

For the purposes of meeting accessibility requirements, changes in respect the Official Control Regulation (EU) 2017/625, are noted below to be readable by the screen reader as **<OCR change start>**, and **<OCR change end>**.

For EU Exit changes, the screen reader will state **<EU Exit change start>**, and **<EU Exit change end>**.

For all new requirements or amendments, other than EU Exit or OCR changes, the screen reader will state **<Amendment start>**, and **<Amendment end>**.

# Food Law

## Code of Practice (England)

---

(Issued XXXX 20XX)

# Food Law

## Code of Practice (England)

---

**(Issued XXXX 20XX)**

Presented to Parliament pursuant to regulation 6(1) of The Official Feed and Food Controls (England) Regulations 2009 (SI 2009/3255), Section 40(1) of The Food Safety Act 1990 (the Act) and Regulation 26(1) of The Food Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013 (SI 2013/2996)

**Food Standards Agency**

## Contents

<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Administration, liaison, and co-ordination</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Introduction	3
2.2	General requirements	3
2.3	Departure from the Code	4
2.4	Requirements relating to documented procedures, policies, plans and programmes	4
2.4.1	Documented procedures and/or arrangements	5
2.4.2	Documented policies	8
2.4.3	Documented plans, programmes, and strategies	9
2.5	Designation of Competent Authorities for food	10
2.6	Local, regional, and national liaison	10
2.6.1	Liaison requirements	10
2.6.2	Liaison with the FSA	11
2.6.3	Liaison in two tier Competent Authority areas	12
2.6.4	Regional and local liaison groups	12
2.6.5	Competent Authorities at points of entry	13
2.6.6	Division of responsibilities in two tier Competent Authority areas	13
2.7	Primary Authority and Home Authority	14
2.7.1	Primary Authority	14
2.7.2	Home Authority	15
2.8	Provision of discretionary services	16
2.9	Appointment of a Public Analyst	17
2.10	Facilities and equipment	17
2.11	Enforcement email addresses	18
2.12	Registration and approval of food business establishments	18
2.12.1	Registration and approval requirements	18
2.12.2	Food establishment lists	18
2.13	Approvals process	19
2.13.1	Applications	19
2.13.2	Determination of applications for approval	19
2.13.3	Conditional and full approval	20

---

2.13.4	Refusal of approval.....	21
2.13.5	Change of activities, ownership, or details.....	21
2.13.6	Establishments thought to be engaged in activities requiring approval.....	21
2.14	Retention of records.....	21
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Authorisation, qualifications, and competency .....</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	23
3.2	Delegation of official food controls and other official activities .....	23
3.2.1	Delegation to authorising officers.....	23
3.2.2	Delegation to other Competent Authorities .....	23
3.3	Authorisation .....	26
3.3.1	Appointment of staff.....	26
3.3.2	Authorisation of officers .....	27
3.3.3	Authorised Officers .....	28
3.3.4	Lead food officer .....	28
3.3.5	Regulatory support officers.....	28
3.4	Qualification requirements .....	28
3.4.1	Food Hygiene .....	28
3.4.2	Food standards.....	29
3.4.3	Food hygiene at the level of primary production .....	30
3.4.4	Equivalency of qualifications.....	31
3.5	Requirements for staff undertaking import controls at Border Control Posts.....	32
3.5.1	Controls on fishery products, aquatic invertebrates, live bivalve molluscs, live echinoderms, live tunicates and live marine gastropods intended for human consumption .....	32
3.5.2	Controls on products of animal origin, germinal products or animal by-products.....	32
3.5.3	Controls on animals, or meat and edible meat offal.....	33
3.5.4	Training for staff on import controls on animals, products of animal origin, germinal products, or animal by-products.....	33
3.5.5	Import controls on high-risk food not of animal origin and high-risk food contact materials.....	34
3.6	Competency requirements .....	34
3.7	Training and Continuing Professional Development (CPD) .....	34
3.7.1	Training and CPD requirements .....	34
3.7.2	Training programmes.....	35

---

<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Delivery of interventions</b>	<b>36</b>	
4.1	Introduction	36	
4.2	Delivery of official food controls and other official activities	36	
4.2.1	Notification of official food controls	37	
4.2.2	Carrying out official food controls	37	
4.2.3	Written records of official food controls	38	
4.2.4	Initial inspections	38	
4.3	Food intervention rating systems	39	
4.3.1	Frequency of interventions and the requirements of a risk-based approach	39	39
4.3.2	Revision of intervention ratings	39	
4.3.3	Alternative Enforcement Strategy	40	
4.4	Frequency of Controls and the Requirements of a Risk Based Approach	40	
4.4.1	Food establishment intervention rating schemes	40	
4.4.2	Food Standards intervention frequency	41	
4.4.3	Primary Production	42	
4.5	Import controls	43	
4.5.1	Nominated officer for imported food	43	
4.5.2	Inland controls	43	
4.5.3	Monitoring of consignments	43	
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Incidents, alerts, and food crime</b>	<b>45</b>	
5.1	Introduction	45	
5.2	Food incidents and food hazards	45	
5.2.1	Food Incidents	45	
5.2.2	Food hazards	45	
5.3	Action by the Competent Authority	46	
5.3.1	Responses to pre-incident contact by the FSA	46	
5.3.2	Incidents involving more than one Competent Authority	46	
5.3.3	Food hazards assessment	47	
5.3.4	Deliberate contamination and malicious tampering	48	
5.3.5	Food Hazards Associated with Outbreaks of Foodborne Illness	49	
5.3.6	Non-hazardous food incidents	49	
5.3.7	Access to information	50	
5.4	Food Alerts	50	
5.4.1	Definitions	50	

---

5.4.2	Facilities for receiving Food Alerts and updates .....	50
5.4.3	Action by Competent Authorities on receiving Food Alerts .....	50
5.4.4	Media relations - Food Alerts .....	51
5.5	Tackling food criminality .....	51
5.5.1	The role of the National Food Crime Unit .....	51
5.5.2	Fraud and food crime.....	51
5.5.3	Reporting suspicions of food crime to the NFCU .....	52
5.6	Communication and liaison between Competent Authorities and countries outside the UK .....	53
5.6.1	Notification of food hazards or incidents regarding imported food .....	53
5.6.2	Notification of trans-border matters.....	53
5.6.3	Liaison and communications with countries outside the UK .....	53
5.6.4	Disclosure of information to countries outside the UK .....	53
5.6.5	Communication with Food Standards Scotland .....	53
5.7	Out of hours service .....	54
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Enforcement.....</b>	<b>55</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	55
6.2	Proportionality and consistency.....	55
6.3	Hierarchy of enforcement.....	55
6.4	Dealing with non-compliance .....	56
6.4.1	Suspected non-compliance .....	56
6.4.2	Established non-compliance.....	56
6.5	Revisits .....	57
6.5.1	Requirement to revisit - food hygiene .....	57
6.5.2	Requirement to revisit - food standards .....	57
6.6	Operating in another Competent Authority's area .....	58
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>Matters relating to live bivalve molluscs .....</b>	<b>59</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	59
7.2	Liaison arrangements.....	59
7.3	Registration and permanent transport authorisation documents: live bivalve molluscs (LBMs).....	59
7.3.1	Registration documents for live bivalve molluscs.....	59
7.3.2	Permanent Transport Authorisations .....	60
7.3.3	Examination of registration documents.....	60

---

7.3.4	Purification centres .....	60
7.3.5	Sampling as part of the inspection.....	61
7.4	Production and relaying areas .....	61
7.4.1	Monitoring production and relaying areas.....	61
7.4.2	Imported live bivalve molluscs .....	61
7.5	Closure Notices (temporarily closing harvesting areas) .....	62
<b>Glossary</b>	.....	<b>64</b>
<b>Annex 1– Food Establishment Intervention Ratings Schemes</b>	.....	<b>77</b>

DRAFT

## Chapter 1 Introduction

The Food Law Code of Practice (England) (the Code) is issued under Section 40(1) of The Food Safety Act 1990 (the Act), Regulation 26(1) of The Food Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013 and Regulation 6(1) of The Official Feed and Food Controls (England) Regulations 2009, which empower the Secretary of State to issue Codes of Practice concerning the execution and enforcement of that legislation by Competent Authorities.

The Code:

- is written by the Food Standards Agency (FSA) and issued by the Secretary of State
- is directed at Competent Authorities (Local Authorities and Port Health Authorities) responsible for the delivery of official food controls and other official activities
- **<OCR change start>** outlines how the requirements of Regulation (EU) 2017/625<sup>1</sup> apply to Competent Authorities to ensure the quality, consistency, effectiveness and appropriateness of official food controls and other official activities **<OCR change end>**
- describes the approach to be taken to ensure the efficient and effective co-ordination of official food controls and other official activities between Competent Authorities and other relevant government agencies, including Public Health England and the FSA

Competent Authorities have a statutory duty to:

- enforce the requirements of food law
- have due regard to relevant provisions of the Code
- discharge their statutory duties as effectively as possible, using means that are most appropriate to the circumstances

This relies on authorised officers:

- understanding food law requirements
- referring to the law itself, the Code and other guidance
- seeking guidance when they are unclear

**<EU Exit change start>** The UK has left the European Union (EU). The European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 (the EUWA) provides that, from 1 January 2021, certain directly applicable legislation of the EU will be converted into UK law. Converted law is referred to as 'retained EU law'. The EUWA provides powers to make corrections to retained EU law to ensure it operates effectively as UK law. Examples of retained EU

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2017/625 on official controls and other official activities performed to ensure the application of food and feed law, rules on animal health and welfare, plant health and plant protection products

law relating to food and animal feed, include Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 on General Food Law, Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 on the hygiene of foodstuffs. Most food and feed safety law will therefore continue to apply from 1 January 2021 in the UK in much the same way as it did before the UK exited the EU **<EU Exit change end>**.

All references to legislation in the Code are made on the basis that the legislation may be subject to amendment and/or revocation. When performing official food controls or other official activities, Competent Authorities must ensure that they correctly refer to current versions of relevant legislation referred to in the Code.

Competent Authorities that do not have due regard to relevant provisions of the Code could find their decisions or actions successfully challenged, and evidence gathered during a criminal investigation being ruled inadmissible by a court.

The FSA may, after consulting the Secretary of State, give a Competent Authority a direction requiring them to take any steps to comply with the Code.

The FSA may issue guidance, including Practice Guidance and National Regulator Guidance, for Competent Authorities. This may include updates to accommodate trials and changes as part of an FSA led change programme.

References to:

- chapters and sections are to the relevant parts of the Code unless stated otherwise
- legislation must be considered a reference to that legislation as amended from time to time (unless otherwise indicated)

There is a glossary with definitions of terms and abbreviations used throughout the Code.

## Chapter 2 Administration, liaison, and co-ordination

### 2.1 Introduction

Chapter 2 deals with:

- **<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities' general obligations on the organisation of official food controls and other official activities
- the administrative arrangements, including designation of Competent Authorities, registration, and approval of food business establishments
- liaison arrangements<sup>2</sup> to ensure the:
  - efficient and effective co-ordination between Competent Authorities, delegated bodies, and other government departments responsible for official food controls and other official activities
  - consistency and effectiveness of official food controls and other official activities across the UK
- avoidance of conflict of interest
- monitoring requirements to ensure consistent, appropriate, and effective official food controls and other official activities **<OCR change end>**

### 2.2 General requirements

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities must:

- have regard to:
  - the Code and the Food Law Practice Guidance (the Practice Guidance) when discharging their duties
  - the Framework Agreement on Official Feed and Food Controls by Local Authorities (the Framework Agreement), which reflects the requirements of the Code and is consistent with the principles of the Regulators' Code
  - any appropriate guidance
- apply equally the requirements of the Code to temporary employees, contractor staff engaged in official food controls and other official activities and those employed by a Competent Authority
- if they consider public health or food safety is likely to be compromised by complying with the Code, discuss the matter with the FSA at the earliest opportunity and before any decision is taken
- provide the FSA with relevant datasets, as detailed in Chapter 2 of the Practice Guidance **<Amendment end>**

---

<sup>2</sup> Article 4(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

## 2.3 Departure from the Code

**<Amendment start>** The FSA may issue an instruction to Competent Authorities to depart from the Code or provide the option to depart in the circumstances listed below:

- the need to depart from the Competent Authority's Interventions Programme that is based on the intervention ratings schemes in the Code (including the type and frequency of intervention):
  - in response to a public health emergency
  - in response to a state of emergency; or
  - to accommodate the work of FSA approved feasibility studies, pilots, or pathfinder projects

When such a situation arises, the FSA will issue a communication via the FSA Smarter Communications Platform (Smarter Comms) so the Competent Authority's Service Plan can be reviewed.

Competent Authorities must:

- where departures from the Code are required, or the option to depart is provided, ensure they do not depart further than permitted, and follow any restrictions set out by the FSA
- contact the FSA, as soon as practicable, if such an instruction presents a significant disruption to their ability to deliver a risk-based intervention programme; or food safety is likely to be compromised
- respond to requests from the FSA to provide information about the action taken
- document any action taken

The FSA will, before giving an instruction, consider whether urgent action by Competent Authorities is necessary to protect public health or the interests of consumers

**<Amendment end>**.

## 2.4 Requirements relating to documented procedures, policies, plans and programmes

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must set-up, implement, maintain and carry out official food controls and other official activities in accordance with documented procedures (and/or put arrangements in place, where applicable), policies, plans, programmes and strategies as detailed in sections 2.4.1, 2.4.2, and 2.4.3 and Chapter 2 of the Practice Guidance, to ensure<sup>3</sup>:

- they are developed in consultation with relevant stakeholders

---

<sup>3</sup> Article 12(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625; Chapter 2, paragraph 7.2 of the Framework Agreement

- they include instructions for authorised officers undertaking official food controls<sup>4</sup>
- they consider relevant legislation, the Code and the Practice Guidance, the Framework Agreement, centrally issued guidance and the Competent Authority's policies and procedures, where relevant
- **<OCR change start>** they are reviewed and updated<sup>5</sup>:
  - at regular intervals
  - in consideration of experience gained
  - whenever there are relevant changes in the organisation, to legislation or centrally issued guidance<sup>6</sup> **<OCR change end>**
- deviations are discussed and agreed with relevant persons beforehand, and reasons for deviating are recorded in writing
- **<OCR change start>** corrective action is taken in all cases where shortcomings are identified<sup>7</sup> **<OCR change end>**
- they cover imported food, food hygiene including at the level of primary production and food standards issues, where applicable, having regard to work that might reasonably be anticipated within the Competent Authority's area and legislative responsibilities
- they adequately cover any referral arrangements to inland Competent Authorities and/or Competent Authorities with responsibility for imported food at a UK point of entry, where applicable

Competent Authorities must set up, maintain, and implement a control system<sup>8</sup> for all documentation relating to enforcement activities which ensures that:

- up to date copies of appropriate documentation including legislation and guidance are available at all relevant locations and to all relevant staff
- all changes or amendments to documents are covered by the correct authorisation and are carried out without undue delay, to ensure timely availability
- superseded documents are removed from use

#### 2.4.1 Documented procedures and/or arrangements

Type	Requirements
<b>&lt;OCR change start&gt;</b> Approval <b>&lt;OCR change end&gt;</b>	<b>&lt;OCR change start&gt;</b> Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that there is a clear and consistent process for FBOs to follow when applying for approval of their food business establishments, in accordance with

<sup>4</sup> Article 12(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>5</sup> Article 12(3)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>6</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 4.1 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>7</sup> Article 12(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>8</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 4.2 of the Framework Agreement

Type	Requirements
	Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 and Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 <sup>9</sup> <OCR change end>
Food business establishment database	Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that the Competent Authority's database of food business establishments is accurate, reliable and up to date <sup>10</sup>
Food incidents and alerts	Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that food incidents and alerts initiated and/or responded to by Competent Authorities are dealt with effectively, and within a timely manner <sup>11</sup>
<OCR change start> Authorisation <OCR change end>	<OCR change start> Staff performing official controls and other official activities must be duly authorised. Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that authorised officers (including the lead food officer(s)) engaged in official food controls and other official activities hold a suitable qualification (or equivalent) and they are competent and experienced in accordance with Chapter 3 of the Code and the Practice Guidance, where relevant to their level of authorisation and the range of tasks performed <sup>12</sup> <OCR change end>
<OCR change start> Control verification <OCR change end>	<OCR change start> Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that official food controls and other official activities are carried out consistently and effectively to a high standard, in conformance with relevant legislation, the Code, relevant centrally issued guidance and the Competent Authority's own documented policies and procedures. It must set out how the Competent Authority carries out risk-based internal monitoring. A record must be made of all internal monitoring and kept for 2 years <sup>13</sup> <OCR change end>
Corporate Complaints	Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure

<sup>9</sup> Article 148(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>10</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 11.2 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>11</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 14.1 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>12</sup> Article 5(1)(e) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625; Chapter 2, paragraph 5.1 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>13</sup> Article 12(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625; Chapter 2, paragraphs 19.1 and 19.3 of the Framework Agreement

Type	Requirements
	that complaints about the Competent Authority are investigated in accordance with centrally issued guidance, a record is made of all complaints received and of the actions taken <sup>14</sup>
Food complaints	Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that complaints about food and food business establishments are investigated in accordance with the Code, centrally issued guidance and the Competent Authority's policies and procedures <sup>15</sup>
Sampling	Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that samples are taken in accordance with the Code, the Practice Guidance, the Competent Authority's policies and procedures and relevant legislation, and that where unsatisfactory results are received, appropriate action is taken in accordance with the Competent Authority's sampling and enforcement policy <sup>16</sup>
Equipment	Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that equipment is properly maintained, calibrated, and is removed from service when found to be defective <sup>17</sup>
<b>&lt;OCR change start&gt;</b> Official food controls and other official activities <b>&lt;OCR change end&gt;</b>	<b>&lt;OCR change start&gt;</b> Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that the full range of official food controls and other official activities carried out by the Competent Authority are effective and appropriate, are carried out in accordance with the Code, relevant legislation and in an impartial and consistent manner <sup>18</sup> <b>&lt;OCR change end&gt;</b>
Enforcement	Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that any follow up action or enforcement action taken by the Competent Authority is in accordance with the Code, the Practice Guidance and the Competent Authority's enforcement policy <sup>19</sup>

<sup>14</sup> Chapter 2, paragraphs 17.1, 17.2 and 17.3 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>15</sup> Chapter 2, paragraphs 8.1, 8.2 and 8.3 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>16</sup> Chapter 2, paragraphs 12.5, 12.6 and 12.7 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>17</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 6.2 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>18</sup> Article 12(1) and Articles 5(1)(a) and (b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625; Chapter 2, paragraphs 7.4 and 12.3 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>19</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 15.2 and 15.3 of the Framework Agreement

