

SUMMARY TABLE OF RESPONSES TO CONSULTATION ON THE DRAFT FEED (SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AND UNDESIRABLE SUBSTANCES) (ENGLAND) REGULATIONS 2010

Stakeholder	Comments Made	Agency response (if required)
Kevin Wardle, on behalf of the Association of Public Analysts	Various technical points about the proposed revisions to the Certificate of Analysis, and queries about the costs of familiarisation and accreditation given in the draft Impact Assessment	Some technical points accepted and the Certificate amended accordingly; queries raised over other points made. Costs of familiarisation given in IA justified. Costs of accreditation acknowledged as outstanding from a previous consultation but considered to be outside the scope of this particular measure.
John Francis, on behalf of the Government Chemist	Queries about inclusion of provision to allow for private action over an analytical result, plus costs of familiarisation and accreditation given in IA	Advised of reasons for inclusion of private provision; costs of familiarisation given in IA justified; pointed out that accreditation for analysis of carbadox unnecessary because it will be enforced by Defra's Animal Medicines Inspectorate.
Local Authorities Co-ordinators of Regulatory Services (LACORS)	Noted that consultation is primarily of interest to analysts and industry	
Peter Garbutt, on behalf of National Farmers Union	Noted that revised MPLs for undesirable substances are based on EFSA recommendations which will have taken animal health into account	
R C Feed	General welcome for consolidation of analytical procedures	
Stephen Ritchie	General queries about procedures for sampling and analysis	Queries dealt with outside this particular consultation exercise
D Thorburn Burns, on behalf of the Royal Society of Chemistry	Requested a specific amendment to the qualifications for analysts	Advised that only gathering views on analysts's qualifications at this stage -- actual changes will await later consultation specifically on qualifications for agricultural, public and food analysts
Sandy Driskell, on behalf of Trading Standards Institute	Noted inconsistency in sampling procedures for food and feed	Agreed that this is an issue, but that as it derives from harmonised EU legislation it will be for the Commission to resolve