

**ACTIONS ARISING****Summary of Actions Arising from June Open Board Meeting**

| <b>Index</b>   | <b>Action</b>  | <b>Due date</b>    | <b>Progress to date</b>  |
|----------------|--|--------------------|--|
| 15June06/O/290 | The contingency plan for atypical scrapie in small ruminants to be put into the public domain, clearing wording with Board members beforehand. (Para 41)   | End-September 2006 | An intersessional paper is being prepared for clearance with Board members.  |
| 15June06/O/291 | The Director of Communications should consider the methods and language by which messages on atypical scrapie in small ruminants would need to be delivered, in particular to communicate the potential risk involved of eating older animals. (Para 41) | End- July          | <p>Plan agreed for consultation with:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Industry</li> <li>2. NGOs</li> <li>3. Direct with Consumers</li> </ol> <p>In addition a cross-section of Journalists are being involved in the process of developing the language and approach to communicating with the public which will be primarily through the Media.</p> |

**Actions from Previous Meetings**

| <b>Index</b> | <b>Action</b>   | <b>Due date</b> | <b>Progress to date</b>  |
|--------------|---|-----------------|--|
| 6Apr06/O/288 | Director of Food Safety Policy would be asked to raise the possible wider use of tamper-evident packaging with Food Incidents Task Force that he now chaired. (Para 18) | August 2006     | Discussions have been initiated with the Federation of Bakers on the current status of tamper-evident packaging across the industry. |
| 8Dec05/O/285 | Provide an update note following the exercise to test arrangements for handling incidents. (Para 68)  | October 2006    | A note will be produced following the exercise, which aims to test call out arrangements with key stakeholders.                      |

## Actions from Previous Meetings (continued)

|               |  |           |  |
|---------------|--|-----------|--|
| 10Mar05/O/255 | Actions related to prior approval  | ↓         | ↓  |
| c             | Provide an assessment on whether alternative measures might lead to benefits equivalent to the introduction of a prior approval system. (Para 25)                        | July 2008 | An appraisal panel met on 23 March 2006 and advised that none of the research proposals received to pilot a prior approval scheme were sufficiently close to meeting the Agency's requirements to warrant entering further negotiations. Officials are currently considering other options to demonstrate the impact of prior approval and alternative measures. |
| e             | Prepare a paper and develop an associated impact assessment to assist the Board in reaching an evidence-based decision on whether to recommend prior approval. (Para 25) | July 2008 | A progress report was brought to the Board in December (FSA 05/12/06). Further progress reports will be provided at appropriate intervals.   |

## Completed Actions

| Index          | Action  |
|----------------|---|
| 14July05/O/267 | Food Incidents Task Force: information note on the actions taken by other EU food safety authorities to recall and withdraw products from the market. (Para 31). An update note has been sent to Board members. Circulated on 7 July. |
| 15Sep05/O/273  | A survey should be undertaken to provide a better evidence base in relation to market share of food supplements (Para 26). An intersessional paper was circulated to Board members in hard copy on 23 June.                           |
| 15Sep05/O/274  | Further research should be undertaken to identify intakes of vitamins and minerals through the diet for consumers, including children (Para 26). An intersessional paper was circulated to Board members in hard copy on 23 June.     |