Type	Requirements
Control and investigation of outbreaks and food related infectious disease	Competent Authorities must have a procedure(s) to ensure that the control of outbreaks of food related infectious disease, and the investigation of notified food related infectious disease is carried out in accordance with centrally issued guidance <sup>20</sup>
Information	Competent Authorities must set-up, maintain and implement appropriate back-up systems for any electronic databases, and systems or documented procedures which have been designed to minimise the risk of corruption or loss of information held on its databases and ensure that reasonable security measures are in place to prevent access and amendment by unauthorised persons <sup>21</sup>
<OCR change start> Registration <OCR change end>	<OCR change start> Competent Authorities must have procedures and/or arrangements in place to ensure that there is a clear and consistent process for Food Business Operators (FBOs) to follow when applying for registration of their food business establishments <sup>22</sup> <OCR change end>
<OCR change start> Conflict of Interest <OCR change end>	<OCR change start> Competent Authorities must have procedures and/or arrangements in place to ensure that staff performing official controls and other official activities are free from any conflict of interest <sup>23</sup> <OCR change end>

#### 2.4.2 Documented policies

Policy Type	Policy Requirements
Sampling policy	The policy must set out the Competent Authority's approach to food sampling <sup>24</sup>
Enforcement policy	The policy must cover all areas of food law that the Competent Authority has a duty to enforce, include criteria for the use of all enforcement options that are available, and

<sup>20</sup> Chapter 2, paragraphs 13.1 and 13.2 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>21</sup> Chapter 2, Paragraph 6.4 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>22</sup> Article 5(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>23</sup> Article 5(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>24</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 12.4 of the Framework Agreement, Regulation (EU) 2017/625  
Chapter IV Sampling, analyses, tests and diagnoses

Policy Type	Policy Requirements
	be approved by the relevant member forum or relevant senior officer <sup>25</sup>
Complaints policy	The policy must set out how complaints received about food and food business establishments are handled <sup>26</sup>

### 2.4.3 Documented plans, programmes, and strategies

Plan, Programme or Strategy Type	Plan, Programme or Strategy Requirements
<OCR change start> Contingency plan <OCR change end>	<OCR change start> The plan must set out what the Competent Authority would do in an emergency <sup>27</sup> <OCR change end>
Service plan	The plan must cover all areas of food law the Competent Authority has a duty to enforce, be documented in accordance with Chapter 2 of the Practice Guidance and the Framework Agreement. It must set out how the Competent Authority intends to deliver and resource official food controls and other official activities in its area, address any variance in meeting the outcomes of the previous service plan. A performance review must be carried out at least once per year and be documented. The plan must be submitted for approval by a relevant member forum or relevant senior officer <sup>28</sup>
<OCR change start> Intervention programme <OCR change end>	<OCR change start> The programme must be established and implemented in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 4 and ensure that interventions are effective, appropriate, consistent. It should include all food business establishments for which the Competent Authority has food law enforcement responsibility <sup>29</sup> <OCR change end>
Sampling programme	The programme must set out the details of the Competent Authority's intended risk-based food sampling priorities <sup>30</sup>

<sup>25</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 15.1 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>26</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 8.1 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>27</sup> Article 5(1)(i) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>28</sup> Chapter 2, paragraphs 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>29</sup> Article 5(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>30</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 12.4 of the Framework Agreement

Plan, Programme or Strategy Type	Plan, Programme or Strategy Requirements
<OCR change start> Training programme <OCR change end>	<OCR change start> The programme must ensure that authorised officers undertaking official food controls and other official activities receive appropriate training <sup>31</sup> <OCR change end>
Alternative Enforcement Strategy (AES)	The strategy must set out how surveillance of food business establishments that can have alternative enforcement strategies applied to them, will be conducted

## 2.5 Designation of Competent Authorities for food

<OCR change start> Legislation has designated Local Authorities and Port Health Authorities (PHAs) as Competent Authorities to deliver official food controls, and other official activities for food, on those matters which are not the remit of the FSA<sup>32</sup>.

The FSA is responsible for:

- approval of establishments under Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 laying down specific hygiene rules on the hygiene of foodstuffs (including wholesale meat markets), where control falls to an official veterinarian<sup>33</sup>, and enforcement in such establishments once approved <OCR change end>
- <Amendment start> establishments which are co-located with approved establishments in which minced meat, meat preparations, mechanically separated meat, meat products, rendered animal fats and greaves, treated stomachs, bladders and intestines, gelatine and/or collagen are also produced
- delivery of dairy hygiene inspections in milk production holdings
- enforcement in relation to the matters regulated by Schedule 6 of the Food Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013, in so far as it applies in relation to raw cows' milk intended for direct human consumption
- enforcement of the wine regulations in the wholesale sector including vineyards and wineries <Amendment end>

## 2.6 Local, regional, and national liaison

### 2.6.1 Liaison requirements

Competent Authorities must put in place liaison arrangements, where relevant, with:

---

<sup>31</sup> Article 5(4) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 and Chapter 2 Paragraph 5.4 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>32</sup> Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>33</sup> Article 18(2)(d) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

- neighbouring Competent Authorities, delegated bodies, government agencies and any other appropriate body, to facilitate efficient, effective, and consistent enforcement in accordance with the Code, and centrally issued guidance<sup>34</sup>
- other delegated bodies or government organisations aimed at rationalising enforcement and reducing burdens on business<sup>35</sup>

Competent Authorities must:

- share information about compliance, fraudulent or deceptive practices of food business establishments with other relevant Competent Authorities and the FSA, as appropriate with due regard to Freedom of Information, and Data Protection legislation
- respond to any reasonable communication from other Competent Authorities and the FSA, requesting information or assistance
- liaise with those Competent Authorities which are responsible for keeping registers of feed business establishments under Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 laying down requirements for feed hygiene
- acknowledge and respond to Competent Authorities responsible for points of entry, in respect of inland referrals (with regards to imported food matters) to confirm the action taken
- share information they receive, at the earliest opportunity, with other relevant Competent Authorities and agencies, as appropriate, which indicate:
  - a change in the operations or ownership of a food business establishment
  - any withdrawal, suspension, or reinstatement of an establishment's approval

## 2.6.2 Liaison with the FSA

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities with food business establishments in their area that are subject to approval by the FSA, must liaise with FSA officials at those establishments, as appropriate, to:

- identify any risks and concerns about the establishment
- promote understanding of each other's roles within the establishment
- facilitate enforcement activities to make effective use of resources

Effective liaison with FSA officials at FSA approved establishments includes:

- proactive and informal communication when Competent Authority officers are attending FSA approved establishments
- maintaining ongoing discussions in relation to referrals or areas of common interest
- inviting FSA staff to local or regional meetings, where appropriate
- considering possible joint local training opportunities, and sharing of information

---

<sup>34</sup> Chapter 2, Paragraphs 18.1 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>35</sup> Chapter 2, Paragraphs 18.2 of the Framework Agreement

When receiving referrals from FSA officials at these establishments, Competent Authorities must:

- respond to referrals at the earliest opportunity, or advise when and how they will be able to respond
- offer advice to the FSA officials on any immediate action required to ensure future enforcement action can be successful, where the Competent Authority is unable to respond straight away
- proactively advise FSA officials on the outcomes of any non-compliance detected within the approved establishment, and explain why such an enforcement approach has been taken **<Amendment end>**

### **2.6.3 Liaison in two tier Competent Authority areas**

In two tier Competent Authority areas, the District and County Council, must ensure that:

- effective day-to-day liaison arrangements between their respective authorities are in place, documented and operating satisfactorily
- initial registration information under Article 6(2) of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 is supplied by the District Council to the County Council within 28 days of receipt
- where a food business establishment receives approval or conditional approval under Regulation (EC) No 853/2004, from the District Council, they inform the County Council within 28 days of approval being granted
- information they receive which indicates a change in the operations within a food business establishment, and information on any withdrawal, suspension, or reinstatement of an establishment's approval, is passed to the other relevant Competent Authorities within 28 days

### **2.6.4 Regional and local liaison groups**

Competent Authorities must be represented at relevant liaison groups by an officer(s) with an appropriate level of experience, normally the relevant lead food officer(s). Competent Authority liaison groups help to maintain effective and efficient delivery of official food controls and other official activities, co-operation, and consistency of enforcement.

Competent Authority liaison groups must, as appropriate:

- discuss matters of legal interpretation and consistency with colleagues in the appropriate regional or local food liaison group and the Primary, Home or Originating Authority if appropriate. Competent Authorities must avoid taking unilateral decisions on interpretations without seeking the views of other Competent Authorities
- undertake regular liaison with groups of Primary or Home Authorities serving food businesses trading in the same sector of the industry, must undertake regular liaison to ensure that the advice given by Primary or Home Authorities across a sector is consistent
- request representation from a Competent Authority's Public Analyst and/or Food Examiner

- include appropriate representation from each Competent Authority, including District and County Councils in two-tier Competent Authority areas
- request representation from the FSA, PHAs, the Consultant in Communicable Disease Control (CCDC), Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Communicable Disease/Environmental Health, and other experts or specialists as the need arises
- request representation from other delegated bodies
- discuss legal interpretation and consistency

In areas where there are commercial shellfish harvesting activities, Competent Authorities must refer to Chapter 7 of the Code for liaison arrangements.

## **2.6.5 Competent Authorities at points of entry**

Competent Authorities with a point of entry for food imported into the UK, External Temporary Storage Facilities (ETSF) or international rail terminal must establish routine local liaison and communication with relevant local organisations, to exchange information on food imports, and for the effective handling of incidents or suspected food crime.

## **2.6.6 Division of responsibilities in two tier Competent Authority areas**

### **2.6.6.1 District Councils**

It is expected that the District Council will investigate and take enforcement action in cases relating to the:

- microbiological quality of food, contamination by micro-organisms and their toxins and contamination by foreign matter, except where such contamination is found in establishments at the level of primary production when section 2.6.6.3 applies, as appropriate
- presence of chemical contaminants which might pose an imminent risk to public health, but liaise closely with the County Council (Medical and other expert advice, including advice from the Public Analyst or Competent Examiner, must be sought in order to establish whether contamination by chemicals is likely to pose an imminent risk to health)

### **2.6.6.2 County Councils**

It is expected that County Councils will investigate and take enforcement action in cases relating to:

- chemical contamination, except where such contamination is found in establishments at the level of primary production when section 2.6.6.3 applies, as appropriate
- the adulteration, composition, advertisement, presentation and labelling of food, apart from the identification marking requirements at Annex II of Regulation (EC) No 853/2004, which are enforced by District Councils
- an identified risk to health, notified by a Public Analyst as a result of sampling

### 2.6.6.3 Joint responsibility

In the following circumstances the District Council or the County Council or both may investigate and take enforcement action.

**Food Alerts:** Where a clearly identified risk to health has been notified by means of a Food Alert issued by the FSA, either the District Council, the County Council or both might be required to investigate and take enforcement action, such responsibility will be defined in the Food Alert.

**Use By Dates:** Where food is found to be on the market after its use-by date, Article 24(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 provides that it is automatically deemed to be unsafe within the meaning of Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, enforcement of which is under the Food Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013, and is the responsibility of both the County and District Competent Authorities to enforce.

**Primary Production:** The FSA has not specified whether investigations and enforcement action in relation to primary production are undertaken at District or County level, but it is anticipated that most of this work will be undertaken by authorised officers at County level, and it must be agreed at a local level as to which Competent Authority takes responsibility, having regard to the principles of the Farm Regulators' Charter.

**<Amendment start> Allergens:** District Council Competent Authorities in an area for which there is a County Council Competent Authority, have the power to enforce the provisions of Regulation 9(2) of the Food Information Regulations 2014 in relation to allergen requirements for non-prepacked and prepacked for direct sale foods (PPDS), and it must be agreed at a local level how enforcement of these provisions are to be shared, but it is expected that District Council Competent Authorities will enforce these provisions at catering premises as part of their planned intervention programme

**<Amendment end>.**

## 2.7 Primary Authority and Home Authority

### 2.7.1 Primary Authority

**<Amendment start>** Primary Authority is a statutory scheme administered by the Office for Product Safety and Standards (OPSS) on behalf of the Secretary of State for the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS). The scope of Primary Authority extends only to reserved matters so, for food and feed, the scheme operates only in England and Wales. Food businesses trading in both England and Wales that wish to benefit from Primary Authority in both nations will need to partner with both a primary authority in England and a primary authority in Wales.

The FSA endorses Primary Authority<sup>36</sup> and works with OPSS to support the delivery of Primary Authority for food regulators to deliver consistency between Competent Authorities and avoid unnecessary duplication of regulatory effort.

---

<sup>36</sup> Chapter 2, Section 9 of the Framework Agreement

The FSA expects Competent Authorities to fulfil their statutory obligations under the Primary Authority scheme: by notifying a Primary Authority of enforcement action, either in advance of taking the action, or where permitted, retrospectively; and by complying with the requirements in relation to published inspection plans.

Competent Authorities must have regard to the [BEIS Primary Authority Statutory Guidance](#), specifically to Part E which provides guidance on their responsibilities as 'enforcing authorities' within Primary Authority.

In their planning and delivery of official food controls and other official activities Competent Authorities should use the information held on the [Primary Authority Register](#) to determine whether businesses with whom they are dealing have Primary Authority partnerships.

Where a business has a Primary Authority partnership the Competent Authority must follow an inspection plan for the business where it has been developed by the primary authority and received consent from the Secretary of State, notify the primary authority, in accordance with statutory requirements, if enforcement action is envisaged. In most cases this should be done before action is taken, except where there is an urgent need to avoid significant risk of harm to human health, the environment or financial interests of consumers, where the enforcement action must be notified retrospectively.

A primary authority may make visits in another Competent Authority area at the request of the business. These visits may be carried out as part of an information gathering exercise without the use of any powers. If the primary authority carries out a visit using powers to obtain evidence of contraventions, the relevant Competent Authority must be notified in advance where possible, or as soon as practicable **<Amendment end>**.

### **2.7.2 Home Authority**

The FSA endorses the Home Authority Principle, which is governed by a Joint Statement of Commitment (JSoC) signed by the Office for Product Safety and Standards, the Chartered Trading Standards Institute (CTSI) and the Chartered Institute of Environmental Health (CIEH), in June 2011. Competent Authorities should, where possible, adopt and implement its provisions.

The Home Authority Principle seeks to maintain and support the essential elements of the regulatory landscape and to rationalise and streamline existing systems of professional support and network communication enabling a coherent framework of business engagement to be developed.

Home Authorities operate in situations when a business does not have a Primary Authority partnership in place (for example, if a business chooses not to enter into a partnership or is legally unable to have one), but where there remains a clear need for regulatory activity in relation to that business to be co-ordinated. For example, instances of non-compliance that could be geographically widespread, potentially leading to similar but un-connected regulatory interventions by several Competent Authorities.

Home Authorities facilitate the sharing of intelligence amongst Competent Authorities and aim to achieve business compliance primarily in food safety and hygiene, and food

standards. The Competent Authority located in the same area as a business's head office (or alternatively the Competent Authority where the goods or services are produced) is often best placed to act as the Home Authority for that business.

The Home Authority Principle expects a local authority to place special emphasis on goods and services originating from a business based within its own area, in an effort to regulate the business at source, thus giving rise to efficiencies in the regulatory system as a whole.

The Home Authority Principle enables regulators to focus on the protection of citizens, workers and the environment whilst adhering to the principles of good regulation (proportionality, accountability, consistency, transparency and targeting).

Competent Authorities considering giving advice or taking enforcement action in relation to food businesses which have a Home Authority must consider whether they need to contact the Home Authority before doing so. This may be necessary, for example, where the advice or enforcement action relates to centrally agreed policies or procedures of a food business. It might not be necessary, however, where such action relates to matters of an exclusively local nature.

## 2.8 Provision of discretionary services

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities may charge for the provision of discretionary services<sup>37</sup>, such as the provision of training or business advice, otherwise than for commercial purposes.

Competent Authorities that provide these services must ensure:

- they make readily available:
  - their fees and charges<sup>38</sup>
  - details of how their fees and charges were calculated<sup>39</sup>
  - an explanation of whether compliance will affect fees and charges, where appropriate<sup>40</sup>
  - what the main features of the service(s) are<sup>41</sup>
  - any terms and conditions applicable to the provision of the service<sup>42</sup>
  - details on how to complain about the service provided<sup>43</sup> **<Amendment end>**
- **<OCR change start>** their conflict of interest procedure and/or arrangements sets out how authorised officers will remain free of conflicts of interest when

---

<sup>37</sup> Section 93(1)(a) of the Local Government Act 2003

<sup>38</sup> Regulation 8(1)(l) of the Provision of Services Regulations 2009

<sup>39</sup> Paragraph 6.2 of the Regulators' Code

<sup>40</sup> Paragraph 6.2 of the Regulators' Code

<sup>41</sup> Regulation 8(1)(m) of The Provision of Services Regulations 2009

<sup>42</sup> Regulation 8(1)(i) of The Provision of Services Regulations 2009

<sup>43</sup> Paragraph 6.2 of the Regulators Code

delivering official food controls, or other official activities and chargeable discretionary services<sup>44</sup> **<OCR change end>**

- **<Amendment start>** they make clear wherever details of these services are provided, that other providers of these services are available
- the FBO agrees to the provision of the service before it is provided, and is aware that it is voluntary
- they make clear to the FBO verbally, and in writing, if appropriate, that these services are separate to an official control or other official activities  
**<Amendment end>**

## 2.9 Appointment of a Public Analyst

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must:

- appoint one or more Public Analysts<sup>45</sup> who meet the minimum qualifications set out in the Food Safety (Sampling and Qualifications) (England) Regulations 2013  
**<OCR change end>**
- **<Amendment start>** ensure the appointed analyst(s) have the capacity for testing the range of samples and analytes required by the Competent Authority, or have in place arrangements to have such testing carried out under their supervision as permitted by section 30 of the Act

This section does not apply to District Council Competent Authorities in two tier Competent Authority areas, except where the functions of the County Council Competent Authority have been transferred to the District Council Competent Authority  
**<Amendment end>**.

## 2.10 Facilities and equipment

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities must:

- make available the necessary facilities and equipment that are required to ensure the effective delivery of all activities associated with the service to be provided<sup>46</sup> **<Amendment end>**
- **<OCR change start>** provide officers undertaking official food controls and other official activities with appropriate and properly maintained facilities and equipment, including appropriate personal protective equipment consistent with good industry practice, to ensure they can perform these efficiently and effectively<sup>47</sup> **<OCR change end>**

---

<sup>44</sup> Article 5(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>45</sup> Article 5(1)(d) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625; Chapter 2, Paragraph 12.8 of the Framework Agreement; Section 27 of the Act

<sup>46</sup> Paragraph 6.1 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>47</sup> Article 5(1)(e) and (f) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 and Chapter 2, Paragraphs 6.2 of the Framework Agreement

- **<Amendment start>** set-up, maintain and implement an appropriately configured database or other record management system which is accurate, reliable and capable of:
  - implementing the food hygiene, food standards and/or food hygiene at primary production risk-rating system
  - recording the full range of activities, including official food controls, complaint investigations, enforcement actions and controls at points of entry<sup>48</sup>
  - recording and retrieving the details of approved or conditionally approved and registered food business establishments,<sup>49</sup> including all activities undertaken
  - providing any information reasonably requested by the FSA<sup>50</sup>
  - receiving Food Alerts<sup>51</sup> **<Amendment end>**

## 2.11 Enforcement email addresses

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities must notify the FSA of:

- their email address to which communications can be sent
- any changes to these details, as soon as practicable **<Amendment end>**

## 2.12 Registration and approval of food business establishments

### 2.12.1 Registration and approval requirements

Competent Authorities must approve and register food business establishments in their area in accordance with the relevant legislation, the Code, the Practice Guidance, centrally issued guidance and the Competent Authority's policies and procedures.

