addition to this, where there was a multicode variable that also had an exclusive code (such as "don't know"), answers were edited so that valid multicode options took priority, and conflicting exclusive codes were deleted. Where there were several exclusive codes, a hierarchy was applied.

Edits to numeric answers

Edits were made to a handful of questions where the answer was deemed to be improbable or unlikely. These are detailed below:

- Age: There were seven participants who selected an age of over 100 but less than 120 which were deemed acceptable, in line with previous waves. In the postal data one participant was aged 15 and they were removed from the data
- Number of adults: If a participant from a multiple response household answered that only one adult lived in that household a post-field edit was applied to set the answer to two. This edit will have a subsequent impact on any variables that use nadult as part of the filter and therefore some questions will highlight a group that look eligible to answer but did not

Duplicate responses

Some cases were removed from the data if the participant completed both the online and the postal survey. In these instances, the online questionnaires were prioritised as that represents a more complete set of data. A total of 51 duplicates were removed from the data.

Coding

Coding was done by Ipsos on one open ended question (FOODISSA2). Coding is the process of analysing the content of each response based on a system where unique summary 'codes' are applied to specific words or phrases contained in the text of the response. The application of these summary codes and sub-codes to the content of the responses allows systematic analysis of the data.

Translations of verbatims in Welsh

Participants were able to complete the survey in English and in Welsh. There were a small number of participants who chose to complete the survey in Welsh and provided verbatim text. These verbatims were translated by the FSA's Welsh Language Unit before being coded, alongside the English responses, by Ipsos.

Ipsos coding

Having established the codeframe for FOODISSA2 "What are your concerns about the food you eat?" in Wave 1 (using Q.1a. "What food issues, if any, are you concerned about?" from Wave 17 of the FSA's Public Attitudes Tracker as a basis for the codeframe) this coding framework was then updated throughout the analysis process of Wave 2 to ensure that any newly emerging themes were captured. Developing the coding framework in this way ensured that it would provide an accurate representation of what participants said. This process was continued at Wave 3, with the codeframe developed further to match newly-emerged themes at Wave 4. After adding in any new codes to the codeframe, it was then reviewed by the FSA and Ipsos research teams with queries subsequently addressed by the coding team. After this it was then appended to the datasets.

Codes were grouped together into broad themes (for example, 'Environmental and Ethical Concerns'), shown in bold text in the data tables. Some of the broad themes also had sub-themes (for example, 'Fair Trade / Ethical'). For consistency between waves, all codes developed for the Waves 1, 2 and 3 codeframes were included in the Wave 4 codeframe, including codes for which no responses were assigned at Wave 4. These codes are also present in the Wave 4 tables (and are marked as having received no responses).

Ipsos used a web-based system called Ascribe to manage the coding of all the text in the responses. Ascribe is a system which has been used on numerous large-scale consultation projects. Responses were uploaded into the Ascribe system, where members of the Ipsos coding team then worked systematically through the comments and applied a code to each relevant piece of text.

The Ascribe system allowed for detailed monitoring of coding progress, and the organic development of the coding framework (i.e. the addition of new codes to new comments). A team of coders worked to review all the responses after they were uploaded on Ascribe, with checks carried out on 5% of responses.

Data checks

Checks on data

Ipsos checked the data in two ways. Firstly the data is checked using the questionnaire and applying a check for each filter to ascertain whether a participant correctly followed the routing. This checks 100% of the questionnaire and is run separately on the raw postal data and the raw online data. Once the data was checked a list is produced that identifies which variables require an edit and this largely related to the postal data. Any edits applied are set out in the section on Data editing.

Once the data edits are applied a combined dataset is created, duplicate participants are removed (as outlined in the section on duplicate responses) and then the derived variables are created.

Checks on derived variables

Derived variables were created in syntax and are based on the table specification. All derived variables were checked against previous waves to ensure the values were roughly in line with what we would expect to see. Cross checks were carried out on the syntax used to create the derivations to ensure the logic was valid.

Once the derivations were set up the dataset was checked by other members of the team. Some derived variables were based on one question (for instance age) and these were checked by running tabulations on SPSS from the question they were derived, to check that the codes fed into the groups on the cross-breaks. If the derived variables were more complex and based on more than one question, for example, NS-SEC, more thorough checks were carried out. For example, the NS-SEC variable was created independently by another data manager – the questions are in line with other surveys, so an independent check was carried out to ensure that the syntax was correctly created. The checker also ran the syntax themselves to check that they could replicate the results in the data.

Derived variables were created in syntax and are based on the table specification. All derived variables were checked against previous waves to ensure the values were roughly in line with what we would expect to see. Cross checks were carried out on the syntax used to create the derivations to ensure the logic was valid.