### 2.12.2 Food establishment lists

#### 2.12.2.1 Registered establishment details

Competent Authorities (except County Councils) must:

- **<OCR change start>** maintain an up-to-date list of registered food business establishments within their area **<OCR change end>**<sup>52</sup>
- update registered establishment details upon receipt of notification of a change to a food establishment operation or FBO
- supply the FSA, when requested with a complete copy of their register of food business establishments

---

<sup>48</sup> Chapter 2, Paragraph 16.1 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>49</sup> Chapter 2, Paragraph 11.1 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>50</sup> Chapter 2, Paragraph 6.3 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>51</sup> Chapter 2, Paragraph 14.2 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>52</sup> Article 10(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

- ensure requests for information on food business establishments are handled with due regard to Freedom of Information, and Data Protection legislation

### 2.12.2.2 **Approved establishment details**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must maintain an up-to-date database of food business establishments which have been approved or conditionally approved by them<sup>53</sup> **<OCR change end>**.

Competent Authorities must:

- provide the FSA with a copy of the FBO's application form and approval issued by the Competent Authority
- notify the FSA:
  - when an establishment has been approved or conditionally approved
  - where an approved establishment ceases activities that are the subject of the approval or conditional approval
  - where an approval or conditional approval has been withdrawn or suspended

Competent Authorities must supply the FSA, when requested with a complete list of approved food business establishments.

## 2.13 **Approvals process**

### 2.13.1 **Applications**

Competent Authorities must:

- ensure applications for approval are only accepted from FBOs for establishments which are under their control and that intend to engage in activities for which approval is required
- ensure exemptions from approval are fully considered
- ensure the FBO supplies all relevant information before their application for approval is determined
- deal with applications for approval promptly and ask FBOs to submit applications in the appropriate format, as set out in the Practice Guidance
- take into consideration all activities carried out in the food business establishment, and ensure they comply with the relevant legal requirements for each type of product produced

### 2.13.2 **Determination of applications for approval**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must:

- before reaching a decision on an application for approval make an on-site visit<sup>54</sup> in the form of an inspection **<OCR change end>**

---

<sup>53</sup> Article 5(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>54</sup> Article 148(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

- verify whether the FBO complies with the relevant requirements of food law, and whether approval or conditional approval can be granted

### 2.13.3 Conditional and full approval

Competent Authorities must ensure that:

- they have regard to Chapter 2 of the Practice Guidance and FSA Approval of establishments - Guidance for local authority authorised officers when granting conditional and full approval
- **<OCR change start>** they approve an establishment for the activities concerned only if the food business operator has demonstrated that it complies with the relevant requirements of food law<sup>55</sup> **<OCR change end>**
- the decision to grant conditional approval, or extend conditional approval is done on a case by case basis and is based on professional judgement, but must not be given if non-compliance could lead to foods adversely affecting public health
- **<OCR change start>** where conditional approval is granted after the first on-site visit, it grants full approval only if it appears from a new official control visit of the establishment, carried out within three months of granting conditional approval, that the establishment meets the other relevant requirements of food law, including product specific requirements in Regulation (EC) No 853/2004<sup>56</sup> **<OCR change end>**
- if clear progress has been made since the first on-site visit but the establishment still does not meet all of the relevant requirements, the Competent Authority may prolong the conditional approval
- conditional approval is never extended to more than a total of six months, except in the case of factory and freezer vessels, for which such conditional approval shall not exceed a total of 12 months
- for new start up food business establishments conditional approval is granted prior to full approval, so that the FBO can demonstrate their food safety management system is valid and to verify its effectiveness
- a unique identification mark (including approval code) is given to each food business establishment it approves or conditionally approves
- the FBO is notified in writing when approval or conditional approval is granted, and notification includes:
  - the nature and scope of the approval
  - any conditions or limitations that apply
  - the approval code, or where approval is granted following conditional approval, confirmation that the approval code allocated to them can continue to be used
- a copy of the written notification(s) is retained

---

<sup>55</sup> Article 148(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>56</sup> Article 148(4) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

#### **2.13.4 Refusal of approval**

When a Competent Authority has decided to refuse an application for approval it must notify the applicant in writing of the decision at the earliest opportunity and must include:

- the reasons for refusal
- the matters necessary to satisfy requirements of the Regulation(s)
- make clear that activities requiring approval must not be undertaken unless approval or conditional approval is granted
- details of their right of appeal against the decision and address of the Magistrates Court where an appeal may be made<sup>57</sup>

#### **2.13.5 Change of activities, ownership, or details**

When a Competent Authority becomes aware of a change in ownership or activities of an approved food establishment, they must carry out an assessment of the change and take any action necessary.

A significant change will likely require an establishment to have a new approval before it can operate. Further information can be found in Chapter 2 of the Practice Guidance and FSA Approval of establishments - Guidance for local authority authorised officers.

#### **2.13.6 Establishments thought to be engaged in activities requiring approval**

Where a Competent Authority becomes aware of businesses engaged in activities that require approval, but that are not approved, they must inform the FBO, in writing, of the need for approval and consider appropriate enforcement action.

### **2.14 Retention of records**

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities must ensure records relating to food business establishments, including those that have ceased trading, are retained for at least six years<sup>58</sup>, unless they are required for longer retention because of:

- litigation
- review by the Local Government Ombudsman
- the document management policy of the Competent Authority specifying a longer period
- an instruction by the FSA
- the establishment's next intervention being due beyond the minimum six-year retention period, in which case records must continue to be retained and include:
  - the information necessary to meet the Food Hygiene Rating Scheme (FHRS) data standard

---

<sup>57</sup> Regulation 12 of The Official Feed and Food Controls (England) Regulations 2009

<sup>58</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 16.2 of the Framework Agreement

- the information necessary for the FSA to fulfil its duty to keep national lists (section 2.12.2)
- details on the type of activities undertaken by the business, including any special equipment, processes, or features, where relevant
- details of any significant issues, including details of any non-compliance to be reviewed at future interventions **<Amendment end>**

DRAFT

## Chapter 3 Authorisation, qualifications, and competency

### 3.1 Introduction

**<Amendment start>** This Chapter:

- outlines the requirements for delegation of official food controls and other official activities to delegated bodies and natural persons
- outlines the qualifications and competency requirements for authorised officers undertaking official food controls, other official activities, and any other activities related to these **<Amendment end>**
- **<OCR change start>** implements the training provisions of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 on official controls and other official activities<sup>59</sup> **<OCR change end>**

The qualification and competency requirements in this Chapter do not apply to those who have only indirect managerial responsibility for the Competent Authority's food law enforcement service such as Chief Executives, Directors, or Chief Officers, or to those employed in a support role such as administrative and legal staff.

### 3.2 Delegation of official food controls and other official activities

#### 3.2.1 Delegation to authorising officers

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities must ensure that the power to authorise officers has been properly delegated to the authorising officer and that this delegation has been recorded, for example in the constitution of the Competent Authority.

#### 3.2.2 Delegation to other Competent Authorities

##### 3.2.2.1 Local Government Act

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities may be able to use authorised officers from other Competent Authorities to carry out their official food controls and/or other official activities using the provisions provided by the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended).

The Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) permits Competent Authorities to carry out functions on behalf of other Competent Authorities in a number of different methods:

- Delegation<sup>60</sup> - Competent Authorities can delegate the delivery of their functions to another Competent Authority, but the delegating Authority remains responsible for ensuring the functions are carried out

---

<sup>59</sup> Article 5(4) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>60</sup> Section 101 of the Local Government Act 1972

- Joint Committee<sup>61</sup> - Competent Authorities can establish joint committees with other Competent Authorities (usually through a legal agreement signed by participating Authorities) in order to discharge their functions
- Agreement<sup>62</sup> - Competent Authorities can enter into agreements (subject to consultation with the officers involved) with other Competent Authorities to place their officers at the disposal of the other Competent Authority, allowing these officers to carry out the functions of that other Competent Authority

Competent Authorities must ensure that if another Competent Authority carries out official food controls and/or other official activities on their behalf that there has been a proper delegation of these functions and that this has been documented.

Competent Authorities should seek advice from their own legal teams before delegating any functions to another Competent Authority **<Amendment end>**.

### **3.2.2.2 Delegation of certain tasks of the Competent Authorities**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities may delegate official food controls to one or more delegated bodies<sup>63</sup> and natural persons who are not themselves Competent Authorities.

Where delegating official food controls to delegated bodies or natural persons, the delegating Competent Authority must ensure:

- the delegated body or natural person have powers needed to effectively perform the controls being delegated<sup>64</sup>
- the delegation is in writing<sup>65</sup>
- the delegation contains a precise description of those official controls the delegated body or natural persons may perform and the conditions under which they may perform those controls<sup>66</sup>
- there are arrangements in place for ensuring efficient and effective coordination between themselves and the delegated body or natural person<sup>67</sup>
- that the delegated body or natural person<sup>68</sup>
  - communicates the outcome of the official food controls and/or other official activities regularly and whenever these details are requested
  - immediately informs them of the outcome of official food controls and/or other official activities where non-compliances are identified, unless specific arrangements are in place which provide otherwise

---

<sup>61</sup> Section 102 of the Local Government Act 1972

<sup>62</sup> Section 113 of the Local Government Act 1972

<sup>63</sup> Article 3(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>64</sup> Article 28(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>65</sup> Article 29 and 30 of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>66</sup> Article 29(a) and 30(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>67</sup> Article 29(c) and 30(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>68</sup> Article 32 of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

- cooperates with them and where appropriate, provide them with assistance and access to premises and facilities
- audit the delegated body or natural persons that the controls have been delegated to, as necessary
- fully or partly withdraw the delegation where the delegated body:
  - fails to properly undertake these official food controls activities
  - fails to take appropriate and timely action to remedy any issues identified with their delivery of official food controls and/or other official activities
  - compromises their independence or impartiality
- provide the FSA with details of:
  - the delegated body or natural person
  - the specific tasks delegated to them
  - any subsequent withdrawal or change in the tasks delegated

Where official food controls are delegated to a delegated body, the delegating Competent Authority must assign them a specific code<sup>69</sup> and ensure the delegated body<sup>70</sup>:

- has the expertise, equipment, documented control procedures<sup>71</sup> and infrastructure to carry out the controls delegated to them
- has a sufficient number of suitably qualified and competent individuals to perform efficiently and effectively official food controls delegated to them
- is impartial and free from any conflict of interest and in particular is not in a situation which may, directly or indirectly, affect the impartiality of its professional conduct as regards the performance of those official control tasks delegated to it;
- carries out official food controls in accordance with the Code, the Practice Guidance, and the Framework Agreement
- works and is accredited in accordance with standards relevant to the delegated tasks in question, including standard EN ISO/IEC 17020 'Requirements for the operation of various types of bodies performing inspection
- has sufficient powers to perform the official food controls delegated to it

Where official food controls are delegated to a natural person, the delegating Competent Authority must ensure the natural person<sup>72</sup>:

- has the expertise, equipment, documented control procedures and infrastructure to carry out the controls delegated to them<sup>73</sup>

---

<sup>69</sup> Article 28(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>70</sup> Article 29(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>71</sup> Article 12(4) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>72</sup> Article 30(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>73</sup> Article 30(b)(i) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

- acts impartially and is free from any conflict of interest as regards the exercise of those official control tasks delegated to them<sup>74</sup>
- is suitably qualified and competent to perform efficiently and effectively official food controls delegated to them<sup>75</sup>
- carries out official food controls in accordance with the Code, the Practice Guidance, and the Framework Agreement

Competent Authorities may also delegate other official activities to one or more:

- delegated bodies unless prohibited by the rules referred to in Article 1(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625<sup>76</sup>
- natural persons where permitted unless prohibited by the rules referred to in Article 1(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625<sup>77</sup>

Where other official activities are delegated, the requirements above also apply, with the following exceptions:

- delegated bodies are not required to work and be accredited in accordance with standards relevant to the delegated tasks in question, including standard EN ISO/IEC 17020 'Requirements for the operation of various types of bodies performing inspection'<sup>78</sup>
- decisions concerning the action to take to ensure a FBO remedies non-compliances and prevent further non-compliances and written notification of the action must not be delegated<sup>79</sup> **<OCR change end>**

### 3.3 Authorisation

#### 3.3.1 Appointment of staff

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must:

- appoint a sufficient number of suitably qualified and competent officers so that official food controls and other official activities can be performed efficiently and effectively<sup>80</sup>
- ensure that if they need to engage expertise in an area listed in Chapter I of Annex II of Regulation (EU) 2017/625, any expert holds a suitable qualification and is competent in the area for which the expertise is required **<OCR change end>**

---

<sup>74</sup> Article 30(b)(ii) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>75</sup> Article 30(b)(iii) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>76</sup> Article 31(1)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>77</sup> Article 31(2)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>78</sup> Article 31(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>79</sup> Article 31(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>80</sup> Article 5(1)(e) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 and Chapter 2, Paragraph 5.3 of the Framework Agreement

### 3.3.2 Authorisation of officers

**<Amendment start>** This section applies to authorised officers, lead officers and regulatory support officers.

Before Competent Authorities authorise or extend an officer's duties their lead food officer(s) must ensure officers:

- (a) carrying out official food controls or other official activities hold a suitable qualification, listed in section 3.4.1, 3.4.2 and 3.4.3, relevant to their role, and the activities they will be authorised to undertake, unless the officer only performs:
  - regulatory support officer activities; or
  - official controls or certain tasks related to other official activities at BCPs (see sections 3.5.1, 3.5.2 and 3.5.3)
- (b) are subject to an appropriate competency assessment against the competencies set out in:
  - the FSA Knowledge and skills for the effective delivery of official food and feed controls and other activities (Competency Framework) as published on the FSA website
  - Chapter 3 of the Practice Guidance
- (c) have their authorisation of legal powers and duties restricted, until they can demonstrate they meet the competencies, relevant to their role, and the activities they will undertake.

Competent Authorities must ensure:

- the decision to determine that an officer meets the suitable qualification and competency requirements, relevant to the activities the officer will be authorised to undertake, must be made by the lead food officer(s)
- officers returning to food law enforcement after a period of absence are subject to an appropriate competency assessment which is proportionate to the length of the absence, and relevant to the activities they will undertake
- officers who move from one Competent Authority to another are subject to an appropriate competency assessment which is proportionate to their previous experience, and relevant to the activities they will undertake
- officers receive the necessary training which is identified by a lead food officer(s), or another competent authorised officer, to address any deficiencies highlighted in an officer's competency assessment
- authorisation of officers is in writing
- officers performing duties with regard to food law regulations, which include specific enforcement powers, not derived from the Food Safety Act 1990, are specifically authorised for those regulations
- they keep records, which may be computer-based, of their officer's:
  - qualifications, where relevant
  - competency assessment **<Amendment end>**

### **3.3.3 Authorised Officers**

**<Amendment start>** These are officers, other than regulatory support officers, who undertake assessments of compliance with food law and take enforcement action, as appropriate **<Amendment end>**.

### **3.3.4 Lead food officer**

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities must:

- appoint one or more, suitably qualified and competent lead food officer(s), who are responsible for the operational management of food law matters
- provide the FSA with the:
  - name and contact details (telephone number and email address) of their appointed lead food officer(s)
  - details of any changes as soon as practicable

A Competent Authority's lead food officer(s) may be an officer(s) employed by another Competent Authority or Competent Authorities provided they meet the necessary competency requirements for the area(s) for which they have been appointed **<Amendment end>**.

### **3.3.5 Regulatory support officers**

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities:

- may authorise regulatory support officers to perform any of the following activities as detailed in the Competency Framework:
    - alternative interventions
    - education, advice, and coaching
    - information gathering, excluding the sub-activity gathering, processing, and sharing intelligence
    - shellfish environmental monitoring<sup>81</sup>.
  - must ensure regulatory support officers have appropriate supervision
- <Amendment end>**

## **3.4 Qualification requirements**

### **3.4.1 Food Hygiene**

**<Amendment start>** The 'suitable qualification' requirement, for food hygiene enforcement is met if an officer holds one or more of the following qualifications<sup>82</sup>:

---

<sup>81</sup> Chapter 2, Article 59 of Regulation (EU) 2019/627

<sup>82</sup> The above qualifications require the successful completion of all elements, including written exams, portfolios, oral exams, practical exams, professional interviews, as specified by the awarding body.

- Higher Certificate in Food Control awarded by the Environmental Health Registration Board (EHRB)
- Higher Certificate in Official Control awarded by the Scottish Food Safety Officers Registration Board (SFSORB)
- A Certificate of Registration awarded by the EHRB to practice as an Environmental Health Practitioner
- A Diploma in Environmental Health awarded by the EHRB or the REHIS
- Advanced Professional Certificate in Food Hygiene and Standards Control (APC)
- Degree in Environmental Health
- Masters Degree in Environmental Health
- Environmental Health Degree Apprenticeship
- Higher Certificate in Food Premises Inspection awarded by the EHRB, IFST or the SFSORB
- Higher Certificate in Food Premises Inspection awarded by the EHRB, IFST or the SFSORB with the Food Standards Endorsement
- Higher Certificate in Food Premises Inspection awarded by the EHRB, IFST or the SFSORB the with Food Inspection Endorsement
- Ordinary Certificate in Food Premises Inspection awarded by the EHRB, IFST or SFSORB

The lead food officer(s) must ensure officers holding those qualifications listed in Chapter 3 of the Practice Guidance, have their authorisation of legal powers and duties restricted, until they can demonstrate the competency requirements, relevant to the activities they will undertake **<Amendment end>**.

### 3.4.2 Food standards

**<Amendment start>** The 'suitable qualification' requirement, for food standards enforcement, is met if an officer holds one or more of the following qualifications<sup>83</sup>:

- CTSI Trading Standards Practitioner Certificate with the Food Standards unit from the Trading Standards Practitioner Diploma
- Trading Standards Qualification Framework (TSQF) Diploma in Consumer Affairs and Trading Standards (DCATS) with the Food Standards Service Delivery Module
- Trading Standards Qualification Framework (TSQF) Higher Diploma in Consumer Affairs and Trading Standards (HDCATS) with the Food Standards Service Delivery Module
- Diploma in Trading Standards
- Diploma in Consumer Affairs (DCA Part II) provided it includes the Food and Agriculture paper

---

<sup>83</sup> The above qualifications require the successful completion of all elements, including written exams, portfolios, oral exams, practical exams, professional interviews, as specified by the awarding body.

- Trading Standards Qualification Framework (TSQF) Certificate of Competence in Food Standards service delivery module
- Trading Standards Qualification Framework (TSQF) Core Skills Certificate in Consumer Affairs and Trading Standards (CSCATS) with the Food Standards Service Delivery Module
- Diploma in Consumer Affairs Certificate of Competence in Food and Agriculture
- Higher Certificate in Food Control awarded by EHRB
- Higher Certificate in Official Control awarded by SFSORB
- Advanced Professional Certificate in Food Hygiene and Standards Control (APC)
- A Certificate of Registration awarded by the EHRB to practice as an Environmental Health Practitioner
- A Diploma in Environmental Health awarded by the EHRB or the REHIS
- Degree in Environmental Health
- Masters Degree in Environmental Health
- Higher Certificate in Food Premises Inspection with the Food Standards Endorsement awarded by the EHRB or the IFST
- The Higher Certificate in Food Standards Inspection awarded by the SFSORB
- Environmental Health Degree Apprenticeship

In addition, for food business establishments where quality assurance systems are to be assessed, officers should possess a Quality Assurance qualification, or equivalent professional experience and competency to enable them to assess quality assurance systems.

Officers holding one or more of the qualifications detailed below must not be authorised for food standards enforcement, except for the enforcement of the provisions of Regulation 9(2) of the Food Information Regulations 2014 in relation to allergens in non-prepacked and prepacked for direct sale foods.

- Higher Certificate in Food Premises Inspection awarded by the EHRB, IFST or the SFSORB
- Higher Certificate in Food Premises Inspection with Food Inspection Endorsement awarded by the EHRB, IFST or the SFSORB
- Ordinary Certificate in Food Premises Inspection awarded by the EHRB, IFST or the SFSORB

Where a Competent Authority chooses to authorise an officer holding one or more of the qualifications listed below to enforce the provisions of Regulation 9(2) of the Food Information Regulations 2014 in relation to the provision of allergen information in non-prepacked and prepacked for direct sale foods, they must only do so if they can demonstrate the competencies for assessing products, labelling and other information, as far as they relate to allergen information in non-prepacked and prepacked for direct sale foods **<Amendment end>**.

### **3.4.3 Food hygiene at the level of primary production**

**<Amendment start>** If Competent Authority officers undertaking food hygiene enforcement at the level of primary production do not already hold one of the

qualifications listed in sections 3.4.1 or 3.4.2, they must hold one or more of the following qualifications<sup>84</sup>:

- CTSI Trading Standards Practitioner Certificate with the Feed unit from the Trading Standards Practitioner Diploma
- Trading Standards Qualification Framework (TSQF) Higher Diploma in Consumer Affairs and Trading Standards (HDCATS) with the Animal Health Service Delivery Module
- Trading Standards Qualification Framework (TSQF) Diploma in Consumer Affairs and Trading Standards (DCATS) with the Animal Health Service Delivery Module
- Trading Standards Qualification Framework (TSQF) Diploma in Consumer Affairs and Trading Standards (DCATS) with the Agriculture Service Delivery Module
- Trading Standards Qualification Framework (TSQF) Certificate of Competence in Agriculture
- Trading Standards Qualification Framework (TSQF) Certificate of Competence in Animal Health
- Trading Standards Qualification Framework (TSQF) Core Skills Certificate in Consumer Affairs and Trading Standards (CSCATS) with the Agriculture Service Delivery Module

The 'suitable qualification' requirement for officers undertaking controls at food business establishments subject to the requirements of Regulation (EU) No 210/2013 on the approval of establishments producing sprouts, is met if they hold one of the accredited qualifications listed in section 3.4.1 **<Amendment end>**.

#### **3.4.4 Equivalency of qualifications**

**<Amendment start>** Existing or prospective Competent Authority officers may have a range of qualifications, additional training and experience that together indicate their competence to undertake specific enforcement activities identified in the Code. In such cases, the relevant professional and awarding bodies should be approached directly by either the existing Competent Authority employer or prospective officer for an assessment of equivalence (fees may be payable). The assessment of equivalence must be against the listed qualifications in sections 3.4.1, 3.4.2 and 3.4.3 as appropriate **<Amendment end>**.

**<EU Exit change start>** Competent Authorities must:

- consider suitable non-UK qualifications and experience where the qualification was obtained in a European Economic Area (EEA) Member State or Switzerland **<EU Exit change end>**<sup>85</sup>

---

<sup>84</sup> The above qualifications require the successful completion of all elements, including written exams, portfolios, oral exams, practical exams, professional interviews, as specified by the awarding body.

- make enquiries with the relevant professional and awarding bodies if they have any questions about this area before confirming an appointment

### **3.5 Requirements for staff undertaking import controls at Border Control Posts**

#### **3.5.1 Controls on fishery products, aquatic invertebrates, live bivalve molluscs, live echinoderms, live tunicates and live marine gastropods intended for human consumption**

**<OCR change start>** If the approval for the Border Control Post (BCP) permits the importation of any fishery products, aquatic invertebrates, live bivalve molluscs, live echinoderms, live tunicates and live marine gastropods intended for human consumption, the Competent Authority may appoint suitably trained environmental health officers or other persons who are appropriately trained to perform official controls or certain tasks related to other official activities, to be official fish inspectors for that post in relation to such products.

Any such official fish inspector has all the powers of an official veterinary surgeon in relation to those products<sup>86</sup>.

Competent Authorities must ensure official fish inspectors:

- fulfil the authorisation requirements specified in section 3.3.2
- are appropriately trained in accordance with sections 3.5.4, if undertaking physical checks
- are appropriately trained in accordance with section 3.7
- can demonstrate their competency in accordance with section 3.6 **<OCR change end>**

#### **3.5.2 Controls on products of animal origin, germinal products or animal by-products**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities may appoint officers to undertake official controls (including documentary physical and identity checks) on products of animal origin<sup>87</sup> (except meat and edible meat offal), germinal products or animal by-products<sup>88</sup>.

Decisions on consignments of products of animal origin (except meat and edible meat offal), germinal products or animal by products must be taken by an official veterinarian<sup>89</sup>.

---

<sup>85</sup> The Recognition of Professional Qualifications (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019

<sup>86</sup> Regulation 26(11) of The Official Controls (Animals, Feed and Food, Plant Health Fees etc.) Regulations 2019, amending Regulation 12(4) of The Trade in Animals and Related Products Regulations 2011

<sup>87</sup> See section 3.5.1 for the requirements relating to fishery products

<sup>88</sup> Article 49(2)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

Competent Authorities must ensure officers:

- fulfil the authorisation requirements specified in section 3.3.2
- are appropriately trained in accordance with sections 3.5.4, if undertaking physical checks
- are appropriately trained in accordance with section 3.7
- can demonstrate their competency in accordance with section 3.6 **<OCR change end>**

### **3.5.3 Controls on animals, or meat and edible meat offal**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities may appoint officers to assist official veterinarians with official controls (including documentary, physical and identity checks) on animals (except aquatic animals), or meat and edible meat offal at BCPs<sup>90</sup>.

Decisions on consignments of animals (except aquatic animals)<sup>91</sup>, or meat and edible meat offal must be taken by an official veterinarian<sup>92</sup> **<OCR change end>**.

Competent Authorities must ensure officers assisting official veterinarians with physical checks:

- fulfil the authorisation requirements specified in section 3.3.2
- are appropriately trained in accordance with sections 3.5.4, if undertaking physical checks
- are appropriately trained in accordance with section 3.7
- can demonstrate their competency in accordance with section 3.6 **<OCR change end>**

### **3.5.4 Training for staff on import controls on animals, products of animal origin, germinal products, or animal by-products**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must ensure staff assisting an official veterinarian with physical checks and those undertaking physical checks themselves on animals, aquatic animals, products of animal origin, germinal products or animal by-products at BCPs, except official veterinarians and official plant health officers, must have successfully completed a programme of training provided for in Article 3 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1081<sup>93</sup>.

The content of the training programme must be determined according to the animals and goods that the BCP has been designated for, and the role that the officer undertakes **<OCR change end>**.

---

<sup>89</sup> Article 55(2)(a) Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>90</sup> Article 49(2)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>91</sup> See section 3.5.1 for the requirements relating to aquatic animals

<sup>92</sup> Article 55(2)(a) Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>93</sup> Article 49(2)(a) and 49(2)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

### **3.5.5 Import controls on high-risk food not of animal origin and high-risk food contact materials**

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities must ensure authorised officers undertaking official controls or certain tasks related to other official activities on imports of high-risk food not of animal origin and high-risk food contact materials at BCPs<sup>94</sup>:

- fulfil the authorisation requirements specified in section 3.3.2
- are appropriately trained in accordance with section 3.7
- can demonstrate their competency in accordance with section 3.6 **<Amendment end>**

### **3.6 Competency requirements**

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities must ensure:

- lead officer(s)
- authorised officers
- officers performing official controls or certain tasks related to official activities at BCPs (see section 3.5)
- regulatory support officers

can demonstrate they meet the competencies, relevant to their role, and the activities they will undertake, as detailed in:

- the Competency Framework
- Chapter 3 of the Code and Practice Guidance **<Amendment end>**

### **3.7 Training and Continuing Professional Development (CPD)**

#### **3.7.1 Training and CPD requirements**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must ensure that authorised officers:

- (a) receive appropriate training based on the principles of continuing professional development<sup>95</sup>
- (b) receive regular additional training as necessary
- (c) receive training on subject matters set out in Chapter 1 of Annex II of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 and on the obligations of the Competent Authority resulting from this Regulation, relevant to the activities they undertake **<OCR change end>**
- (d) training and CPD is kept up to date, and reviewed on an annual basis
- (e) training is identified by a lead food officer(s) or another competent authorised officer to address any deficiencies highlighted in the competency assessment

---

<sup>94</sup> Regulation (EU) 284/2011

<sup>95</sup> Article 5(4) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 and Chapter 2 Paragraph 5.4 of the Framework Agreement

- (f) keep records of training and CPD, which may be computer-based, of training undertaken<sup>96</sup>

Competent Authorities must ensure authorised officers remain competent by receiving a minimum of 20 CPD hours per year, relevant to the activities they are authorised to undertake.

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must ensure the 20 hours CPD are split as follows:

- a minimum of 10 hours on subject matters set out in Chapter 1 of Annex II of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 and on the obligations of the Competent Authority resulting from this Regulation, relevant to the activities they are authorised to undertake **<OCR change end>**
- 10 hours on other professional matters

Regulatory support officers are not subject to minimum CPD hours requirements but must still receive relevant training appropriate to the activities they undertake.

### **3.7.2 Training programmes**

Competent authorities must:

- develop and implement a training programme to ensure that authorised officers receive the training referred to in section 3.7.1 points (a) to (c), relevant to the activities they undertake
- ensure their training programmes are informed by, and address, any areas identified where an authorised officer's competence falls short of that required to perform their current role or to extend it to new areas of activity

---

<sup>96</sup> Chapter 2, Paragraph 5.5 of the Framework Agreement

## Chapter 4 Delivery of interventions

### 4.1 Introduction

**<OCR change start>** This Chapter covers the delivery of official food controls (their methods and techniques)<sup>97</sup>, other official activities and alternative interventions **<OCR change end>**.

### 4.2 Delivery of official food controls and other official activities

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must ensure:

- they perform official food controls on all operators regularly, on a risk basis and with appropriate frequency, taking account of identified risks associated with<sup>98</sup>:
  - animals and goods
  - the activities under the control of operators
  - the location of the activities or operations of operators
  - the use of products, processes, materials or substances that may influence food safety, integrity and wholesomeness, or feed safety, animal health or animal welfare, plant health or, in the case of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and plant protection products, that may also have an adverse impact on the environment
- any information indicating the likelihood that consumers might be misled, in particular as to the nature, identity, properties, composition, quantity, durability, country of origin or place of provenance, method of manufacture or production of food
- operators' past record as regards the outcome of official controls performed on them and their compliance with the rules referred to in Article 1(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625
- the reliability and results of own controls that have been performed by the operators, or by a third party at their request, including, where appropriate, private quality assurance schemes, for the purpose of ascertaining compliance with the rules referred to in Article 1(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625
- any information that might indicate non-compliance with the rules referred to in Article 1(2)

Competent Authorities must ensure:

- they perform official food controls regularly, with appropriate frequencies determined on a risk basis, to identify possible intentional violations of the rules referred to in Article 1(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625, perpetrated through fraudulent or deceptive practices, and taking into account information regarding

---

<sup>97</sup> Article 14 of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>98</sup> Article 9(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

such violations shared through the mechanisms of administrative assistance and any other information pointing to the possibility of such violations<sup>99</sup>

- official food controls are performed as much as possible in such a manner that the administrative burden and operational disruption for FBOs are kept to the minimum necessary, but without negatively affecting the effectiveness of controls<sup>100</sup>
- official food controls are performed with a high level of transparency<sup>101</sup>
- when performing their duties in the context of official food controls and other official activities they comply with the confidentiality obligations in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) 2017/625
- food businesses provide sufficient information to Competent Authorities to establish that food-related activities carried out at or in connection with food establishments comply with food law

Competent authorities shall perform controls in the same manner, while taking account of the need to adapt the controls to specific situation, irrespective of whether the animals and goods concerned are being imported, exported, or placed on the UK market<sup>102</sup> **<OCR change end>**.

#### **4.2.1 Notification of official food controls**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must carry out official food controls without prior notice, except in cases such as audits where prior notification of the FBO is necessary<sup>103</sup>. Prior notification must be necessary and duly justified for the official control to be carried out. Chapter 4 of the Practice Guidance provides further examples of where prior notification may be considered acceptable **<OCR change end>**.

#### **4.2.2 Carrying out official food controls**

Authorised officers must use their professional judgement to determine what activities, at a food business establishment, are examined during an official control. It is their duty to assure themselves and the FSA that the relevant requirements of food law are being complied with.

Where, there is a Primary Authority inspection plan in place Authorised Officers must follow it and must provide feedback to the primary authority if required.

Competent Authorities, as relevant and appropriate to the type of establishment, must:

- establish the scope of the business's activities and the relevant food law that applies to the operations taking place, including any relevant changes since the last official control
- assess the risk of the business failing to meet the requirements of food law

---

<sup>99</sup> Article 9(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>100</sup> Article 9(5) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>101</sup> Article 11(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>102</sup> Article 9(6) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>103</sup> Article 9(4) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

- identify any actual or potential breaches of food law and, if appropriate, gather and preserve evidence
- thoroughly and systematically gather and record information from the observation of practices, procedures, and processes, including procedures based on Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) principles, and through discussion with the FBO and their employees
- record sufficient information of the officer's findings to indicate what was examined/inspected, how compliance with food law is achieved at the food business establishment, and any deficiencies identified
- assess whether to take samples, and record details of samples taken
- determine relevant enforcement action and communicate to the FBO and primary authority or home authority, where there is one, any intention to carry out such action
- base inspections and audits on the relevant model form, where one has been developed, for the business concerned

#### **4.2.3 Written records of official food controls**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must draw up written records of every official control that they perform. Those records may be on paper or in electronic form and must contain the information listed in Chapter 4 of the Practice Guidance<sup>104</sup> **<OCR change end>**.

Competent Authorities must promptly inform the FBO in writing of any case of non-compliance identified through the official controls and provide a copy of the written record to the FBO, except where:

- enforcement action or court proceedings require otherwise
- an official certificate or official attestation has been issued

#### **4.2.4 Initial inspections**

This section does not apply to establishments at the level of primary production, with the exception of food business establishments subject to the requirements of Regulation (EU) No 210/2013 on the approval of establishments producing sprouts.

This section applies:

- to new food business establishments who come to the attention of the Competent Authority for the first time
- to food business establishments that have no historical risk-rating
- where there is a change in FBO

Competent Authorities must:

- determine the intervention rating(s) following an initial inspection

---

<sup>104</sup> Article 13(1) and 13(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

- ensure initial inspections take place within 28 days of registration or from when the Authority becomes aware that the establishment is in operation, whichever is the sooner
- use information supplied by FBOs when registering their food business establishments to determine when to carry out an initial inspection

### **4.3 Food intervention rating systems**

#### **4.3.1 Frequency of interventions and the requirements of a risk-based approach**

Competent Authorities responsible for enforcing food law must ensure:

- that for food businesses within their area they determine the type of official control method or technique and frequency<sup>105</sup> using the relevant intervention rating system, food hygiene, food standards or food hygiene at the level of primary production, set out in section 4.4<sup>106</sup> and Annex 1, unless instructed otherwise by the FSA in accordance with paragraph 2.3 of the Code
- that intervention programmes are planned so that establishments receive an intervention no later than 28 days after the due intervention date. In circumstances outside the control of the Competent Authority such as seasonal business closures, Competent Authorities have the discretion to defer an intervention
- their officers utilise the full range of scores available within the risk rating system at Annex 1
- where businesses fall into more than one scoring category for a scoring factor, they must be allocated the highest score of those that are applicable
- interventions for higher risk businesses or those that are likely to be high risk always take priority over interventions for lower risk businesses
- their officers when determining the intervention rating of an establishment consider information supplied by others, including:
  - other Competent Authorities
  - other sources such as trade bodies
  - Primary Authorities or Home Authorities
  - third party audits

#### **4.3.2 Revision of intervention ratings**

Competent Authorities must ensure their officers:

---

<sup>105</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 7.1 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>106</sup> Where the Competent Authority is responsible only for food standards enforcement, or where food hygiene and food standards enforcement is carried out by the same Competent Authority, the food standards risk assessment may be based on the Trading Standards risk assessment scheme but only while it continues to reflect the same frequencies of inspection as those stated in Annex 1

- only revise the intervention rating(s) of a food business at the conclusion of an inspection or audit, partial or full, and in accordance with section 4.4 and Annex 1 (or any amendment thereto that has been notified to Competent Authorities by the FSA)
- have gathered sufficient information to justify the revision of the intervention rating
- record the intervention rating, and justification for its revision

When new information arises, that might suggest the nature of an FBOs activities has changed or the level of compliance has deteriorated the Competent Authority must:

- reconsider both the intervention rating and appropriateness of the next planned intervention for that establishment
- decide whether it is appropriate to conduct an inspection, partial inspection, or audit to investigate further
- revise the intervention rating as necessary
- record the intervention rating, and justification for its revision

#### **4.3.3 Alternative Enforcement Strategy**

Every Competent Authority must devise an Alternative Enforcement Strategy to determine how they will conduct official controls duties at premises rated as low risk in accordance with the requirements set out in Annex 1.

### **4.4 Frequency of Controls and the Requirements of a Risk Based Approach**

#### **4.4.1 Food establishment intervention rating schemes**

The Section does not apply to establishments at the level of primary production. Details of the two intervention rating schemes are found at section 4.4.3.

##### **4.4.1.1 Establishments intervention rated category A or B for food hygiene**

The appropriate planned intervention for an establishment that has been given an intervention rating of A or B for food hygiene must be an inspection, partial inspection, or audit, which must be carried out at appropriate intervals in accordance with the prescribed frequencies specified in Annex 1.

Any other additional intervention, such as sampling or education and training, must be recorded against the establishment for the purpose of monitoring enforcement actions but must not be used as the intervention planned by the intervention frequency as given in Annex 1.