Once the derivations were set up the dataset was checked by other members of the team. Some derived variables were based on one question (for instance age) and these were checked by running tabulations on SPSS from the question they were derived, to check that the codes fed into the groups on the cross-breaks. If the derived variables were more complex and based on more than one question, for example, NS-SEC, more thorough checks were carried out. For example, the NS-SEC variable was created independently by another data manager – the questions are in line with other surveys, so an independent check was carried out to ensure that the syntax was correctly created. The checker also ran the syntax themselves to check that they could replicate the results in the data.

Checks on tables

Once the data was signed off the tables were produced using Quantum and subsequent checks were run against the table specification. These checks ensured all questions were included, that down-breaks included all categories from the question, that base sizes were correct (e.g. for filtered questions), base text was right, cross-breaks added up and were using the right categories, nets were summed using the correct codes, and that summary and recoded tables were included. Weighting of the tables was also checked by applying the correct weight on the SPSS file then running descriptives and cross-break tabulations to check that this matched up with the values on the tables.

If any errors were spotted in the tables, these were then specified to the data processing team in a change request form. The data processing team then amended the tables based on this and the tables were rechecked after the changes were made. The data and table checks were carried out by a team of six people at Ipsos, with any given change checked by at least three different people.

Appendices for Food and You 2 Wave 4

Technical report

List of appendices

The online questionnaire has been included as an Appendix to the technical report but is available as a separate PDF and a table of methodological differences between waves has been presented overleaf.

The rest of the documentation (listed below) will be uploaded onto the UK Data Archive:

PDF

[Gweld Food and You 2 Wave 4 online questionnaire as PDF\(Open in a new window\)](#) (405.49 KB)

Food and You 2 Wave 4 postal questionnaires

- England and Wales Eating Out version
- England and Wales Eating Out version in Welsh
- Northern Ireland Eating Out version
- England and Wales Eating at Home version
- England and Wales Eating at Home version in Welsh
- Northern Ireland Eating at Home version

Food and You 2 Wave 4 invitation and reminder letters

- invitation letter
- first reminder
- second reminder
- final reminder

Food and You 2 Wave 4 full SPSS data

Food and You 2 Wave 4 SPSS user guide

Food and You 2 Wave 4 full data tables (and user guide) for England, Wales and Northern Ireland combined

Food and You 2 Wave 4 individual country data tables (and user guide) for England, Wales and Northern Ireland

Methodological differences between waves 1-4

Table 17 compares the differences between waves 1-4 in sample size and participation rates. The largest sample size was in wave 1 (21,053), dropping to 13,922 in wave 2 and remaining at 14,115 for waves 3 and 4. As up to two adults per household can participate the number of returns overall is always higher than the number of households participating. The number of returns overall is shown in the table. In Wave 1, 6,408 households participated; 3,955 did so in wave 2; 4,338 in wave 3 and 4,026 in wave 4. The highest response rate was achieved in wave 3: 30.7%.

Table 17: Comparing differences between Waves 1 to 4 in sample size and participation rates between Waves 1 to 4

Wave	Main sample size (addresses)	Number of returns overall	Overall response rate
1	21,053	9,319	30.4%
2	13,922	5,900	28.4%

Wave	Main sample size (addresses)	Number of returns overall	Overall response rate
3	14,115	6,271	30.7%
4	14,115	5,796	28.5%

The number of households that participated also varied across the waves:

- Wave 1 had 6,408 households
- Wave 2 had 3,955
- in wave 3 there were 4,338
- in wave 4, 4,026

The average length of the online questionnaire was different in each wave:

- Wave 1 was 29 minutes and 58 seconds
- Wave 2 was 36 minutes and 27 seconds
- Wave 3 was 30 minutes and 13 seconds
- Wave 4 was 37 minutes and 14 seconds

Table 18 shows that in waves 1, 2 and 4 two versions of the postal questionnaire were fielded whereas there was a single version in wave 3. The fieldwork periods for waves 1 and 3 and for waves 2 and 4 were broadly similar with the first being conducted in Spring/Summer and the latter in the Autumn/Winter. Over half of all non-responding households were sent the final reminder mailing in all countries in each wave.

Table 18 Comparing differences between Waves 1 to 4, for postal questionnaire versions, fieldwork timing and mailing sample

Wave	Number of different versions of postal questionnaires for each country	Fieldwork period	Proportion of available sample sent final mailing
1	Two (Version A and Version B)	29th July to 6th October 2020 (about 10 weeks)	100% of non-responding households in Wales and Northern Ireland. 50% of non-responding households in England
2	Two ('Eating Out' and 'Eating at home')	20th November 2020 to 21st January 2021 (about nine weeks)	100% of non-responding households across the sample.