##### **4.4.1.2 Establishments intervention rated category C for food hygiene**

Establishments that have been given an intervention rating of C for food hygiene must receive an intervention at appropriate intervals in accordance with the prescribed frequencies specified in Annex 1. Such interventions may consist of either an inspection, partial inspection, or audit until such time as the establishment is considered by the Competent Authority to be “broadly compliant” with relevant food law. Once broad compliance has been achieved, planned interventions may alternate between:

- an inspection, a partial inspection, or an audit; or
- another type of official control

#### **4.4.1.3 Establishments intervention rated category D for food hygiene**

Establishments that have been given an intervention rating of D for food hygiene must receive an intervention at appropriate intervals, in accordance with the prescribed frequencies specified in Annex 1. Such interventions can alternate between either an intervention that is an official control and an intervention that is not an official control.

Competent Authorities are restricted in the type of official control for establishments that are category D but are also rated 30 or 40 for “type of food and method of handling” within Annex 1. The official control for these establishments must be an inspection, partial inspection, or audit. Competent Authorities can alternate between these types of official controls and other types of interventions.

#### **4.4.1.4 Establishments intervention rated category E for food hygiene**

Establishments that have been given an intervention rating of category E for food hygiene could be subject to an Alternative Enforcement Strategy.

Competent Authorities must ensure that these establishments continue to be subject to official controls, an important reason being so that complaints can be investigated.

These establishments must, as a minimum, be subject to an intervention by the Competent Authority, which could take the form of an Alternative Enforcement Strategy, in accordance with the prescribed frequencies specified in Annex 1.

Competent Authorities that decide to subject “low-risk” establishments to Alternative Enforcement Strategies must set out their strategies for maintaining surveillance of such establishments in either their Food Service Plan or Enforcement Policy.

It is not intended that the flexibility offered to implement Alternative Enforcement Strategies would preclude full inspection, partial inspection, or audit of such establishments, where any of these are the Competent Authority’s preferred intervention option.

Where the establishment in question is subject to approval under Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 the use of Alternative Enforcement Strategies is not an appropriate form of intervention. The intervention for such an establishment must be an official control.

#### **4.4.2 Food Standards intervention frequency**

##### **4.4.2.1 Establishments intervention rated category A for food standards**

The appropriate planned intervention for an establishment that has been given an intervention rating of A for food standards, must be an inspection, partial inspection, or audit, which must be carried out at appropriate intervals in accordance with the prescribed frequencies specified in Annex 1.

Any other additional intervention, such as sampling or education and training, must be recorded against the establishment for the purpose of monitoring enforcement actions but must not be used as the intervention planned by the intervention frequency as given in Annex 1.

#### **4.4.2.2 Establishments intervention rated category B for food standards**

Establishments that have been given an intervention rating of B for food standards must receive an intervention at appropriate intervals in accordance with the prescribed frequencies specified in Annex 1. Such interventions may consist of either an inspection, partial inspection, or audit until such time as the establishment is considered by the Competent Authority to be “broadly compliant” with relevant food law. Once broad compliance has been achieved, planned interventions may alternate between an inspection, a partial inspection, or an audit or other type of official control.

#### **4.4.2.3 Establishments intervention rated category C for food standards**

Establishments that have been given an intervention rating of category C for food standards could be subject to an Alternative Enforcement Strategy.

Competent Authorities must ensure that these establishments continue to be subject to official controls, an important reason being so that complaints can be investigated.

Competent Authorities that decide to subject “low-risk” establishments to alternative enforcement strategies must set out their strategies for maintaining surveillance of such establishments in their Food Service Plan and/or Enforcement Policy.

These establishments must, as a minimum, be subject to an intervention by the Competent Authority, which could take the form of an Alternative Enforcement Strategy, not less than once every five years for food standards. It is not intended that the flexibility offered to implement Alternative Enforcement Strategies would preclude full inspection, partial inspection or audit of such establishments, where any of these are the Competent Authority’s preferred intervention option, in which case the minimum frequency of intervention is determined by the intervention rating.

Where the establishment in question is subject to approval under Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 the use of alternative enforcement strategies is not an appropriate form of intervention. The intervention for such an establishment must be an official control.

#### **4.4.3 Primary Production**

In determining interventions at the level of primary production the Competent Authority must make best use of evidence available. Examples of local or other intelligence, which the Competent Authority may use when prioritising interventions and considering whether an inspection is necessary, include:

- whether fresh produce is grown which is ready to eat, for example:
  - ‘leafy greens’ such as salad leaves, micro shoots, watercress, fresh herbs
  - stem and bulb vegetables such as radishes, celery, salad onions,
  - berries such as strawberries and raspberries
  - tomatoes
  - mushrooms
- membership of a ‘recognised’ farm assurance scheme, see Chapter 4 of the Practice Guidance
- change of activity
- track record of compliance

- types of water supply for application and irrigation
- use of untreated or insufficiently treated manure or compost
- intelligence generated by other statutory inspections
- consumer and customer (industry) problems
- an inspection plan issued as part of a Primary Authority partnership
- surveillance information on problem products and products associated with foodborne illness

A current list of FSA approved assurance schemes is also available in Chapter 4 of the Practice Guidance.

## **4.5 Import controls**

### **4.5.1 Nominated officer for imported food**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities with a BCP must nominate an officer or officers for imported food matters and proactively notify the FSA through Smarter Comms of:

- the name and contact details (telephone number and email address) of the nominated officer(s)
- any changes to these details, as soon as practicable **<OCR change end>**

### **4.5.2 Inland controls**

Competent Authorities must ensure:

- that imported food controls form part of food establishments' inspections.
- officers during official control and non-official control visits to food business premises consider:
  - if imported products comply with relevant imported food requirements
  - **<OCR change start>** undertaking product traceability checks or in premises that are the first destination inland after import, confirming the presence of a Common Health Entry Document (CHED) **<OCR change end>**
  - **<EU Exit change start>** the legality of the importation of any Product of Animal Origin (POAO) and Food Not of Animal Origin (FNAO) from outside the UK **<EU Exit change end>**.

### **4.5.3 Monitoring of consignments**

**<EU Exit change start>** Competent Authorities responsible for imported FNAO at points of entry must monitor consignments of FNAO entering England which originate from outside the UK **<EU Exit change end>**.

Official food controls must be performed regularly, on a risk basis and with appropriate frequency. Where no specific frequency of checking is specified in the legislation, priority must be given to identifying consignments of FNAO entering a point of entry and its country of origin. The frequency of other types of official food controls must be informed by:

- the risks to human, animal or plant health, animal welfare

- any information indicating the likelihood that consumers might be misled, as to the nature, identity, properties, composition, quantity, durability, country of origin or place of provenance, method of manufacture or production of goods
- the history of compliance of the exporting country, the establishment of origin or place of production, the exporter, or the operator responsible for the consignment
- past record as regards the outcome of official food controls performed on the product and previous compliance
- any identified risks associated with the product
- the quantity of consignments entering the port (particularly if material has not been seen before or only infrequently)
- any issues regarding the reliability of any checks that may have already been carried out
- FSA sampling priorities
- previous knowledge of the product
- the FSA's National Enforcement Priorities (NEPS) (these only applies to primary production)

Where there is no permanent Competent Authority presence at a point of entry, and it is not considered by the relevant Competent Authority to be a point of entry for food, the Competent Authority must (at least once every three months) contact:

- the port operator
- other relevant agencies
- Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (HMRC)
- other commercial operators

The purpose of these arrangements is to provide Competent Authorities with updated information on food being imported. This will enable risk-based judgements to be made on the targeting of enforcement action and to ensure that emergency controls or restrictions on certain higher risk foods are being enforced.

## Chapter 5 Incidents, alerts, and food crime

### 5.1 Introduction

This Chapter deals with:

- food incidents, food hazards and Food Alerts
- **<EU Exit change start>** how Competent Authorities are expected to respond and liaise, as appropriate, with other Competent Authorities, government departments, delegated bodies, FBOs, the FSA, other relevant agencies (which might include Primary, originating and neighbouring authorities, medical specialists, Food Examiners, Public Analysts and microbiologists), and countries outside the UK **<EU Exit change end>**
- the role of the National Food Crime Unit (NFCU) and addressing food criminality

### 5.2 Food incidents and food hazards

#### 5.2.1 Food Incidents

A “food incident” is defined as any event where, based on the information available, there are concerns about actual or suspected threats to the safety, quality or integrity of food that could require intervention to protect consumers' interests. Quality should be considered to include food standards, authenticity, and composition.

Food incidents are split into two categories, which may overlap:

- hazardous incidents are incidents involving (or suspected to involve) a food hazard, or the condition of any food, with the potential to cause an adverse effect on the health or safety of consumers (including outbreaks of foodborne disease and/or infectious intestinal disease)
- **<Amendment start>** non-hazardous incidents which do not have the potential to cause an adverse effect on the health or safety of consumers but may impact on the food supply chain. These may include issues of quality, provenance, authenticity, composition, and labelling **<Amendment end>**

Within each of these categories there may be elements of dishonest intent, which therefore indicate the potential presence of food/feed fraud or, when present at a greater scale, food crime.

#### 5.2.2 Food hazards

A “food hazard” is defined as anything present in food with the potential to harm the consumer, either by causing illness or injury; these can be a biological, chemical, and/or physical agent.

Competent Authorities should categorise food hazards and notify the FSA where necessary, according to the following criteria.

- **Localised food hazard** – one in which the affected food is not distributed beyond the boundaries of the Competent Authority and is not deemed to be a

serious localised food hazard. These should be dealt with locally by the Competent Authority, in conjunction with other relevant agencies and should not be reported to the FSA by the Competent Authority.

- **Serious localised food hazard** – one in which the affected food is not distributed beyond the boundaries of the Competent Authority, but which involves or may involve:
  - **<Amendment start>** undeclared allergens, a serious anaphylaxis reaction requiring medical intervention as a result of allergens in food, hospitalisation, or death as a result of allergens in food
  - E. coli O157 or other Verocytotoxin-producing Escherichia coli (VTEC)
  - Clostridium botulinum
  - Salmonella typhimurium, Salmonella paratyphi, Salmonella enteritidis
  - Listeria monocytogenes
  - hazards which the Competent Authority considers significant because of, for example, an Incident Management Team (IMT)/Outbreak Control Team (OCT) has been established associated with the food, the vulnerability of the population likely to be affected, the numbers involved, the severity of the illness (hospitalisation) or any deaths associated with the incident**<Amendment end>**.

These should be notified by the Competent Authority to the FSA Incidents Team and other relevant agencies at the earliest opportunity and by the quickest available means and confirmed in writing on the incident report form

- **Non-localised food hazard** – one in which the affected food is distributed beyond the boundaries of the Competent Authority. These should be notified by the Competent Authority to the FSA Incidents Team and other relevant agencies at the earliest opportunity and by the quickest available means and confirmed in writing on the incident report form

A Competent Authority should seek the advice of the FSA if it is in doubt as to whether a food incident amounts to a food hazard.

## **5.3 Action by the Competent Authority**

### **5.3.1 Responses to pre-incident contact by the FSA**

**<Amendment start>** If the FSA's horizon-scanning functions identify a food safety issue which might impact the UK, the FSA may need to verify this by contacting the FBO directly to confirm if they have received any implicated product(s) or ingredients. Before contacting the business, the FSA will email the relevant Competent Authority to ask if they are content that contact is made **<Amendment end>**.

### **5.3.2 Incidents involving more than one Competent Authority.**

In accordance with the Competent Authority's documented procedures for food incidents and Food Alerts (see Chapter 2 of the Practice Guidance), Competent Authorities should discuss and agree a lead Competent Authority to take the lead for

the investigation of incidents that require involvement of more than one Competent Authority.

### **5.3.3 Food hazards assessment**

#### **5.3.3.1 General requirements**

Once a food hazard has been identified, the Competent Authority must:

- **<OCR change start>** immediately carry out an assessment to determine the likely scale, extent, and severity of the risk to public health or safety of the hazard, involving other agencies as appropriate<sup>107</sup> **<OCR change end>**
- appropriately categorise the food hazard(s) as a localised, serious localised or non-localised food hazard(s)
- if in doubt, contact the FSA Incidents Team to seek advice as to whether a food incident should be notified to the FSA. An assessment should be carried out to determine the likely scale, extent, and severity of the risk to public or animal health or safety of the hazard, involving other agencies as appropriate

The assessment should include the following:

- the nature of the hazard
- the toxicity of the contaminant, the allergenicity of an undeclared ingredient/constituent, or the virulence and pathogenicity of the organism
- the type of injury which might be caused by a physical contaminant
- the population likely to be affected and its vulnerability
- the geographical spread of the hazard
- the likely quantity and distribution of the affected food in the food chain up to the point of consumption
- the ability and willingness of the producer or distributor to implement an effective withdrawal of the product
- the ability to identify accurately the affected batch(es) or lot(s)
- the accuracy and extent of records held by the producer or distributor
- the likely effectiveness of any trade withdrawal at all stages of the food chain
- the likely effectiveness of any consumer recall
- the stage(s) at which the fault is likely to have occurred (for example in processing, packaging, handling, storage, or distribution) and its likely significance to the problem
- whether other products produced in the same establishment may have been affected
- whether the affected food has been imported
- whether any of the affected food has been exported
- whether there are wider implications for others in the same industry or for establishments using similar processes in other food industries

---

<sup>107</sup> Article 115(2)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

- the possibility that a malicious or fraudulent act has caused the complaint or problem
- whether the root cause of the incident or hazard is known, and corrective action taken to avoid recurrence.

When a Competent Authority becomes aware of a food hazard, it must take action to protect public health and safety at the earliest opportunity, including, if necessary:

- detain or seize the food concerned if it is located within the Competent Authority's area
- consider the use of other powers as appropriate, relevant to the circumstances involved
- **<Amendment start>** notify the FSA via the FSA Incidents Team, and other relevant agencies, by the quickest available means<sup>108</sup> if an FBO in their area has withdrawn or recalled food from the market in accordance with Article 19 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002<sup>109</sup>, due to non-compliance with the food safety requirements of that Regulation (see Chapter 5, Root Cause Analysis section of the Practice Guidance) **<Amendment end>**
- in the event of a localised food hazard, issuing appropriate media messages, or responding to local press statements as appropriate to alert the public to the hazard. In doing so the following must occur:
  - the relevant FBOs must be consulted before the identity of a named business or branded food is discussed with, or released to, the media
  - such media releases must be sent to the FSA Incidents Team without delay
  - the Competent Authority must notify the FSA immediately if the FBO raises objections to the release of such information

Responsibility for action at a local level remains with the Competent Authority unless and until the FSA, in writing, notifies the Competent Authority otherwise.

#### **5.3.4 Deliberate contamination and malicious tampering**

Food may be contaminated deliberately. If such an incident occurs, Competent Authorities should follow the arrangements in this Chapter, except where the deliberate contamination is thought to be due to malicious tampering.

Arrangements for dealing with malicious tampering incidents have been established between the FSA, Food Standards Scotland (FSS), the police forces throughout the UK and, if necessary, the National Crime Agency (NCA) will be involved in the investigation.

When malicious tampering is suspected, Competent Authorities must:

---

<sup>108</sup> Chapter 2 Paragraph 14.5 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>109</sup> Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the European Food Safety Authority and laying down procedures in matters of food safety

- contact the FSA Incidents Team at the earliest opportunity
- hand over responsibility for dealing with such incidents to the police if requested by them to do so
- co-operate fully with police investigations into such incidents
- respect police requests for confidentiality, whenever possible
- if the occasion arises when the need to alert consumers to the existence of a food hazard outweighs the need to maintain confidentiality, notify the FSA Incidents Team before undertaking such action

### **5.3.5 Food Hazards Associated with Outbreaks of Foodborne Illness**

If a food hazard has resulted in an outbreak of food-borne illness, the Competent Authority must consider, with their CCDC/ Consultant in Public Health Medicine (CPHM), Communicable Disease/Environmental Health(CD/EH), the activation of their Outbreak Control Plan.

Non-localised and serious localised outbreaks must immediately be notified to the FSA Incidents Team and the relevant public health body.

Competent Authorities must arrange with their Public Analyst and Food Examiner to be notified promptly if they identify a food hazard during the course of the analysis or examination of a food sample.

### **5.3.6 Non-hazardous food incidents**

Non-hazardous food incidents are incidents where there are contraventions of food law, but these do not involve food hazards.

These must normally be resolved by the Competent Authority and the FBO, through the Primary Authority, Home Authority or originating authority, if appropriate following consideration of each authority's Enforcement Policy. However, significant food incidents, even if they do not involve food hazards, should be reported to the FSA immediately. In determining significance, consideration should be given to the following factors:

- breaches of food law
- requirement for a co-ordinated response
- the disadvantage to consumers
- disproportionate impact on a sector of the population
- distribution beyond the UK
- reputational damage to England (or the UK)
- public concern
- likelihood of media interest

Where a Competent Authority is in doubt about whether a 'non-hazardous' food incident requires to be reported to the FSA, the issue should be discussed with the FSA for clarification.

### 5.3.7 Access to information

To facilitate the investigation of an outbreak or suspected outbreak of disease, the investigation of a food hazard or other food-related emergency or criminal investigation, Competent Authorities must:

- provide details of relevant food business establishment records if requested by the relevant authority responsible for public health protection, CCDC, the CPHM (CD/EH), the FSA or other similar enforcement or surveillance body
- ensure such requests are handled with due regard to Freedom of Information, and Data Protection legislation<sup>110</sup>

## 5.4 Food Alerts

### 5.4.1 Definitions

- **Food Alert for Action (FAFA)** – is a communication from the FSA to a Competent Authority and consumers concerning a food hazard or other food incident, where specific actions / responses are required to be undertaken by the Competent Authority. A “Food Alert Update” should be read accordingly. Similar action and messaging may also lead to a consumer focus FAFA. The alert to consumers and the alert to Competent Authorities will not necessarily include the same information or require the same action
- **Product Recall Information Notice (PRIN)** – advises of recall of a food, where no specific action is required to be undertaken by the Competent Authority. Recall relates to the recall of food from the consumer
- **Allergy Alert** – is issued by the FSA to quickly communicate allergen risks directly to the consumer. Competent Authorities receive copies of these Allergy Alerts for information purposes only

### 5.4.2 Facilities for receiving Food Alerts and updates

Competent Authorities must:

- have facilities to receive Food Alerts and updates from the FSA by an electronic mail system that is acceptable to the FSA<sup>111</sup>
- advise the FSA of their electronic mail address and of any changes to these details at the earliest opportunity

### 5.4.3 Action by Competent Authorities on receiving Food Alerts

Competent Authorities must ensure that:

- **<Amendment start>** systems are in place to ensure that Food Alerts can be responded to outside normal working hours. This includes obtaining and

---

<sup>110</sup> The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Data Protection Act 2018

<sup>111</sup> Paragraph 14.2 of the Framework Agreement

providing information to enable Food Alerts to be issued out of hours

**<Amendment end>**

- any action specified by the FSA in a Food Alert is undertaken promptly, and in accordance with any risk management advice/assessment provided by the FSA
- if they propose to take alternative actions, this is agreed with the FSA before implementing them
- where they anticipate difficulties in complying with a request for action given in an Alert, they must contact the FSA's Incidents Team immediately
- **<Amendment start>** they document their response to the outcome of each Food Alert<sup>112</sup> **<Amendment end>**

#### **5.4.4 Media relations - Food Alerts**

Competent Authorities wishing to enhance local publicity can, where permitted by the FSA, use a press release/media statement issued by the FSA as a basis for a local press release. In such cases, the Competent Authority must ensure that the local statement is accurate, relevant, and consistent with the FSA statement.

If Competent Authorities wish to display Food Alerts on their website, they must ensure that:

- any material from FSA Food Alerts or press/media releases is edited to specify what local action has been taken in response to the Alert
- the website includes local contact information

### **5.5 Tackling food criminality**

#### **5.5.1 The role of the National Food Crime Unit**

The FSA's NFCU works with partners to protect consumers from serious food/feed fraud and related criminality within the food and feed supply chain, which can impact on the safety or authenticity of the food and drink they consume.

**<Amendment start>** The strategic objectives of the NFCU are to:

- prevent food or feed being rendered unsafe or inauthentic through dishonesty
- disrupt offending and bring offenders to justice
- build domestic and global counter food crime capability

The NFCU delivers these objectives through a collaborative approach with Competent Authorities and other law enforcement partners, industry at all levels and through working with the third sector and academia **<Amendment end>**.

#### **5.5.2 Fraud and food crime**

**<Amendment start>** The NFCU defines food crime as serious fraud and related criminality in food supply chains. This remit also includes drink and animal feed.

---

<sup>112</sup> Paragraph 14.3 of the Framework Agreement

Dishonesty may manifest itself in substitution, adulteration, misrepresentation or concealment of facts, forgery, diversion of food waste. Dishonest intent is a key element of any fraudulent offending.

Competent Authorities continue to have a role in investigating fraud within the food supply chain; the escalation of a potential fraud investigation into one of food crime involves consideration of the scale of offending and degrees of organisation, co-ordination and planning involved in the planning and commission of such fraud.

The seriousness of suspected criminal activity will influence whether the NFCU look to lead, support, or coordinate an investigation. The NFCU will consider, in determining the Unit response the:

- tactical priorities of the NFCU, as set out in its control strategy. These priorities are communicated to relevant regulatory partners through periodic NFCU reporting and the representations of the NFCU Regional Intelligence Officers
- geographical scope and scale of the suspected offending
- nature and extent of the actual, potential, or intended harm to:
  - the public
  - a FBO
  - confidence in the UK food industry

NFCU has agreed a Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Chief Trading Standards Officers (ACTSO) that outlines details of how the Unit and Trading Standards partners will look to work together.

Food crime offences will normally be prosecuted under the Fraud Act 2006, Proceeds of Crime Act 2002, or as conspiracy to defraud under Common Law. Very occasionally, it may be appropriate to prosecute food crime under other legislation or food regulations **<Amendment end>**.

### **5.5.3 Reporting suspicions of food crime to the NFCU**

**<Amendment start>** The NFCU develops, receives, and disseminates intelligence in line with general data handling requirements and guidance specific to the management of law enforcement information.

Competent Authorities should share with the NFCU any identified suspicions of food/feed fraud or food crime. This intelligence enables incidents to be assessed and trends to be identified at local, regional, national levels and internationally. It enables enhanced assessments to be made to direct resources to best protect the public and industry from the effects of food crime **<Amendment end>**.

Intelligence received by the NFCU which is relevant to a Competent Authority will be lawfully shared where appropriate.

## **5.6 Communication and liaison between Competent Authorities and countries outside the UK**

### **5.6.1 Notification of food hazards or incidents regarding imported food**

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities that have points of entry including BCPs within their area must follow the guidance outlined in the Practice Guidance for actions to take concerning imports rejected, at the point of entry, where there is a serious direct or indirect risk to public or animal health, food, or feed safety. For actions relating to imported foods not at points of entry in the event of a food law non-compliance, please refer to sections of the Code on Food Hazards and Non-hazardous Food incidents **<OCR change end>**.

### **5.6.2 Notification of trans-border matters**

Trans-border matters that may have policy implications, matters relating to food hazards and incidents are dealt with by the FSA.

See Chapter 5 of the Practice Guidance for further information on trans-border matters.

### **5.6.3 Liaison and communications with countries outside the UK**

**<EU Exit change start>** Competent Authorities should follow the guidance outlined in the Practice Guidance concerning responding to enquiries, assisting and co-ordinating communication with countries outside the UK. This includes the transmission and reception of requests for assistance from countries outside the UK **<EU Exit change end>**.

Any subsequent responses in relation to requests for assistance, data or enquiries on food law enforcement issues and non-compliances from other countries should be sent via the FSA Incidents Team and/or the Imports and Exports Team.

### **5.6.4 Disclosure of information to countries outside the UK**

**<EU Exit change start>** See the Practice Guidance for further information regarding disclosure of information to countries outside the UK **<EU Exit change end>**.

### **5.6.5 Communication with Food Standards Scotland**

A Memorandum of Understanding is in place between the FSA and FSS to ensure liaison arrangements continue to deliver a coordinated incident handling response across Scotland, England, Northern Ireland, and Wales.

## 5.7 Out of hours service

**<Amendment start>** Competent Authorities must:

- put in place procedures to ensure that responsible officers can be contacted in the case of emergency for example, serious hazard incidents <sup>113</sup>
- advise the FSA of emergency telephone number(s) to enable contact to be made outside the Competent Authority's normal office hours
- ensure information on out-of-hours/emergency contacts (the name(s), telephone number(s) and email address(es)) is provided in the manner and at the frequency required by the FSA
- proactively notify the FSA of any changes to these details as soon as practicable via Smarter Comms **<Amendment end>**

DRAFT

---

<sup>113</sup> Paragraph 14.1 of the Framework Agreement

## Chapter 6 Enforcement

### 6.1 Introduction

This Chapter deals with how Competent Authorities must use the powers available to them to ensure non-compliances are rectified in an effective and timely manner.

### 6.2 Proportionality and consistency

Competent Authorities must:

- ensure that enforcement action taken by their authorised officers is<sup>114</sup>:
  - in accordance with Chapter 6 of the Practice Guidance
  - reasonable
  - proportionate
  - risk-based
  - consistent with good practice
- take account of the following when considering whether to initiate enforcement action:
  - the Code for Crown Prosecutors
  - the Competent Authorities' Enforcement Policy<sup>115</sup>
  - the Regulators' Code
  - **<OCR change start>** the nature of the non-compliance and the FBO's past record regarding compliance<sup>116</sup> **<OCR change end>**
  - whether a Primary Authority Partnership is in place, and of any Primary Authority Advice issued
- ensure the reasons for any departure from the criteria set out in the Competent Authority's enforcement policy are documented.

### 6.3 Hierarchy of enforcement

Competent Authorities must ensure their authorised officers:

- take account of the full range of enforcement options available to them. This includes educating food business operators, giving advice, informal action, sampling, detaining, and seizing food, serving Hygiene Improvement Notices/Improvement Notices, Remedial Action Notices, Hygiene Prohibition Procedures/Prohibition Procedures and prosecution procedures;

---

<sup>114</sup> Chapter 2, paragraphs 7.3, 12.2 and 15.3 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>115</sup> Chapter 2, paragraph 15.4 of the Framework Agreement

<sup>116</sup> Article 138(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

- operate a graduated and educative approach to enforcement (the hierarchy of enforcement), only moving to formal action where informal action does not achieve the desired effect, except where circumstances indicate:
  - a significant risk to health
  - fraudulent practices
  - deceptive practices
- take an approach that results in the timely remedy of non-compliance with food law
- **<OCR change start>** prioritise action that eliminates or contains risks to<sup>117</sup>:
  - human health
  - animal health
  - plant health
  - animal welfare
  - the environment (with regards to genetically modified organisms (GMOs))**<OCR change end>**

## 6.4 Dealing with non-compliance

### 6.4.1 Suspected non-compliance

**<OCR change start>** Where Competent Authorities suspect non-compliance, they must:

- carry out an investigation, having regard to the requirements in Chapter 6 of the Practice Guidance, in order to confirm or eliminate that suspicion, which may include<sup>118</sup>:
  - performance of intensified official food controls for an appropriate period
  - detention of food to allow investigations to be carried out **<OCR change end>**

### 6.4.2 Established non-compliance

**<OCR change start>** Where non-compliances are established<sup>119</sup>, Competent Authorities must ensure:

- they take action necessary to determine the origin and extent of the non-compliance and to establish the operator's responsibilities
- they take appropriate enforcement action to ensure the FBO remedies the non-compliance and prevents further occurrences of the non-compliance
- that non-compliances have been remedied before deciding that no further action is required

---

<sup>117</sup> Article 137(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>118</sup> Article 137(2) and 137(3) Regulation (EU) 2017/625

<sup>119</sup> Article 138 of Regulation (EU) 2017/625

- when deciding what action to take, they take account of the nature of the non-compliance and the operator's past record regarding compliance
- that where action is needed to secure compliance officers clearly explain to the FBO in writing, with reasons:
  - the action or measure being taken by the Competent Authority
  - what the non-compliance is
  - the action needed to secure compliance
  - an indication of the time scale for achieving compliance
  - rights of appeal and any applicable time limit' in accordance with Article 138(3)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 <OCR change end>
- officers make a clear distinction between statutory requirements and good practice
- officers are prepared to discuss advice, decisions, and correspondence with FBOs

## 6.5 Revisits

Competent Authorities must ensure:

- revisits focus on the non-compliances identified at the last programmed intervention
- that non-compliances have been remedied before deciding that no further action is required
- the timing of any revisit is determined by the action taken because of the earlier intervention and the severity of the non-compliances identified
- whenever practicable, revisits are undertaken by the officer who undertook the original intervention

### 6.5.1 Requirement to revisit - food hygiene

Competent Authorities must carry out a food hygiene revisit at food business establishments which have the following scores, as set out in the intervention rating scheme in Annex 1 of the Code:

- a compliance score of 15 or higher for hygiene and/or structure and/or
- a confidence in management/control procedures score of 20 or higher

### 6.5.2 Requirement to revisit - food standards

Competent Authorities must carry out a food standards revisit at food business establishments which have the following scores, as set out in the intervention rating scheme in Annex 1 of the Code:

- a level of (current) compliance score of 40 and/or
- a confidence in management/control systems score of 30

## 6.6 Operating in another Competent Authority's area

An Authorised Officer can enter business establishments in other Competent Authority areas to obtain evidence of non-compliance(s) in their Competent Authority areas<sup>120</sup>.

When exercising powers in another area, the Competent Authority should ensure its authorised officers:

- liaise with relevant Competent Authorities in advance (whether or not the business being visited is a food business), but where it is not possible to give prior notice, for example in an emergency, the relevant Competent Authorities must be notified as soon as practicable
- do not give advice or recommend changes to an FBO's systems or procedures. Such matters must be passed to the Competent Authority for the area the business is based for appropriate action
- only use enforcement powers associated with their powers of entry (which includes taking samples in connection with the investigation of suspected offences within their own area)
- other enforcement powers (which include seizure and detention of food hygiene emergency prohibition notices and emergency prohibition notices) must only be exercised by authorised officers of the Competent Authority in which the business is located
- inform the relevant Competent Authorities of the outcome of any visit, as appropriate

---

<sup>120</sup> Section 32 of the Food Safety Act 1990 and Regulation 16 of The Food Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013

## **Chapter 7 Matters relating to live bivalve molluscs**

### **7.1 Introduction**

This Chapter deals with the:

- establishment and maintenance of local shellfish liaison groups
- need for registration documents or permanent transport authorisations
- classification and monitoring of production and relaying areas
- publication of information about prohibited areas

### **7.2 Liaison arrangements**

Competent Authorities must establish and maintain a shellfish liaison group in areas where there are commercial shellfish activities. The group should comprise representatives from relevant bodies to ensure a timely exchange of information, contributing data which will be used to help inform decisions on appropriate measures that protect public health. Membership may also include fishermen or shellfish gatherers at the discretion of the relevant Competent Authority. The function of the group is likely to vary depending on the local shellfish industry.

### **7.3 Registration and permanent transport authorisation documents: live bivalve molluscs (LBMs)**

#### **7.3.1 Registration documents for live bivalve molluscs**

Under Regulation (EC) No 853/2004, unless issued with a permanent transport authorisation, each gatherer of live bivalve molluscs (including Pectinidae and non-filter feeding gastropods and echinoderms) to be placed on the market, must provide a registration document identifying each batch that they harvest. The registration document must be completed upon landing and accompany the batch from the classified harvesting area (or in the case of Pectinidae, non-filter feeding gastropods and echinoderms from the area of sea it is harvested) and between establishments, up to and including arrival of the batch at a relaying area, purification centre, dispatch centre or processing establishment.

Competent Authorities must:

- issue such registration documents to gatherers, including fishing vessels that harvest live bivalve molluscs. A link to the model registration form is available in the Practice Guidance
- use a unique number on the document issued to enable the registration documentation to be monitored and the unique number must be given to the harvester or gatherer before they carry out harvesting
- provide registration documents on demand and cannot make any charge for the issue of such documents, nor can they unreasonably refuse to issue the documents to a gatherer

- retain a record of all registration documents that have been issued by them for at least one year. The record should include the unique number(s) and details of the harvesters to whom they have been issued and the production areas for which the harvester requires the registration documents
- issue registration documents to gatherers who are harvesting within the area of another Competent Authority only with the agreement of that other Competent Authority
- Competent Authorities are:
  - required to check the details recorded on a registration document when live bivalve molluscs come ashore
  - responsible for establishments receiving batches of LBMs, when these are from outside their local area, they are encouraged to contact the issuing Competent Authority when inspecting registration documents

FBOs must also keep copies of registration documents for each batch sent and received for at least twelve months after its dispatch or receipt, or a longer period as specified by the Competent Authority.

### **7.3.2 Permanent Transport Authorisations**

A Competent Authority can issue to gatherers a Permanent Transport Authorisation (PTA) as an alternative to separate registration documents when the gatherer also operates the purification centre, dispatch centre, relaying area or processing establishment to which their harvested live bivalve molluscs are being delivered. However, all establishments operated by the gatherer must fall within the jurisdiction of the single Competent Authority.

In deciding whether to issue a PTA, a Competent Authority must consider the requirements of Regulation (EC) No 853/2004, Annex III, Section VII, Chapter I, paragraph 7. In addition, the history of an operator's compliance with relevant food safety legislation and the application of management control procedures must be an influencing factor in any decision.

Where a Competent Authority is not satisfied with the operators' compliance with food safety legislation, they can withdraw the PTA.

A PTA should be issued for each individual shellfish harvesting bed.

### **7.3.3 Examination of registration documents**

Competent Authorities must carry out regular examinations of registration documents to verify their accuracy. The examination of documents and any verification sampling would normally be carried out as part of the inspection of dispatch or purification centres but can be carried out at any stage during the supply process.

### **7.3.4 Purification centres**

Approval of new purification centres or modifications to existing centres must be handled in accordance with the action required following receipt of a form requesting approval.

### 7.3.5 Sampling as part of the inspection

Inspections of dispatch or purification centres can include the taking of samples for laboratory tests. The Competent Authority must investigate test results that show breaches of the food safety requirements.

If necessary, further sampling and laboratory tests should be undertaken in the relevant harvesting area, relaying area, dispatch, or purification centre to establish the cause of the non-compliance and any corrective action which is needed.

Where necessary, Competent Authorities should communicate test results which do not comply with food safety requirements to neighbouring Competent Authorities responsible for the relevant harvesting area, relaying area, or dispatch or purification centre.

Competent Authorities should:

- communicate the results of any testing of live bivalve mollusc samples to the FBO from where the samples were procured
- notify the FSA of any test results that may indicate a significant variation in the quality of production and/or relaying areas

## 7.4 Production and relaying areas

**<OCR change start>** It is the responsibility of the FSA to classify bivalve mollusc production and relaying areas. These areas must fulfil the criteria necessary for the classification of bivalve mollusc beds. The procedure and criteria for the classification of bivalve mollusc production and relaying areas is set down in Article 52 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/627 **<OCR change end>**.

Competent Authorities must only permit harvesting or relaying of bivalve molluscs in an area after an application for the classification of the area has been submitted to the FSA for approval and pre-classification checks shows it has met the requirements in the FSA classification protocol. It should be noted that, the live bivalve molluscs must be treated in accordance with the (A, B, or C) classification of the area from which they were harvested prior to placing on the market.

### 7.4.1 Monitoring production and relaying areas

Authorised officers must carry out periodic checks at least once every month in harvesting and relaying areas to ensure that the classification status granted by the FSA is compliant with the classification criteria.

**<OCR change start>** The conditions that must be observed are specified in Title V to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/627 **<OCR change end>**.

### 7.4.2 Imported live bivalve molluscs

**<Amendment start>** Imported live bivalve molluscs for human consumption or processing require pre import notification and official food controls. This is to ensure that they are safe for human consumption **<Amendment end>**.

Competent Authorities must ensure:

- **<OCR change start>** consignments of live bivalve molluscs for human consumption or processing are accompanied by a CHED and appropriate health certification
- they have been subject to official food controls at a BCP **<OCR change end>**

## 7.5 Closure Notices (temporarily closing harvesting areas)

**<OCR change start>** Competent Authorities must:

- where sampling results show that health standards for molluscs have not been met or that there may otherwise be a risk to human health, close the classified production or relaying area concerned to prevent the harvesting of live bivalve molluscs or, if the closure relates to E.coli, the FSA may reclassify the area, for example, downgrade in accordance with the Regulation<sup>121</sup>
- inform interested parties, such as producers, gatherers, and operators of purification centres or dispatch centres, immediately of the closure of any area, and as required by Article 65 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/627 to act promptly to close, reclassify, or re-open production areas or for Class A production areas, where live bivalve molluscs are subject to the application of measures as referred to in Article 62(2)<sup>122</sup>. When the closure of a production area is required, the FSA recommends that a formal Closure Notice should be used as the means to inform interested parties **<OCR change end>**
- inform the FSA with immediate effect and liaise with the FSA over the issue of a Closure Notice. Liaison with the FSA might include consideration of whether any action should be taken to withdraw any live bivalve molluscs from sale that have already been distributed locally or nationally

The Competent Authority must:

- ensure that when Closure Notices are used they are issued quickly, and that all known FBOs in their district, who either have registration documents already issued, or have a permanent transport authorisation (issued by the Competent Authority in accordance with Annex III, Section VII, Chapter I, paragraph 7 of Regulation (EC) No 853/2004), are notified of the Closure Notice and its effect. This might best be achieved by sending a copy of the Notice to all known interests
- prominently display Closure Notices where food businesses and casual gatherers harvesting live bivalve molluscs might reasonably be expected to see them

---

<sup>121</sup> Chapter III, Article 62 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/627

<sup>122</sup> Chapter IV, Article 66 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/627

Competent Authorities with a shared jurisdiction must also be advised, who must, in turn, fulfil their responsibility by informing FBOs affected by the closure within their own area. A Closure Notice cannot be time limited.