Wave	Number of different versions of postal questionnaires for each country	Fieldwork period	Proportion of available sample sent final mailing
3	One (with different questions in Northern Ireland than for England and Wales)	28th April to 25th June 2021 (about eight weeks)	66.6% of non-responding addresses across the sample
4	Two ('Eating Out' and 'Eating at home')	18th October 2021 and 10th January 2022 (about 12 weeks)	100% of non-responding households across the sample

Questionnaire development

In Wave 1 a prolonged period of questionnaire development took place which involved an extensive review of questions from previous FSA surveys (Food and You and Public Attitudes Tracker). After all relevant questions were compiled a workshop with the Food and You 2 advisory group was held to discuss key priorities for the questionnaire. This was followed by a second workshop with key internal stakeholders to discuss their priorities for the questionnaire and provide Ipsos with direction regarding questionnaire content.

Following this, draft questionnaire modules were compiled based on questions from previous FSA surveys. Numerous alterations to the wording, ordering, format and content of the questions were made in the process based on survey design best practice, with additional questions designed based on stakeholder needs. The questionnaire development stages for Waves 2, 3 and 4 were much shorter as core questions and materials had been developed in Wave 1.

Cognitive testing

Ahead of each fieldwork wave, cognitive testing was conducted to examine participant comprehension of new or potentially challenging questions. Participants for cognitive testing were recruited from Ipsos MORI's iOmnibus recontact database and for wave 2 onwards, via an external Ipsos approved supplier. In Wave 1, 26 cognitive interviews were completed. In Wave 2, 14 interviews were completed, and 20 interviews were conducted when developing the Wave 3 questionnaire, and again for Wave 4.

Usability testing

Prior to Wave 1 fieldwork, usability testing was also undertaken to identify areas where improvements could be made in the form and format of the questions on the online survey across different commonly used devices (for example, mobile phone, tablet, computer). Interviews were conducted over online video conferencing software, with interviewers observing participants journey through the online questionnaire (using screen share technology) and asking questions where relevant. Eleven interviews were undertaken at this stage. This helped identify formatting and layout issues with the online questionnaire which were amended ahead of the pilot survey. Usability testing was not conducted again ahead of Waves 2, 3 or 4 as the online questionnaire took the same format as the Wave 1 questionnaire.

Pilot

Prior to the main stage fieldwork for Wave 1 a pilot was conducted on the full questionnaire to understand the time it took for participants to complete the questionnaire and each individual module within it. The questionnaire was tested over four days with 390 members of Ipsos MORI's online access panel. The questionnaire took participants on average 26 mins and 48 seconds to complete and it was believed that no alterations were needed to the length of the questionnaire, in order for it to fall within the desired 30 minutes. Pilots were not conducted in Waves 2, 3 and 4 as the expected completion time was estimated from Wave 1 fieldwork.

Differences in the questionnaire

Due to the modular design of Food and You 2, some questions (core modules) are asked in every wave, whereas other questions are only present in certain waves. For some questions, the base will vary between Waves 1, 2, 3 and 4. This is due to changes in the questions available for filtering, and/or their inclusion in the postal questionnaire. Please see the Wave 4 Tables User Guide for details.

The table below notes which modules were present in each wave of the survey, though note the content of each module varied somewhat between waves, as outlined above.

Table 19: Questionnaire module content of each survey wave

Full list of modules from Waves 1-4	Waves include
About you and your household (core)	1,2,3,4
Food Concerns (core)	1,2,3,4
Food you can trust (core)	1,2,3,4
Household Food Security (core)	1,2,3,4
Eating at home (core questions)	2,4
Eating at home (full module)	1
Food shopping	1,3
Defra questions	1,3
Eating out	2,4
Online food platforms	3

Full list of modules from Waves 1-4	Waves include
Food Hypersensitivities (core questions)	1,3,4
Food Hypersensitivities (full module)	2
Healthy eating (Northern Ireland only)	3
Emerging issues	4

Differences in fieldwork

Fieldwork dates

The Food and You 2 survey should take place every six months. However, the length of the initial questionnaire development led to a later start in its first year. The fieldwork dates of each Wave are as follows:

- Wave 1: 29th July 2020 to 6th October 2020 (about 10 weeks)
- Wave 2: 20th November 2020 to 21st January 2021 (about nine weeks)
- Wave 3: 28th April to 25th June 2021 (about eight weeks)
- Wave 4: 18th October 2021 and 10th January 2022 (about 12 weeks)

Sample sizes

There were just over 21,000 addresses issued in Wave 1, leading to 9,319 returns. Since this was much higher than the target of 6,000 returns, only around 14,000 addresses needed to be issued in Waves 2, 3 and 4.