The Competent Authority must:

- liaise with the FSA as soon as possible in relation to taking additional samples to determine when the closed area can reopen
- remove a Closure Notice immediately when it is satisfied that harvesting can resume
- ensure that all known interested parties are aware that they must not harvest during a closure period

In the event a Competent Authority decides not to issue a Closure Notice to inform interested parties of a closure, it will need to satisfy itself that the means of communication chosen satisfies the legal requirements as described above.

DRAFT

## **Glossary**

### **Alternative Enforcement Strategies (AES)**

Methods by which low risk (food hygiene category E and food standards category C in accordance with the Food Law Code of Practice risk rating system) establishments are monitored to ensure their continued compliance with food law. AES does not apply to establishments approved pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 853/2004.

### **Alternative interventions**

Alternative interventions are interventions other than official controls conducted at low risk food businesses and includes alternative enforcement strategies.

### **<OCR change start> Animals**

Has the meaning as defined in Article 3(9) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean animals as defined in point (1) of Article 4 of Regulation (EU) 2016/429 **<OCR change end>**.

### **Approved establishment**

An establishment that has been approved pursuant to Article (4) of Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 for handling, preparing, and/or producing products of animal origin.

### **<OCR change start> Audit**

Has the meaning as defined by Article 3(30) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean a systematic and independent examination to determine whether activities and related results comply with planned arrangements and whether these arrangements are implemented effectively, by the FBO, and are suitable to achieve objectives **<OCR change end>**.

This includes planned partial or full audits:

- a 'full audit', is an examination of planned arrangements and whether they are implemented effectively and will consider all aspects of an FBO's operations; or
- a 'partial audit', is an audit that covers only certain aspects of an FBO's operation.

### **Authorised officer**

Means a person (whether or not an officer of the enforcement authority) who is authorised by the Competent Authority, either generally or specifically, to act in relation to matters arising under food law. If regulations made by the Secretary of State so provide, no person shall be so authorised unless they have such qualifications as may be prescribed by food law regulations.

### **Awarding bodies**

In relation to the Code, the awarding bodies are: The Chartered Institute of Environmental Health (CIEH); The Chartered Trading Standards Institute (CTSI) and; The Institute of Food Science and Technology (IFST).

### **Broadly compliant (Hygiene)**

An establishment that has an intervention rating score of not more than ten points under each of the following parts of Annex 1: Part 2: Level of (Current) Compliance - Hygiene and Level of (Current) Compliance – Structure; and Part 3: Confidence in Management.

### **Broadly compliant (Standards)**

An establishment that has an intervention rating score of not more than ten points under each of the following parts of Annex 1, Part 2: Level of (Current) Compliance; and Part 3, Confidence in Management/Control Systems.

### **<OCR change start> Border Control Post (BCP)**

Has the meaning defined in Article 3(38) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean a place, and the facilities belonging to it, designated for the performance of official controls provided for in Article 47(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625.

### **Central Competent Authority**

Has the meaning as defined in part, by Article 3(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean the central authority of the UK competent for the organisation of official food controls and other official activities and in the UK. In the UK, the central competent authority is the Food Standards Agency.

### **Competent Authority**

Has the meaning as defined in Article 3(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean the Competent Authority responsible for the performance of official controls and of other official activities, in accordance with that Regulation and the rules referred to in Article 1(2) **<OCR change end>**.

### **Chartered Institute of Environmental Health (CIEH)**

A membership and awarding body for the environmental health sector.

### **Chartered Trading Standards Institute (CTSI)**

CTSI represents Trading Standards professionals working in the UK and overseas - in Local Authorities, business and consumer sectors and central government. CTSI exists to:

- promote and protect the success of a modern vibrant economy
- safeguard the health, safety, and wellbeing of citizens by enhancing the professionalism of its members

### **Common Health Entry Document (CHED)**

The Common Health Entry Document is used for food and feed of non-animal origin subject at their entry into the UK to any of the measures or conditions provided for in points (d), (e), or (f) of Article 47(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 **<OCR change end>**.

### **Consultant in Communicable Disease Control (CCDC)**

A senior role within the health protection team to provide leadership, management, and oversight of the health protection function, including the response to incidents and outbreaks.

#### **Compliant**

Conforming with the requirements of the law.

#### **<OCR change start> Conditional approval**

Approval granted by a Competent Authority pursuant to Article 148 (4) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 if it appears to a Competent Authority that an establishment meets all the infrastructure and equipment requirements. Conditional approval must not exceed a total of six months, except in the case of factory and freezer vessels, for which such conditional approval shall not exceed a total of 12 months **<OCR change end>**.

#### **Confidence in Management (CIM)**

The Confidence in Management score is part 3 of the Hygiene/Standard Rating Intervention Rating Scheme. The Competent Authority assesses the business's food safety management/control procedures using their judgement on the likelihood of satisfactory compliance being maintained in the future. Factors that influence the CA's judgement include: the previous record of compliance with the FBO; knowledge on food safety; attitude towards hygiene compliance and satisfactory food safety management procedures.

#### **<OCR change start> Consignment**

Has the meaning as defined in Article 3(37) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean a number of animals or quantity of goods covered by the same official certificate, official attestation or any other document, conveyed by the same means of transport and coming from the same territory or a country outside the UK, and, except for goods subject to the rules referred to in point (g) of Article 1(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625, being of the same type, class or description **<OCR change end>**.

#### **Consultant in Public Health Medicine**

The role of the CPHM in the case of a food poisoning outbreak is to determine if there is a true outbreak and initiate and coordinate any necessary action including the use of the local outbreak control plan. They also advise the person in charge of any immediate actions necessary to control the outbreak.

#### **Continuing Professional Development (CPD)**

How members of a profession maintain, improve, or broaden their knowledge and skills and develop the qualities required in their professional lives.

### **<OCR change start> Control verification procedures**

More commonly referred to as internal monitoring procedures, has the meaning as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean the arrangements put in place and actions performed by the competent authorities for the purpose of ensuring that official controls and other official activities are consistent and effective **<OCR change end>**.

### **Could**

Is generally used to indicate those provisions which are for guidance only.

### **<OCR change start> Delegated body**

Means a separate legal person to which the Competent Authorities have delegated certain official control tasks or certain tasks related to other official activities (Regulation (EU) 2017/625, Article 3 (5)) **<OCR change end>**.

### **Discretionary services**

A service which a Competent Authority is authorised, but not required to provide.

### **<OCR change start> Documentary check**

Has the meaning as defined in Article 3(41) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean the examination of the official certificates, official attestations and other documents including documents of a commercial nature, which are required to accompany the consignment as provided for by the rules referred to in Article 1(2), by Article 56(1) or by implementing acts adopted in accordance with Articles 77 (3), 126(3), 128(1) and 129(1) **<OCR change end>**.

### **Enforcement authority**

Has the meaning as defined by Regulation 2(1) of The Food Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013.

Means the authority which, is responsible for executing and enforcing the Hygiene Regulations.

### **Environmental Health Registration Board (EHRB)**

An awarding body in the UK which issues certificates of registration to those who have successfully completed an approved course of study in the subject of environmental health that includes and accredited course, work based learning and professional examinations.

### **Escherichia coli O157**

(E.coli O157)

A VTEC strain that can cause illness in humans. Symptoms can range from mild gastroenteritis to severe bloody diarrhoea, and kidney damage.

## **Establishment**

Has the meaning as defined by Article 2(c) (EC) No 852/2004 to mean any unit of a food business.

## **European Economic Area (EEA)**

Consists of the EU Member States and the three countries of the European Free Trade Association (EFTA). An agreement concerning the four fundamental pillars of the internal market, namely the free movement of goods, people, services, and capital.

## **Evidence**

Is the requirement of proof that is presented to convince the alleged facts of a case.

## **<EU Exit change start> Export**

The action of sending or transporting a commodity outside the UK **<EU Exit change end>**.

## **Feasibility study**

A small-scale preliminary study, conducted as part of an FSA led Programme, in order to identify feasibility, time, cost, adverse events, predict an appropriate sample size, and help to develop the study design prior to larger scale “Pathfinder” project.

## **Food Hygiene Rating Scheme (FHRS)**

A scheme that applies to England, Wales and Northern Ireland designed to give information to the public on what each food business had achieved on their last food hygiene inspection carried out by the its local authority, rated from 0 (urgent improvement needed) – 5 (Hygiene standards are very good).

## **Food Alert**

Communication from the FSA to a Competent Authority concerning a food hazard or other food incident, where specific actions/responses are required to be undertaken by the Competent Authority. A “Food Alert Update” should be read accordingly.

## **Food business**

Has the meaning as defined by Article 3(2) of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 to mean any undertaking, whether for profit or not and whether public or private, carrying out any of the activities related to any stage of production, processing and distribution of food.

## **Food business operator (FBO)**

Has the meaning as defined by Article 3(3) of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 to mean the natural or legal persons responsible for ensuring that the requirements of food law are met within the food business under their control.

## **Food examiner**

Any person who possesses the requisite qualifications to conduct examinations.

**Food hygiene**

The measures and conditions necessary to control hazards and to ensure fitness for human consumption of a foodstuff, taking into account its intended use as set out in Regulation (EC) No 853/2004.

**Food Standards Agency (FSA)**

The Central Competent Authority in England, Wales, and Northern Ireland.

**Food Standards Scotland (FSS)**

The Central Competent Authority in Scotland.

**Formal action**

The taking of action against a food business operator as set out in the legislation including the service of a statutory notice to remedy non-compliance with legal requirements, the issuing of a Simple Caution or the institution of legal proceedings for breaches of legal requirements.

**Framework Agreement**

Framework Agreement on Official Feed and Food Controls by Local Authorities.

**Full approval**

Full approval must only be given to an establishment if it appears from a new official control of the establishment, carried out within three months of granting conditional approval, that the establishment meets all relevant requirements of feed or food law.

**Hazard**

A biological, chemical, or physical agent in, or condition of, food or feed with the potential to cause an adverse health effect as specified in Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, Article 3(14).

**Home Authority**

Means the authority where the relevant decision-making base of an enterprise is located

**Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points (HACCP)**

HACCP is a systematic preventive approach to food and feed safety from biological, chemical, and physical hazards in production processes, that can cause the finished product to be unsafe, and designs measurement to reduce these risks to a safe level.

**Hygiene**

The measure and conditions necessary to control hazards and to ensure fitness for human consumption of a foodstuff considering its intended use.

## **Hygiene Emergency Prohibition Notice**

A notice served by the authorised officer where there is an imminent risk of injury to health which prohibits the use of a process, treatment, premises or equipment, as appropriate, as specified in Regulation 8 of The Food Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013.

### **<OCR change start> Identity check**

Has the meaning as defined in Article 3(42) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean a visual inspection to verify that the content and the labelling of a consignment, including the marks on animals, seals and means of transport, correspond to the information provided in the official certificates, official attestations and other documents accompanying it **<OCR change end>**.

### **Institute of Food Science and Technology (IFST)**

A professional body concerned with all aspects of food science and technology.

### **Import**

The action of bringing in goods and/or services from another country outside of the UK.

### **Informal action**

Bringing to the attention of a food business operator and giving advice on non-compliances with food safety law in order that any non-compliance can be quickly remedied.

### **Inspection**

To mean the examination of any aspect of feed, food, animal health and animal welfare in order to verify that such aspect(s) comply with the legal requirements of feed and food law and animal health and welfare rules. This includes partial or full inspections:

- a 'full inspection', is a check on compliance with legal requirements and will consider all aspects of an FBOs operations
- a 'partial inspection', which is an inspection that covers only certain aspects of an FBOs operations.

### **Intervention**

Regulatory actions taken by a government in order to affect or interfere with decisions made by individuals, groups, or organizations regarding social and economic matters. Interventions include official food controls and other interventions such as education, advice and coaching, information and intelligence gathering (including sampling where the analysis is not to be carried out by an Official Control Laboratory).

### **Investigation**

The action taken by the Competent Authority to gather evidence where non-compliance is suspected.

### **Lead Food Officer (LFO)**

The Authorised Lead Food Officer(s), appointed by the Competent Authority in relation to food, who demonstrates the requirements, set out in the Competency Framework set out in Chapter 3 of the Practice Guidance.

### **Live bivalve molluscs (LBM)**

References to live bivalve molluscs also include live echinoderms, live tunicates and live marine gastropods, in line with Annex I, Section 2 of Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 laying down specific hygiene rules for food of animal origin (Regulation (EC) No 853/2004), except for parts of the Code which deal with purification of live bivalve molluscs.

### **Local Authority (LA)**

Has the meaning as defined in Section 270 of the Local Government Act 1972 to mean a country council, a district council, a London borough council, or a parish council.

### **Local Government Association (LGA)**

The LGA are the national voice of local government, working with councils to support, promote and improve local government services.

### **Malicious tampering**

For the purposes of the Code, means the deliberate contamination of food by terrorist activity, or with a view to blackmail or extortion.

### **May**

On its own indicates an optional exercise of a power or function.

### **May not**

Indicates a prohibition.

### **Memorandum of Understanding (MoU)**

A written agreement on the exchange of information between two or more parties.

### **Monitoring**

To mean conducting a planned sequence of observations or measurements with a view to obtaining an overview of the state of compliance with food law.

### **Must**

Is used to confirm an obligation.

### **National Enforcement Priorities (NEPs)**

The NEPs for animal feed and food hygiene at the level of primary production assist Competent Authorities and businesses in maintaining standards and safeguarding public health.

### **Non-compliance**

A failure to comply with the one or more requirements of a food law.

**Non-routine incident**

A food incident, which cannot be dealt with using everyday resources and procedures.

**Non-UK country**

A country outside the UK.

**<OCR change start> Official attestation**

Has the meaning as defined by Article 3(28) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean any label, mark or other form of attestation issued by the operators under the supervision, through dedicated official food controls, of the Competent Authorities or by the Competent Authorities themselves, and providing assurance concerning compliance with one or more requirements laid down in this Regulation or in the rules referred to in Article 1(2) Regulation (EU) 2017/625.

**Official certificate**

Has the meaning as defined by Article 3(27) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean a paper or electronic document signed by the certifying officer and providing assurance concerning compliance with one or more requirements laid down in the rules referred to in Article 1(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625.

**Official control**

Has the meaning as defined by Article 2(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean activities performed by the Competent Authorities, or by the delegated bodies or the natural persons to which certain official control tasks have been delegated in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2017/625 in order to verify compliance by the operators and that animals or goods meet the requirements laid down in the rules referred to in Article 1(2) of 2017/625, including for the issuance of an official certificate or official attestation **<OCR change end>**.

**Official control laboratory**

A laboratory accredited for the purposes of analysis, and which appears on the list of official food control laboratories.

**<OCR change start> Official control methods and techniques**

Are those control methods and techniques described in Article 14 of Regulation (EU) 2017/625.

**Official Plant Health Officer**

Has the meaning as defined by Article 3(33) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean means a natural person appointed by a competent authority, either as staff or otherwise, and appropriately trained to perform official controls and other official activities in accordance with this Regulation and the relevant rules referred to in point (g) of Article 1(2).

### **Official Veterinarian**

Has the meaning as defined in Article 3(32) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean a Veterinarian appointed by a Competent Authority, either as staff or otherwise, and appropriately qualified to perform official food controls and other official activities in accordance with this Regulation and the relevant rules referred to in Article 1(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 **<OCR change end>**.

### **Originating authority**

Means the authority in whose area final food production takes place.

### **Other interventions**

Education, advice, and coaching provided at a food establishment and information and intelligence gathering (including sampling where the analysis and examination is NOT to be carried out by an Official Laboratory).

### **<OCR change start> Other official activities**

Activities, other than official food controls, which are performed by the Competent Authorities, or by the delegated bodies or the natural persons to which certain other official activities have been delegated in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2017/625. Including activities aimed at verifying the presence of animal diseases or pests of plants, preventing or containing the spread of such animal diseases or pests of plants, eradicating those animal diseases or pests of plants, granting authorisations or approvals, and issuing official certificates or official attestations **<OCR change end>**.

### **Outbreak**

- an incident in which two or more people experiencing a similar illness are linked in time or place
- a greater than expected rate of infection compared with the usual background rate for the place and time where the outbreak has occurred
- a single case for certain rare diseases such as diphtheria, botulism, rabies, viral haemorrhagic fever, or polio
- a suspected, anticipated, or actual event involving microbial or chemical contamination of food or water

### **Pathfinder project**

A project, conducted as part of an FSA led programme, which increases understanding of an element of the new regulatory model. In doing so, pathfinder projects will assist in finding out what works best for implementation. Knowledge gained is shared openly for the benefit of the wider organisation/programme.

**<OCR change start> Physical check**

Has the meaning as defined in Article 3(43) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 to mean check on animals or goods and, as appropriate, checks on packaging, the means of transport, labelling and temperature, the sampling for analysis, testing or diagnosis and any other check necessary to verify compliance with the rules referred to in Article 1(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/625 **<OCR change end>**.

**Port Health Authority (PHA)**

Has the meaning as defined in Section 2 Public Health (Control of Diseases) Act 1984 to mean in relation to a port or part of a port any local authority whose district, or any part of whose district, forms part of, or abuts on, that port or part of a port, and any conservators, commissioners or other persons having authority in, over or within that port or part of a port.

**Premises**

Premises means any fixed or moveable structure used for the purposes of a food business operation.

**Primary Authority**

Has the meaning as defined in set out in Section 25 of the Regulatory Enforcement and Sanctions Act 2008 to mean in relation to a regulated person, a qualifying regulator for the exercise of the partnership functions in relation to that person as nominated by the Secretary of State, or in relation to a regulated group, a qualifying regulator for the exercise of the partnership functions in relation to the members of the group as nominated by the Secretary of State.

**Primary Production (Food)**

The production, rearing or growing of primary products including harvesting, milking, and farmed animal production prior to slaughter. It also includes hunting and fishing and harvesting of wild products as defined in Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 and Article 3(17).

**Public Analyst**

Scientists that ensure the safety and correct description of food by testing for compliance with legislation as specified in in Section 27 Food Safety Act 1990 and Regulation 4 Food Safety (Sampling and Qualifications) (England) Regulations 2013.

**Public Health England**

Public Health England is an executive agency of the Department of Health and Social Care in the United Kingdom.

## **Records**

Means information preserved in writing or the like.

Royal Environmental Health Institute of Scotland (REHIS)

A membership and awarding body for the environmental health sector.

## **Risk**

The chance or probability that a person will be harmed or experience an adverse health effect if exposed to a hazard.

## **Safety**

The quality of averting or not causing injury, danger, or loss.

## **Sampling**

To mean taking feed or food or any other substance (including from the environment) relevant to the production, processing and distribution of feed or food or to the health of animals, in order to verify through analysis compliance with feed or food law or animal health rules.

## **Scottish Food Safety Officers Registration Board (SFSORB)**

A committee of the Royal Environmental Health Institute of Scotland, who determine the pre-registration academic standard to be attained by persons applying for the award of the Higher Certificate in Food Premises Inspection, the Ordinary Certificate in Food Premises Inspection and the Higher Certificate in Food Standards Inspection qualifications.

## **<OCR change start> Shellfish environmental monitoring**

The collection of shellfish and water official control samples from designated sampling points as part of the Shellfish Official Control Monitoring Programmes, in accordance with Article 57 and Chapter II of Title V of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/627 **<OCR change end>**.

## **Should**

Is used to confirm best practice.

## **Simple Caution**

A simple caution (once known as a formal or police caution) is a formal warning that may be given by the police to persons aged 18 or over who admit to committing an offence (“offenders”). The simple caution scheme is designed to provide a means of dealing with low-level, first-time, offending without a prosecution. A simple caution may only be given where specified criteria are met. Further detail is set out in Ministry of Justice guidance note: “Simple Cautions for Adult Offenders”.

## **Standards**

Rules or principles defined in food safety law that are used as the basis for judgment against.

## **Surveillance**

To mean a careful observation of one or more food businesses, or FBOs or their activities.

## **The National Food Crime Unit (NFCU)**

The National Food Crime Unit provides a nationwide focus on enforcement against serious fraud and related criminality in food and feed supply chains.

## **Verification**

To mean the checking, by examination and the consideration of objective evidence, whether specified requirements have been fulfilled.

## **Verocytotoxin-producing Escherichia coli (VTEC)**

They are zoonotic pathogens associated with food and waterborne illness which cause a potentially fatal illness which symptoms include, diarrhoea, haemorrhagic.

## **Vulnerable risk groups**

Vulnerable risk groups are those that include people likely to be more susceptible to the effects of poor food hygiene such as those who are under 5 or over 65, people who are sick or immuno-compromised.

## **Writing**

Has the meaning as defined by Schedule 1 of the Interpretation Act 1978 to mean typing, printing, lithography, photography and other modes of representing or reproducing words in a visible form, and expressions referring to writing are construed accordingly.

## Annex 1– Food Establishment Intervention Ratings Schemes

### A1.1. Food hygiene scoring system

This Section deals with the food hygiene and food standards intervention ratings, and minimum frequencies for interventions at all food establishments, with the exception of primary production and animal feed establishments.

**Part 1: The potential hazard** - Three factors determine the potential hazard:

#### A. Type of food and method of handling

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
40	Manufacturers of high-risk food, wholesalers, and packers who re-wrap or re-pack high-risk foods. In this context, high-risk foods may be regarded as foods which support the growth of micro-organisms and are ready to eat without further treatment that would destroy pathogenic micro-organisms or their toxins.
30	Preparation, cooking, or handling of open high-risk foods by caterers and retailers, except caterers that prepare typically less than 20 meals a day (see below).
10	Preparation, cooking, or handling by small caterers of open high-risk foods but serve less than 20 meals on a single day; Handling of pre-packed high-risk foods; Other wholesalers and distributors not included in the categories above; Manufacture or packing of foods other than high-risk; Establishments involved in the filleting, salting of fish for retail sale to final consumer.
5	Retail handling of foods other than high-risk, and other ambient shelf stable products. Any other businesses not included in the categories above.

Score:	<input type="text"/>
--------	----------------------

## B. Method of processing

Establishments that undertake a specific method of processing (including those that extend the shelf life of the product) that has the potential to increase the risk to public health beyond that of the normal cooking or storage, should be given an additional score under this section. However, it may only be allocated once, i.e. the maximum score under this section is 20.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
20	<p>The overriding principle to assess is whether the process itself creates an increased risk and /or the intention is to increase the shelf life of the product by applying it.</p> <p>Below is a non-exhaustive list of processing types that should be allocated an additional score of 20. Authorised officers will need to make a judgement regarding additional processing types not listed below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Canning or other aseptic packing of low-acid foods;</li><li>• Vacuum packing;</li><li>• Sous-vide cooking;</li><li>• Manufacture of cook/chill food, i.e. cooked and prepared meals or foods which may be eaten cold or after reheating. (The simple reheating of cook-chill meals is excluded from the scope of this paragraph.);</li><li>• Fermentation of meats for example, to produce salamis and other fermented sausages;</li><li>• Air drying for example, dried hams, biltong, jerky;</li><li>• Freeze drying;</li><li>• Addition of salt and/ or other preserving agents;</li><li>• The cooking and cooling of meat products prior to service for example, production of hams by retailers, including butchers; this is not intended to be applied to simple catering operations where foods may often be pre prepared and subsequently re heated.</li><li>• Establishments that manufacture, prepare, or serve high risk uncooked or lightly cooked ready to eat food of animal origin whose nature poses a residual microbiological food safety hazard. This is intended to include caterers/manufacturers producing foods such as steak tartare and other raw meat dishes, fish and meat carpaccio, types of sushi or sashimi, ceviche, and burgers less than thoroughly cooked.</li></ul>
0	Any other case not included above.

Score:	<input type="text"/>
--------	----------------------

### C. Consumers at risk

This factor is intended to reflect the number of consumers likely to be at risk and the potential geographical extent of any incident if there is a failure of food hygiene and safety procedures.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
15	Food businesses involved in either the manufacture, distribution, packing or wrapping operations of food which is distributed nationally or internationally.
10	Businesses serving a substantial number of customers, including a significant proportion from outside the local area, for example, superstore, airport caterer, motorway service area caterer; Manufacturers not included in the category above.
5	Businesses, most of whose customers are likely to be living, staying, or working in the local area, for example, supermarket or shop, local convenience store or high street or local restaurant.
0	Businesses typically supplying less than 20 consumers each day.

Score:	<input type="text"/>
--------	----------------------

#### PLUS

An **additional** score of 22 (in addition to the score above) should be included for establishments involved in the production or service of food **intended specifically** for consumption by consumers which are likely to include a vulnerable risk group of more than 20 persons.

In this context, vulnerable risk groups are those that include people likely to be more susceptible to the effects of poor food hygiene such as those who are under 5 or over 65, people who are sick or immuno-compromised.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
22	Production and/or service of high-risk foods in establishments where the ultimate consumers of the product produced include a vulnerable risk group of more than 20 persons.
0	Any other case not included above.

Score:	<input type="text"/>
--------	----------------------

## Part 2: Level of (current) compliance

The food hygiene and safety procedures (including food handling practices and procedures, and temperature control), and the structure of the establishment (including cleanliness, layout, condition of structure, lighting, ventilation, facilities etc.), should be assessed separately using the scoring system below.

The score should reflect compliance observed during the inspection according to the guidance set out below.

In circumstances where the failure to comply involves both elements of the establishment's structure and procedures, this non-compliance should be reflected in the scores awarded for both the 'hygiene' and 'structural' factors.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
25	Almost total non-compliance with statutory obligations.
20	General failure to satisfy statutory obligations – standards generally low.
15	Some major non-compliance with statutory obligations – more work required to prevent fall in standards.
10	Some non-compliance with statutory obligations and industry codes of recommended practice* that are not considered significant in terms of risk (but may become significant if not addressed). Standards are being maintained or improved.
5	Good standard of compliance with statutory obligations and industry codes of recommended practice* with only minor contraventions.
0	High standard of compliance with statutory obligations and industry codes of recommended practice*; conforms to accepted good practices in the trade.

\*where a relevant code/ industry guide has been published.

Score – hygiene:	
------------------	--

Score – structural:	
---------------------	--

### **Part 3: Confidence in management/control procedures**

The Confidence in Management score should assess whether a business's food safety management/control procedures are appropriate, with the identification of the correct hazards and controls, whilst the assessment of the level of current compliance achieved as a result of practices being carried out should be considered as part of the compliance with food hygiene and safety procedures element in Part 2.

Where management has an effective food safety management system in place which is well understood by the workforce, they should achieve a good standard in Part 2, and consequently a low score for that risk factor.

Confidence in management is not meant to reconsider this aspect. It is to elicit a judgement on the likelihood of satisfactory compliance being maintained in the future.

Assessment of "Management" may include two elements; corporate management (any company-wide systems and processes for food controls) and local management (implementation by local management of corporate systems and separate branch or "in store" systems and processes).

Where the establishment has a Primary Authority, the Primary Authority may provide guidance via an Inspection Plan to assist with scoring for Confidence in Management based on corporate management systems being properly implemented where this is the case. Officers should not attempt to reassess the corporate management element but should consider the score based upon the degree of local implementation by local management.

Officers should also reflect the level of reassurance provided by checks undertaken on the food safety management systems directly at an individual establishment via an independent third party as part of an assurance scheme which address applicable legislation.

The confidence in management / control procedures score is not solely about documented procedures and their implementation. Factors that will influence the officer's judgement include:

- the "track record" of the company, its willingness to act on previous advice and enforcement, and the complaint history
- the attitude of the present management towards hygiene and food safety
- hygiene and food safety knowledge, including hazard analysis/HACCP and the control of critical points
- satisfactory food safety management-based procedures

In determining 'satisfactory' in respect of HACCP based procedures, officers should consider, based on the principle of proportionality, the need for a permanent procedure or procedures based on HACCP principles<sup>27</sup>, i.e. commensurate with the nature and size of the food business. In some food businesses there are not critical control points, and, in some cases, good hygiene practices can replace the monitoring of critical control points. The requirement for businesses to retain records also needs to be flexible in order to avoid undue burdens for very small businesses.

For small businesses which present only basic hygiene hazards, it may be sufficient that the business has in place good hygiene practices and understands and applies it i.e. meets the prerequisites. The requirement for records needs to be balanced with the nature and size of the business. Documentation and record keeping may not be necessary under the flexibility afforded by Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 853/2004. Officers should consider guidance in relation to the application of Article 5 in order to make a judgement on whether the business requires documented food safety management procedures, and if so on the level of documentation required. The level of documentation will vary between businesses depending on the types and complexity of operations being undertaken and on the level of controls being implemented.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
30	<p>Poor track record of compliance.                      Little or no food safety knowledge and understanding. Little or no appreciation of hazards, risks, or quality control. No food safety management procedures.                      Does not recognise or accept the need for food safety and hygiene controls.</p>
20	<p>Significantly varying record of compliance.                      Insufficient food safety knowledge and understanding. Poor appreciation of hazards and control measures.                      No food safety management procedures or unsatisfactory progress in terms of developing, documenting, and implementing food safety management procedures, commensurate with the type of business since the last intervention rating.                      Some reluctance in recognising or accepting the need for food safety and hygiene control procedures.</p>

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
10	<p>Satisfactory record of compliance.            Access to relevant food safety advice source and/or Guides to Good Practice or assurance schemes commensurate with type of business.            Understanding of significant hazards and control measures in place. Has implemented satisfactory food safety management procedures or is making satisfactory progress towards documented food safety management procedures, commensurate with type of food business.</p> <p>Officers will need to ensure that a business is demonstrating it is actually 'making satisfactory progress' towards food safety management procedures. A score of 10 can be awarded for more than one intervention cycle if the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• previous non-compliances have been addressed but different non-compliances have arisen</li> <li>• overall risk has not increased.</li> </ul>
5	<p>Good record of compliance.            Food safety advice available in-house or access to, and use of, technical advice from a Primary or Home Authority, trade associations and/or from Guides to Good Practice or assurance scheme commensurate with type of business.            Effective management control of hazards.            Having effective self-checks with satisfactory documented food safety management procedures commensurate with type of business.            Audit by Competent Authority confirms general compliance with procedures with minor non-conformities not identified as critical to food safety.</p>
0	<p>Excellent record of compliance.            Food safety advice available in-house or access to, and use of, technical advice from a Primary Authority or Home Authority, trade associations and/or from Guides to Good Practice or assurance schemes commensurate with type of business            Food Business Operator/ Manager knowledgeable and competent.            Has effective self-checks with satisfactory documented food safety management procedures commensurate with type of business and may have external audit processes in place.            Audit by Competent Authority confirms good compliance with food safety procedures.</p>

Score:	
--------	--

**PLUS**

An **additional** score of 20 (in addition to the score above) should be included where there is a significant risk of:

- food being contaminated with *Clostridium botulinum* and the micro-organism surviving any processing and multiplying; or
- ready-to-eat food being or becoming contaminated with micro-organisms or their toxins that are pathogenic to humans, for example, *E.coli* O157 or other VTEC, *Salmonella* sp.; *Bacillus cereus*.

In this context, significant risk means the probability that an incident is likely to occur. The following matters should be considered when assessing this factor:

- the potential for contamination or cross-contamination by the specified micro-organisms
- the likelihood of survival and growth of the specified micro-organisms
- the existence of procedures based on HACCP principles and confidence in their implementation, including documentation and records of monitoring of controls
- the extent and relevance of training undertaken by managers, supervisors and food handlers
- whether intervention by the Competent Authority is necessary to reduce the probability of an incident occurring

The additional score must only be applied on a case-by-case basis, must not be applied generically to whole categories of food business establishments, and must be removed at the next inspection if the significant risk no longer exists.

The additional score must also be consistent with the baseline assessment of Confidence in Management/Control Systems. If confidence in management is assessed as 0 or 5, and there is also assessed to be a significant risk of contamination of food with one of the specified micro-organisms, then one of the assessments cannot be correct, and each should be reviewed. Establishments should not pose a significant risk if there is high or moderate Confidence in Management/Control Systems.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
20	Significant risk of food being contaminated with <i>Cl. botulinum</i> , and the organism surviving any processing and multiplying; or Significant risk of ready-to-eat food being contaminated with micro-organisms or their toxins that are pathogenic to humans.
0	Any other case not included above.

Score:

Inspection Ratings:           Total:

## Part 4: Food hygiene intervention frequencies

Category	Score	Minimum intervention frequency
A	92 or higher	At least every 6 months
B	72 to 91	At least every 12 months
C	52 to 71	At least every 18 months
D	31 to 51	At least every 24 months
E	0 to 30	A programme of alternative enforcement strategies or interventions every three years

Establishments rated as low risk (30 or less) need not be included in the planned inspection programme but must be subject to an alternative enforcement strategy at least once in every 3 years.

### A1.2 Food Standards Scoring System

#### Part 1: The potential risk

##### A. Risk to consumers and/or other businesses

This factor considers the potential adverse effect on consumers, and the consequences for other businesses, should the business not comply with food standards legislation. Adverse effects on consumers include safety and economic prejudice. Consequences for other businesses include the economic effects of unfair trading.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
30	Manufacturers of foods for specific groups; Manufacturers, importers or packers of high value foods, or high volume foods where there is an incentive for fraudulent adulteration; Manufacturers of foods that contain a wide range of additives; Businesses that make nutrition, nutrient content, or health claims on pre-packed food labels or in advertising. Food businesses including manufacturers and importers that handle imported foods or food ingredients which may be subject to increased risk of chemical contamination
20	Manufacturers or packers of foods that are subject to statutory compositional standards.
10	Local businesses that use in-store produced labels, window displays, chalk boards, menus etc., for example, butchers, bakers, health food shops, restaurants, takeaways, caterers supplying more than 10 meals per day, and businesses using claims for marketing advantage.
0	Caterers supplying not more than 10 meals per day, for example, bed and breakfast; Any business not included in the categories above.

Score:

## B. Extent to which the activities of the business affect any hazard

This factor considers the type of activities that the food business undertakes, the need for those activities to be closely monitored and controlled, and their potential effectiveness in maintaining compliance with food standards legislation. Consider whether the business produces, labels, or advertises products to which food standards law applies. If the business produces its own products, consider the monitoring and control of recipes and ingredients.

The scores below provide examples of food businesses to which a particular score could apply.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
30	Food manufacturers, processors, importers handling a wide range of goods.
20	Local businesses that label loose goods on display, and/or undertake pre-packing for direct sale.
10	Non-manufacturing retail/catering selling only from their own establishment.
0	Any business not included in the categories above.

Score:

## C. Ease of compliance

This factor considers the volume and complexity of food standards law that applies to the business, and with which it has a responsibility to ensure compliance. Consider the range and complexity of products, processes and services including the consistency of raw materials. Consider the difficulty of the task for the food business operator including how easy it is to recognise a hazard.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
30	Manufacturer, packer, or importer of a wide range of products.
20	Manufacturer, packer, or importer of a limited range of products.
10	Retailers who apply descriptions to food such as butchers, bakers, and delicatessens; Caterers with complex menus.
0	Any business not included in the categories above.

Score:

## D. Consumers at Risk

This factor considers the number of consumers likely to be at risk if the business fails to comply with food standards legislation.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
20	Manufacturers, producers, and packers of food that is distributed nationally or internationally.
10	Businesses whose trade extends beyond the local area, for example, regional supermarket/hypermarket; small-scale local manufacturer.
5	Businesses supplying the local area, for example, high street or corner shop; local supermarket, local restaurant.
0	Businesses supplying less than 30 consumers each day. Any other business not included in the categories above.

Score:

## Part 2: Level of (current) compliance

This factor considers the level of compliance observed during the inspection. Adherence to relevant UK or EU Industry Guides to Good Practice and other similar guidance for example, FSA, Food Advisory Committee and LGA should be considered.

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
40	General failure to satisfy statutory obligations. Standards generally low.
10	A typical business with some minor non-compliance with statutory obligations.
0	High standard of compliance with statutory obligations and industry codes of recommended practice, conforms to relevant trade good practice.

Score:

## Part 3: Confidence in management/control systems

The actual performance of management is scored in Part 2 on the basis of the results achieved and observed. A management that achieves good food standards performance, well understood by the workforce, should achieve a good standard in Part 2, and consequently a low score for that factor.

Confidence in Management is not meant to reconsider this aspect. It is to elicit a judgement on the likelihood of satisfactory compliance being maintained in the future.

Factors that will influence the inspector's judgement include:

- the "track record" of the company, its willingness to act on previous advice and enforcement, and the complaint history
- the attitude of the present management towards food standards legislation, and the existence or otherwise of relevant home or originating authority arrangements; internal or external technical knowledge on food standards matters available to the company

- the presence of quality systems, including supplier assessments and performance monitoring, appropriate to the size of the business and the risks involved, with clearly defined responsibilities for managing risk
- for small businesses, consider the checks appropriate to that business

Score	Guidance on the scoring system
30	Little or no technical knowledge. Little or no appreciation of hazards or quality control. No food standards management system. Disproportionate number of justifiable complaints since the last inspection. Poor track record of compliance.
20	Staff have a basic understanding of relevant food law. May not have a food standards management system. Significantly varying record of compliance.
10	Score of 10 or better in Part 2. Staff demonstrates awareness of relevant food law and necessary controls. Appropriate food standards management system. Smaller businesses may have minimal documented system. Satisfactory record of compliance.
0	Technical advice available. Subject to internal audit/checks. Good food standards management system, documented records of critical checks and supplier checks, which may be subject to third party audit. Evidence of compliance with documented management system with few non-conformities. No justifiable complaints since the last inspection. Excellent record of compliance.

Score:

### A1.3 Food standards inspection frequencies

Category	Score	Minimum intervention frequency
A	101 to 180	At least every 12 months
B	46 to 100	At least every 24 months
C	0 to 45	Alternative enforcement strategy or intervention every five years

Establishments rated as low risk (45 or less) need not be included in the planned inspection programme but must be subject to an alternative enforcement strategy at least once in every 5 years.