Vouchers

As an experiment, each adult who completed the questionnaire in Wave 1 received either a £15 online voucher, £10 online or paper voucher and £5 online or paper voucher. Based on the results, respondents in later waves received only the £10 voucher. The experiment process and results were summarised in an article published on the Social Research Association (SRA) website, Volume 11, Summer 2021. For waves 2-4, all participants received a £10 voucher.

Postal questionnaires

When postal questionnaires were sent out in Waves 1, 2 and 4, the version was assigned to person one and person two in the household on a quasi-random basis. This meant half contained questions from one module and the rest contained questions from another module. However in Wave 3, one of the modules was only relevant to residents of Northern Ireland. Therefore, the content of the postal questions varied on a country basis rather than randomly.

Reminders

In Wave 3, the response rate was high enough after Mailing 3 for the final reminder to be sent to just two-thirds of the non-responding sample. In the previous two waves, it was sent to all outstanding non-responding households. In Waves 1, 2 and 4, all non-responding sample received a reminder.

Differences in weighting

Overall, the same weighting approach was taken in Waves 1, 2, 3 and 4. However, in each Wave, some additional weights are needed for those questions that are not asked to all postal respondents. These additional weights will vary between waves depending on which questions are included.

Wave 4 has “Welsh and Welsh-England” weights to easily compare Welsh respondents against a English population calibrated to have similar demographic characteristics. In Waves 1 to 3, the weights for the calibrated English population were called “Welsh England” weights. The corresponding weights for Welsh respondents were formally part of the individual country level weights.

Differences in data validation and management

In Waves 1 and 2, the tables were created from the underlying data independently of the SPSS dataset. From Wave 3 onwards, syntax produced the derived variables in SPSS, and this was used to produce the tables in Quantum. As part of this change, the data validation procedures were reviewed and the following improvements made:

- in all waves, back editing and forwarding editing was applied to inconsistencies in the postal data, with a smaller amount of back editing applied to the Wave 3 and 4 data than in other waves. Back editing meant that if a filtered question was answered but the filter origin question contradicted that answer (blank or different), then the origin question was changed to be the answer for the filter question. Whereas forward edited meant that if a participant answered a question but did not follow the routing to answer the next filtered question they were assigned a code of -99 “Not stated”
- in Waves 1 and 2, if a question was incorrectly answered as a multi-code question when only one answer should have been selected, then a digit from the participant ID was used to randomly select an answer. In Waves 3 and 4, the responses were set to -99 “Not stated”
- from Wave 3 onwards, an edit was introduced to correct the number of adults when participants from a multiple response household answered that only one adult lived in that household

Ipsos standards and accreditations

Ipsos’s standards and accreditations provide our clients with the peace of mind that they can always depend on us to deliver reliable, sustainable findings. Moreover, our focus on quality and continuous improvement means we have embedded a ‘right first time’ approach throughout our organisation.

ISO 20252

This is the international market research specific standard that supersedes BS 7911/MRQSA and incorporates IQCS (Interviewer Quality Control Scheme). It covers the five stages of a Market Research project. Ipsos UK was the first company in the world to gain this accreditation.

ISO 27001

This is the international standard for information security designed to ensure the selection of adequate and proportionate security controls. Ipsos UK was the first research company in the UK to be awarded this in August 2008.

ISO 9001

This is the international general company standard with a focus on continual improvement through quality management systems. In 1994, we became one of the early adopters of the ISO 9001 business standard.

Market Research Society (MRS) Company Partnership

By being an MRS Company Partner, Ipsos endorse and support the core MRS brand values of professionalism, research excellence and business effectiveness, and commits to comply with the MRS Code of Conduct throughout the organisation. Ipsos were the first company to sign our organisation up to the requirements & self regulation of the MRS Code; more than 350 companies have followed our lead.

The UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR) & the UK Data protection Act 2018 (DPA)

Ipsos UK is required to comply with the UK General Data Protection Regulation and the UK Data Protection Act; it covers the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy.

HMG Cyber Essentials

A government backed and key deliverable of the UK's National Cyber Security Programme. Ipsos UK was assessment validated for certification in 2016. Cyber Essentials defines a set of controls which, when properly implemented, provide organisations with basic protection from the most prevalent forms of threat coming from the internet.

Fair Data

Ipsos UK is signed up as a 'Fair Data' Company by agreeing to adhere to ten core principles. The principles support and complement other standards such as ISOs, and the requirements of Data Protection legislation.